PAGES MISSING WITHIN THE BOOK ONLY

(285 to 290)

LIBRARY OU_152270

UNIVERSAL LIBRARY

OSMANIA UNIVERSITY LIBRARY
Call Notes (4) 5 / Accession No. 104/2
Author went a. S.
This book should be returned on or before the date
This book should be returned on or before the date
last marked below.

REVISED ENGLISH GRAMMAR

A NEW EDITION OF

THE ELEMENTS OF ENGLISH GRAMMAR

BASED UPON THE RECOMMENDATIONS OF THE COMMITTEE ON GRAMMATICAL TERMINOLOGY

BY

ALFRED S. WEST, M.A.

TRINITY COLLEGE, CAMBRIDGE, FELLOW OF UNIVERSITY COLLEGE, LONDON

CAMBRIDGE:
AT THE UNIVERSITY PRESS

Elements of English Grammar and Revise English Grammar for Beginners. By A. S. West Suitable for use with both the original and revised editions. Cloth Pp. 114. Fifth Impression. Price 6s.

First Edition, December 1893

Reprinted 12 times, 1894-1913 (1 to 140,000)

Revised Editory 1912, 1016, 1010, 1023 (110,001 to 210,000)

CONTENTS.

CHAFIRI	K.	P	AGE
I.	Historical Survey		I
11.	Constituents of the English Vocabulary		9
III.	The Indo-European or Aryan Family of Languages		22
IV.	The Divisions of Grammar		30
V.	Elementary Sounds in English		37
V1.	Signs or Letters		54
VII.	Etymology		62
VIII.	Nouns		69
IX.	Gender of Nouns		8o
X.	Number of Nouns		86
XI.	Case of Nouns		94
XII.	Adjectives		103
XIII.	Inflexion of Adjectives		113
XIV.	Pronouns		118
XV.	Verbs	-	134
XVI.	Inflexions of Verbs		141
XVII.	Auxiliary and Defective Verbs		171
XVIII.	Adverbs		179
XIX.	Prepositions		186
XX.	Conjunctions and Interjections		192
XXI.	Compounds and Derivatives		199
XXII.	Analysis of Sentences and Parsing		100
XXIII.	Syntax of Nouns		230
XXIV.	Syntax of Adjectives and Pronouns		241
XXV.	Syntax of Verbs		253
XXVI.	Syntax of Adverbs, Conjunctions, and Prepositions.		269
	Appendix I. Definitions of Grammatical Terms		285
	Appendix II. Passages for Analysis		29 1
	Essay-Writing		199
	Subjects for Essays		326
	Index		331

THE ELEMENTS OF ENGLISH GRAMMAR.

CHAPTER I.

HISTORICAL SURVEY.

1. The Fatherland of the English Language. The original home of the English language was in Northern Germany and Southern Denmark. Fierce tribes lived there, roving pirates who for generations had ravaged and plundered the coasts of Britain. At length, in the fifth and sixth centuries after Christ, they settled permanently in the island. The tongue spoken by these invaders has changed beyond easy recognition in the course of time, but its substance forms the basis of the English tongue which we speak to-day.

For nearly four hundred years Britain had been a province of the Roman Empire and under Roman rule the native Kelts had acquired many of the arts of civilization. Walled cities were connected by good roads. Trade and agriculture flourished. Among the inhabitants of the towns Christianity had obtained a footing.

The German conquerors plunged Britain again into barbarism. Every trace of Roman culture perished. The new settlers kept their old religion, a savage and sensual paganism. Not until nearly a hundred and fifty years after the English Conquest began did they become Christians.

2. The Britons were a Keltic race, and in some parts of the British Isles a Keltic language is still spoken. Welsh is a Keltic dialect; so is Manx, the dialect of the

W. E. G.

Isle of Man; so is the native Irish, or Erse; so is the dialect of the Highlands of Scotland¹. The language which many an ancient Briton spoke was like the Welsh of to-day and therefore very different from English. The people of South Britain called themselves Cymry, as the Welsh call themselves now.

- 3. The Roman Conquest. As the result of his invasions in B.C. 55 and the following year, Julius Caesar exacted from the British tribes the payment of an annual tribute to Rome. Nearly a century passed before the Romans returned. In the year A.D. 43, the Roman legions were sent to Britain again. Under the government of Agricola (A.D. 78-85) Roman territory included the southern part of what is now Scotland. But the conquest was not complete and Hadrian's Wall, built A.D. 120 from the Solway Firth to the Tyne, afterwards formed the frontier. The Romans did not intermarry with the Britons as they intermarried with the natives of Gaul or of Spain. Their occupation of Britain was a military occupation, and the Britons preserved their own language, though it was not until A.D. 410 that the Romans finally left the island.
- 4. The English Conquest. In the year A.D. 449, a generation after the departure of the Romans, Hengist the Jute settled in Kent, and in the course of a century the conquest of the country was for a second time fairly complete. The account of the successive invasions,—first of Jutes, then of Saxons, and then of Angles, all closely llied tribes,—must be looked for in a history of the English eople, not in a book on the English speech. But to these wo questions an answer ought to be given here:
 - (1) Who were these settlers?
 - (2) Where did they come from?
 - (1) They were Teutonic tribes. The people, whom

¹ Lowland Scotch is an English dialect.

we call Germans, call themselves Deutsch. The word is familiar to us in the form Dutch. The Romans, getting as near as they could to the name by which these German tribes called themselves, made the word Teutoni and gave it a Latin declension. From this we derive the convenient term Teutonic. If we pronounce the stems of Teut-oni and of Deut-scher with their proper vowel sounds, the resemblance is close. We disguise this resemblance by giving to the vowel of the word Teutonic the sound of the eu in feud. We use the word Teutonic to signify 'belonging to the German race,' but if we said that English, or Dutch, or Flemish, was a 'German' language, the term might be misleading, as we commonly employ the word German in a narrower sense, to signify the language spoken to-day in Berlin and taught at school to our boys and girls who are said to be 'learning German.' This German which is spoken at the present day in Germany is itself one of the Teutonic dialects.

Thus the Jutes and Saxons and Angles were very different people from the Britons. The Jutes, Saxons, and Angles,—or to call them by a collective name, the *English*,—were Teutonic tribes. Their speech was akin to Dutch, and it was the parent of our own. The Britons were a Keltic race, and their language was as different from the language of their Saxon conquerors as the Welsh language of to-day is different from modern English.

(2) To find the district from which these tribes came, we must turn to the map of North Germany and Denmark.

The Angles are believed to have come from the duchy of Schleswig.

Crossing its northern border we pass into Jutland, which is part of Denmark. The south of Jut-land was probably the home of the Jutes.

If we move southwards again into Holstein, we find on

the west coast two rivers forming respectively its northern and southern boundaries, the Eider and the Elbe. From this neighbourhood it is supposed that the Saxons came.

Neglecting these details, we may remember that the English people came from Schleswig-Holstein and the parts adjacent, or that they came from the country to the north of the mouth of the Elbe; that they came between the years A.D. 450 and 550; and that having come they stayed.

As the district from which these invaders came is a low-lying, flat part of the continent, we call them Low Germans, to distinguish them from their Teutonic kinsmen living in the interior of the country, where the ground is higher. What we call to-day the 'German' language is High German. Dutch, Flemish, spoken in parts of Belgium, and Frisian, which is still spoken in the district from which the English settlers came, are Low German dialects. the terms High and Low, as applied to German, have a geographical origin. No stigma of inferiority is attached to those who are described as a 'Low German' race.

5. What became of the Keltic race, the Britons ?

They were driven into the west and the north of the island. Those who remained in the parts which were under English rule were made slaves. Their Keltic language was spoken only amongst themselves. Henceforth the language of the country was English.

- Anglo-Saxon. The term Anglo-Saxon has a twofold application, (1) to people, (2) to language.
- (1) Whether the name 'Anglo-Saxons' meant originally Angles and Saxons or Saxons of England (as distinguished from Saxons of North Germany) is a point which we need not now discuss. At an early age the term was used to denote the Teutonic tribes generally in England and at the present day by 'Anglo-Saxons' we signify people of English race.

- (2) Applied to language Anglo-Saxon is a misleading term, suggesting as it does that the English settlers all spoke one dialect. Now there were at least three dialects in use, viz. Anglian in Northumbria (north of the Humber), Frisian in Mercia (the Midlands), and Saxon in Wessex (south of the Thames). Most of the literature which has come down to us from that early period is written in the Wessex, or Saxon, dialect and to this dialect scholars in the 17th century gave the name 'Anglo-Saxon,' though as a fact the Angles spoke something else. For the Wessex dialect 'Anglo-Saxon' is therefore a misnomer, but the name is established and must be kept. Standard Modern English traces its descent from the Mercian dialect, not from the so-called 'Anglo-Saxon' of Wessex.
- 7. Roman missionaries. The English settlers were heathen. We preserve relics of their worship in the names of the days of the week. Roman missionaries were sent to this country in the year A.D. 597 to teach them Christianity. Latin became again one of the tongues of Britain, the language of its worship and of its literature. Trade brought in other words from a Latin source.
- 8. The Northmen. During two and a half centuries, from about A.D. Soo to 1050, England was exposed to frequent inroads of the Danes, or Northmen, inhabitants of Scandinavia and not merely of Denmark. These Northmen, from Denmark, Norway, and Sweden, were a Teutonic race, so they were akin to the English whom they harassed; but we place them in a group apart from High or Low Germans and call this group the *Scandinavian*.
- 9. The Norman Conquest. The Normans, who established themselves in England in A.D. 1066, were originally, like the Danes, Northmen or Scandinavians. But they had been settled on French soil for about 150 years and had acquired a French dialect, the French of northern France, called the langue d'oil. The word oil, the

same word as oui, signifies yes. The langue d'oil was the dialect in which people said oil for yes, as distinguished from the langue d'oc in which they said oc. This French language was in the main a form of Latin, containing, however, a certain amount of Keltic, for the Gauls were a Keltic race, though they adopted the speech of their Roman conquerors. So the French influence upon our English tongue is really a Latin influence in disguise.

- 10. The Revival of Learning. The sixteenth century is the time of the Revival of Classical Learning, or of the Renaissance as it is sometimes called. The capture of Constantinople by the Turks in A.D. 1453 had caused the flight of the cultured Greeks who lived there, and they sought refuge in the cities of Italy. To Florence flocked eager students out of many lands to acquire from these learned exiles a knowledge of ancient literature. Curiosity respecting Greek and Roman antiquities spread widely, and Greek and Latin writers were zealously read. The consequence was that an enormous number of new words, borrowed directly from the Latin, passed into our English vocabulary. Hundreds of words were introduced and dropped, as there was no need of them; hundreds more remained.
- 11. Other incidents in English history deserve mention in an account of the influence of political or social events on the formation of our speech. In Edward III's reign Dutch weavers settled in England and introduced some of the terms belonging to their trade. Dutch words were also brought home in Elizabeth's reign by English volunteers who served in Holland against the Duke of Parma. Dutch sailors provided many new nautical terms. Under the Tudors it was the fashion for young men of good family to travel in Italy. Ascham, in his Schoolmaster, speaks with severity of the 'Englishman Italianated.' The great Italian poets were translated into English.

Shakespeare lays the scenes of several of his plays in Italy. Many words connected with music, poetry and painting were adopted from the Italian. Religious controversies, connected with or following the Reformation, introduced new words or gave a changed meaning to those already existing.

- 12. We will close this chapter with a short summary of the chief historical events which have affected the formation of English speech as it exists to-day, and in the next chapter we shall say something about the character of the words which we owe to these events.
- 1. The original inhabitants of Great Britain were a Keltic race, speaking Keltic dialects. They were subjugated by the Romans, who remained here from A.D. 43 to 410. They were then subjugated by the Jutes, Saxons, and Angles, tribes belonging to the Low German branch of the Teutonic stock. These English people came from the district north of the mouth of the Elbe at different times between A.D. 450 and 550, and their descendants have stayed in England ever since.
- 2. A.D. 600 to 1000. The Christian missions introduced some words of Latin origin, and the growth of trade brought in others.
- 3. A.D. 800 to 1050. The Danes made frequent incursions, and from 1017 to 1042 Danish kings ruled in England. By 'Danes' are meant not only people of Denmark, but people of Norway and Sweden also. Like the English they were a Teutonic race, but we call theirs the Scandinavian branch.
- 4. A.D. 1066 to 1400. The Normans were also originally Scandinavians, but they had adopted the language of France during their occupation of that country for 150 years before they conquered England; and for 150 years after their conquest of England,—until the death of John and the final severance of England from Normandy,—great efforts

were made to extend the use of the French language in this country. The blending of the Norman-French and English languages did not take place till long after the Conquest. The Normans in England continued to speak French: the English continued to speak English, and books were written in English. Nearly two centuries elapsed before there was a real amalgamation. About the year 1250 French words began to pass freely into the native vocabulary, and by the year 1400 French had ceased to be the speech of the nobility in England. The French language is in the main a form of Latin, though the Gauls were a Keltic race.

5. The Revival of Letters, or of Classical Learning, or the Renaissance, affected our language from the time of Henry VII. to the end of Charles I.'s reign, i.e. during the 16th and the first half of the 17th century.

CHAPTER II

CONSTITUENTS OF THE ENGLISH VOCABULARY.

- 13. In the previous chapter we mentioned the leading events in English history which have exercised an influence upon the formation of the language as it exists to-day. In this chapter we shall answer the question,—What sorts of words do we owe to these events?
- 14. I. Keltic words in English. The Keltic words in ordinary English speech are few-fewer than we might have expected to find. It is true that the British inhabitants were completely subjugated by their English conquerors and that British civilization was practically destroyed. But the natives were not exterminated and in parts of the country Britons and English may have intermarried. Keltic names of places indeed are numerous. Avon is a Keltic word for 'river,' and there are many Avons in England. Aber, as in Aberdeen, meaning 'the mouth of a river'; Pen, 'a mountain,' as in Penzance; Car, 'a castle,' as in Carlisle,—these are of Keltic origin, and there are many others, but geographical names have no claim to be reckoned as a part of our ordinary vocabulary. Several words which were formerly supposed to have passed from Keltic into English are now known to have travelled in a contrary direction from English into Keltic. At a later date a few words were borrowed from Welsh, from Irish, and from Gaelic, the language spoken in the Highlands of

Scotland, all of which belong to the Keltic group. The indebtedness, which is very small, of English to Keltic may be summed up thus:

- 1. Geographical names:
- 2. A few words handed down from the original Britons:
- 3. A few late borrowings from Welsh (e.g. flannel, flummery), from Irish (e.g. shamrock, shillelagh), and from Gaelic (e.g. clan, whiskey).

15. II. The Latin Element in English.

The Roman occupation of Britain, though it lasted nearly four hundred years, left no legacy of Latin words. except those which appear in a few names of places. But it must be remembered that the Romans never came in contact with the English after the English were established in the island. The Romans took their final departure in A.D. 410, and it was not until A.D. 449 that the first English settlement was made. The Romans probably introduced many Latin words into the language of the Britons, but the Britons contributed very few words to English speech, and of the few which they may have contributed none happened to be of Latin origin. Roman military occupation is indicated however by the appearance of the Latin word castra in various disguises as a placename, e.g. Don-caster, Lei-cester, Chester. Only in this way did four centuries of Roman rule leave their mark upon the language.

But, although the English settlers may have adopted little besides castra thus geographically used, they brought with them a few Latin words which contact with Roman civilization had added to their vocabulary before they left North Germany. Street (via strata, 'a paved road'), mile, pound, wine, are examples of words of Latin origin which

had been incorporated in the dialects of the Teutonic tribes at this prehistoric date.

We call this element Latin of the First Period.

- In A.D. 597, St Augustine was sent by Pope Gregory to teach Christianity to the English, and in the course of the next four centuries several Latin words, connected with the Christian faith and ritual, were introduced into the language. Translations from Latin originals brought in others. Commerce was extending also between England and other European nations, from whom were borrowed terms of Latin origin, new names for new things. Let us picture to ourselves the influence which a missionary settlement would have to-day upon the language of an uncivilised tribe. From the Christian teachers they would borrow such words as bible, hymn-book, chapel, and add this English element to their native speech. Then after a while the trader would follow, and the language of the natives would be enriched with such words as rifle, gunpowder, gin. In like manner, between the years A.D. 600 and 1000, Roman ecclesiastics introduced words of which altar, creed, font, candle, are examples, while, in consequence of enlarged knowledge owing to extended trade, such words as pease, cook, linen, poppy, pear, found their way into our language. This element is called Latin of the Second Period.
- 17. During the Middle Ages Latin was the language of law, medicine and divinity, and a constant stream of Latin words from these sources flowed into the English vocabulary. At the same time Norman-French words were added in large numbers. We saw that Norman-French was in the main a language of Latin origin. Hence we may say that the words due to the Norman Conquest are Latin words which have come into the language indirectly, Latin words 'once removed.' This element is called Latin of the Third Period.

12 ELEMENTS OF ENGLISH GRAMMAR.

Now, if we consider how complete the Norman Conquest was and how rapidly it was effected, we may feel some surprise that it is an English language and not a French language which we speak to-day. Norman lords occupied the lands from which English owners had been ejected. Normans held the higher offices in church and state. Deliberate efforts were made to extend the use of the French language. Boys at grammar schools had to turn Latin into French. Cases in the law-courts were carried on in French. Yet in spite of all, English survived and prevailed. One important event which contributed largely to this result was the loss of the French possessions in John's reign (1206). Norman barons had to make their choice between life in France and life in England, and those who settled in England at length threw in their lot with the English and ceased to be French. Then again the war with France in Edward III.'s reign made everything French unpopular. In this reign boys were no longer required to construe their Latin into French, and English was used instead of French in the law-courts.

To the Normans we owe many words which relate to (1) feudalism, chivalry and war; e.g. homage, fealty, banner, lance, battle, captain: (2) law, government and office; e.g. attorney, assize, reign, council, baron, duke: (3) the church; e.g. cloister, penance: (4) hunting; e.g. chase, leveret: and also (5) many abstract terms, e.g. nature, art, science, glory.

The Normans gave us many more words which do not come under these heads. An interesting example of the way in which the language of a country illustrates its

¹ Words of French origin introduced between 1250 and 1400 have been called Anglo-French, to mark the fact that they belong to a separate French dialect, developed in England and different from any of the forms of French spoken on the Continent. They constitute a valuable element of our vocabulary, many of them being as much required for daily use as the words of native origin.

CONSTITUENTS OF THE VOCABULARY, 13

history is supplied by the names of certain animals and of the meats which they furnish. When the beast is alive, we call it an ox, or a sheep, a calf, or a pig. These are English words. When it is cooked for the table, we call it beef, mutton, veal, pork. These are French words. From these facts we might draw the inference that the English peasant looked after the stock on the farm, and his Norman master ate the joints in the hall. Sir Walter Scott puts this point forcibly in Ivanhoe.

The Latin of the Fourth Period comprises those words of Latin origin which were introduced in swarms during the time of the Revival of Classical Learning. or have passed into our language since that date. age of the Tudors was one in which men's minds expanded rapidly, and new ideas required new words for their expression. The Reformation in religion; the diffusion of literature owing to the recent invention of printing; geographical discovery; progress in science,—all these things rendered the old vocabulary inadequate, and the fashionable study of classical authors showed where fresh words were to be found. For one who has learnt a little Latin, it is an easy matter to identify a Fourth-Period word on the page of a modern book. From the same Latin original we may have another word, which has come to us through the Norman-French, disguised beyond easy recognition in the course of centuries of oral transmission. Compare the following:

Original Latin.	Borrowed directly.	Through Norman-French.
captivus	captive	caitiff
factionem	faction	fashion
factum	fact	fe at
fidelitatem	fidelit y	fe alt y
persequor	persecute	pursue
senior	senior	sir
quietus	quiet	coy
traditionem	tradition	treason
fragilem.	fragile	frail
separare	separate	sever.

14 ELEMENTS OF ENGLISH GRAMMAR.

19. Summary of borrowings from Latin.

First Period, ending A.D. 600, includes

- 1. Latin words adopted by the Anglo-Saxons before they left North Germany and settled in Britain:
 - 2. Place-names resulting from Roman occupation.

Second Period, A.D. 600—1066. The introduction of Christianity among the English is the leading event. During this period about 150 Latin words were brought in, many of them through the influence of the Church, others through commercial intercourse.

Third Period, A.D. 1066—1500. The Norman Conquest is the leading event. During this period,

- 1. Latin words were introduced directly, Latin being at that time the language of the learned professions, law, medicine, and divinity:
- 2. Latin words were introduced indirectly through the Norman-French.

Fourth Period, A.D. 1500 to the present day. The Revival of Letters is the leading event in the history of the language. Latin words of all kinds were added at that time and subsequently.

20. Before leaving this subject we must touch on a few other points of interest connected with the Latin element in our language.

Though our language is the English language, it contains more words of Latin than of native origin. In saying this we mean that, if we take a dictionary and count up the total number of words, we shall find that Latin has furnished us with more than we obtained from our English forefathers. But then we do not use more Latin words than English words, although we have more of them. This last sentence contains eighteen words. Of these eighteen, only two are of Latin origin, the words use and Latin. All the rest are native English. Two in eighteen is a trifle over 11 per cent. By way of contrast let us examine a sentence taken at random from an essay of Matthew Arnold's:

"All our good secondary schools have at present some examination proceeding from the universities; and if this kind of examination,

customary and admitted already, were generalised and regularised, it would be sufficient for the purpose."

Here we have thirty-five words, and thirteen of them come from the Latin source. This gives 37 per cent. of foreign origin as compared with eleven per cent. in the former passage.

One more sample, this time a verse of Wordsworth's:

"Six feet in earth my Emma lay,
And yet I loved her more—
For so it seemed,—than till that day
I e'er had loved before."

From these four lines, containing six-and-twenty words, the Latin element is altogether absent.

Now, how is it that the dictionary proportion of Latin words in English and the proportion in use are so different?

Because (1) in the dictionary every word counts once and only once. That, and, if, count as one English word each, and regularise, generalise, secondary, count as one word each. But we can hardly make a sentence without bringing in such words as that, and, if, whilst we may pass months or years or a life-time without bringing into our sentences such words as regularise, generalise, secondary. We should find it a trouble-some business to make a sentence ten words long without using a single native English word, for the English words are the mortar, so to speak, by which the sentence is bound together. Take these words away, and the sentence tumbles to pieces. Take away the classical words, and we can in most cases substitute for them words of English origin.

Again, (2) by far the greater number of the words in the dictionary are words which we never use at all,—words which we should never meet with, unless we chanced to see them when we were looking in the dictionary. How many words there are in the English language, it is not an easy matter to say. Some persons would give 100,000 as the number, others 200,000, others 400,000. These startling discrepancies do not imply any incapacity to count correctly on the part of the people who furnish the estimates; they arise from a difference of opinion as to what is to be reckoned as a word. Suppose we accept the lowest of the three totals mentioned above, and say that there are 100,000 words now current in our language; we might then roughly distribute them thus without any great error in the proportion: Latin 60,000, English 30,000, Greek and other sources 10,000.

But how many of these words are in ordinary use? To this question it is impossible to give a definite answer. Shakespeare employed twice as many words to express his thoughts as anybody else, and he said all that he had to say with about 15,000 words. Milton needed only half that number. An educated man of to-day has a vocabulary of some five or six thousand words. Two thousand suffice for an average mechanic; one thousand for a schoolboy; half that number for an

illiterate labourer. We give these numbers by conjecture, but probably they are not very wide of the mark. At any rate we may safely say this, that for every word which the best educated man makes use of, there are at least ten, perhaps twenty, in the dictionary, which he never uses at all. And most of these are words of foreign extraction. question may be asked,—What are these words for, if we never use them? Vast numbers of them are words of what we call a technical character; they belong to different arts and crafts and sciences, and are used by the men who follow those arts and crafts and sciences and by nobody else. Thus the doctor employs hundreds of technical words not used by the rest of us; then there are the words peculiar to botany and chemistry; the words of mining, of building, of seamanship, and so on. Every occupation furnishes its contribution of terms which are as completely unknown to people generally as so many words of University slang.

But (3) even when we are dealing with words in ordinary use, words of which everybody knows the meaning, the more simple and familiar the subject in hand, the more does the English element predominate. The words which denote the things nearest and dearest to us, the things which we have known from our childhood, are of English origin. Father and mother, house and home, rain, wind, day, night, sun, moon,—these are English words. And hence it is that Wordsworth, describing an old man's feeling about his daughter's death, naturally uses an unmixed English diction as best suited to his purpose. How feeble a Latinized paraphrase would sound by the side of the simple English words which go home to our hearts!

"And yet I loved her more—
For so it seemed,—than till that day
I e'er had loved before."

"It appeared to me that I entertained an intenser affection for her than I had previously experienced." The force of the passage has gone, and the sentence reads as if it were taken from the pages of a third-rate novelist. On the other hand, the extract from Matthew Arnold abounds in Latin words, because he is dealing with a scientific subject and resorts to scientific language. Our English forefathers knew nothing of 'regularising' and 'generalising,' of 'secondary schools' and 'universities.' We should be puzzled to express the passage in words of English origin. Thus the Latin element in a man's style will vary according to his subject. If he is writing on a philosophical subject, the proportion of Latin words must necessarily be high, because English will not provide him with the vocabulary which he requires. If he is writing a story or a poem about love or family life, the proportion of Latin words will be low, because English words will be more effective for his purpose. But however high the proportion may be, we shall never come across a passage five lines long in which there are as many

Latin words as there are words of native origin. When we say of a man that he writes a Latinised or classical style, we mean that he often prefers to use a Latin noun, verb, or adjective, when an English noun, verb, or adjective would express his meaning. The other words in his sentences are for the most part English and must be English, since about these no choice is possible.

It is sometimes said that we ought always to use an English word instead of a Latin word if we can. But a hard and fast rule of this sort is not to be laid down for universal application as a maxim of style. The Latin word may sometimes be the more effective or exact, though an English word might also serve the purpose. A good writer will select the best word regardless of its derivation. Still, half-educated persons have such a hankering after Latin words in preference to English words, for the expression of common-place notions about things of every-day life, that there is safety in laying down the rule, at any rate for them, that the English word should always be taken, and the Latin word should be left. The habit of saying 'Allow me to assist you to potatoes,' instead of 'Let me help you to potatoes,' or 'Let me give you some potatoes'; of using 'period' or 'epoch' instead of 'time'; 'individual' instead of 'man'; 'commence' instead of 'begin,' and so on, is detestable.'

21. III. Greek words in English. The Greek element in English is important, and its amount is rapidly increasing. Greek words have passed into the language from the fifth century to the present time. Before the Revival of Learning they reached us through the Latin or through the French and have always been spelt as they would have been spelt in Latin: thus the Greek Kuklos appears disguised as cycle. Some of the earlier borrowed words are ecclesiastical, e.g. deacon, bishop, psalm. But most of our Greek has been adopted since the Revival of Learning for purposes of scientific nomenclature. Greek is a language which lends itself readily to the formation of compounds. So was old English, but this power of making new words by the combination of other words seems to have perished through the influence of the Norman French. At any rate, our language possesses it no longer. If we consider the ease with which long compound words can be formed in

W. E. G. 2

¹ See Abbott and Seeley's English Lessons, p. 105.

modern German, it seems curious that our own Teutonic language should lack the same facility. But such is the case. And as compound terms are increasingly necessary to express the complex ideas of science, we fall back on Greek to supply our needs. Telephone, microscope, thermometer, photograph, are examples of Greek compounds, and, if we translate these words into their English equivalents, the advantage which we gain from the use of Greek is apparent.

IV. Scandinavian words in English. It is not always an easy matter to determine what words we owe to the Norsemen, as the Norsemen belonged to the Teutonic race, and their vocabulary resembled that of our own Low-German dialect. Still, there are some words which we can identify as Scandinavian in their origin. We may trace the Danes on the map of England by the ending -by, which means 'town,' as in Derby, Whithy: the same word is preserved in by-law. The political associations of the words law, outlaw, hustings recall to our minds the fact that a Danish dynasty once ruled in England. Other common words of Danish origin are call, fellow, get, hit, husband, leg, loose, odd, root, scant, skin, take, ugly, want. The common termination -son in names of persons, e.g. 'Johnson,' 'Anderson,' is Danish. Words meaning 'son of' were formed in Old English by the addition of the ending -ing, e.g. 'Atheling.'

The loss of Old English inflexions, marking cases, genders and persons, was hastened by the presence of a large Danish population in the north of England.

23. V. Words from various sources. We have now completed our account of the chief sources from which the vocabulary of modern English has been enriched.

Words have been borrowed from a large number of other languages, but no great advantage will be gained by burdening the memory with lists of terms for which various foreign countries have been placed under contribution. The student who is asked to mention a word which we have taken from an Indian or Chinese source should think of something peculiar to India or China, and examples will suggest themselves. Thus punkah or rupee may occur to him as Hindustani words, nankeen or tea as Chinese. A few illustrations are added of common words borrowed from miscellaneous sources:

Modern French-bouquet, etiquette, programme.

Italian-bandit, grotto, regatta.

Spanish-armada, cigar, don.

Portuguese-caste, molasses, verandah.

Modern German-meerschaum, plunder, waltz.

Dutch-sloop, skipper, yacht.

Russian-drosky, rouble, steppe.

Hebrew-cherub, seraph, shibboleth.

Arabic-alkali, sheik, sherbet.

Persian-bazaar, ghoul, shawl.

Malay—amuck ('to run amuck'), gong, sago.

North-American-skunk, squaw, tomahawk.

QUESTIONS.

- 1. These six words, belonging to the Latin of the First Period, occur in names of places:—castra, 'a camp'; colonia, 'a colony'; fossa, 'a ditch'; fortus, 'a harbour'; strata, 'a paved road'; vallum, 'a rampart.' Mention names in which these Latin words survive.
- 2. The following Latin words furnish us with pairs of derivatives which came into our language (1) indirectly through the Norman-French, (2) directly at the Revival of Learning. Give the pairs of derivatives:—fragilis, panilentia, securus, pauper, redemptionem.
- 3. What other forms have we of the words privy, royal, story, blame? Which of the forms came into the language first? Why do you think so?

20 ELEMENTS OF ENGLISH GRAMMAR.

- 4. Pick out the words of Latin origin in the 19th Psalm.
- 5. Mention the periods at which words of Latin origin were largely introduced into English. Give instances of words introduced at each period.
- 6. Give the words of English origin in common use which most nearly answer to the following:—expansion, construction, ridiculous, fortitude, depression, depart, transgression, elevation, probability, virtuous.
- 7. Of the elements composing the English vocabulary, which is (1) the largest, and (2) the oldest?
 - To what European dialects is English most nearly akin?
- 8. Assign to its proper language the italicised part of each of the following words:—Carlisle, Doncaster, Derby, Lincoln.
- 9. How is it that so many rivers in England bear the name of Avon? In what forms does Ex appear in names of places?

[Avon is a Keltic word for 'river' and Ex for 'water.' The name Avon or Ex, given by the British inhabitant to the river in his neighbourhood, would be preserved by the English settler. Hence we have upwards of a dozen rivers called 'Avon' in England, and 'Ex' in various disguises is even more common: e.g. Ex-eter, Ax-minster, Ux-bridge, Usk, Oute. In Scotland alone there are more than half-a-dozen rivers called Esk.]

10. Rewrite the following passage, substituting, where possible, words of English origin for those derived from Latin:—

'The old man trusts wholly to slow contrivance and gradual progression. The youth expects to force his way by genius, vigour, and precipitance. The old man deifies prudence. The youth commits himself to magnanimity and chance. Age looks with anger on the temerity of youth, and youth with contempt on the scrupulosity of age.'

Yohnon.

11. From what causes and in what ways have foreign words obtained a footing in the English language?

[Mention as the chief agencies (1) conquest, (2) commercial intercourse, (3) literary influence.]

- 12. Give illustrations of the way in which a study of the sources of the English language corroborates what we learn from English history.
- 13. What languages had been spoken in Britain, or were being spoken in it, when the English Conquest took place?

Were they in any way akin to the speech of the Angles and the Saxons?

14. What do you know of the origin of each of the following words? Comment on their connexion with facts of English history:—Avon, Chester, Grimsby, cloister, cherry, beef, potion, poison.

CONSTITUENTS OF THE VOCABULARY. 21

- 15. Describe with illustrations the influence of the Celtic and of the Scandinavian languages upon our English vocabulary.
- 16. Mention eight English words which have come to us from different foreign languages and state the source of each.
- 17. What is the source of each word in the following sentence?—
 'Meanwhile the great rhetorical fabric gradually arose. He revised, erased, strengthened, emphasized, with indefatigable industry.'
- 18. What is the origin of the words priest, bard, fealty, punkah? What kind of intercourse led to the adoption of each of these words into our vocabulary?
- 19. Write any four consecutive lines of English poetry and underline the words of non-Teutonic origin.
- 20. Hiustrate the influence of the social and political institutions of the Normans upon the English vocabulary.
- 21. What is meant by speaking of a word of foreign origin as 'acclimatized' or 'naturalized'?
- [See § 92, 1. We may also describe as 'imperfectly naturalized' a foreign word which retains in English its foreign pronunciation, e.g. ennu. Think of some more examples.]
- 22. How do we obtain names for new ideas and new inventions? Give instances.

[Bear in mind that in some cases these novelties are named after the men by whom they were introduced.]

13. 'English has borrowed largely from other languages.'

Does this seem to you an advantage or a drawback?

Give a few examples of words thus borrowed.

[A language should have a vocabulary large enough to express the ideas of the people who use it. In what respects would English be deficient without its Latin or Greek element? On the other hand, there is a risk that the synonyms of a mixed vocabulary may land a speaker or writer in tautology or fallacious argument. Thus an orator advocated 'freedom of speech' on the ground that every man ought to have 'unrestricted liberty of expressing his sentiments.']

CHAPTER III.

THE INDO-EUROPEAN OR ARYAN FAMILY OF LANGUAGES.

24. When we are learning Greek or Latin, French or German, we come across some words that are the same in form as their English equivalents and many more words that are very like them. Take the English words one, three, me, is. Everybody can see the resemblance of these words to the French un, trois, me, est; to the Latin unus, tres, me, est: to the German ein, drei, mich, ist; to the Greek εν, τρεûς, με, ἐστί. A knowledge of other languages of Europe would enable us to carry the comparison further with the certainty of finding in them corresponding resemblances. From the fact that these similarities exist we are not to draw the inference that our English ancestors derived the word me from the Latin, or that the Romans derived their word me from the Greek. We did not wait for the Romans to supply us with a necessary word like me, nor were the Romans without it until they took it from the Greeks. With regard to the French words un, trois, me, est, the case is different; they do 'come from' the Latin unus, tres, me, est, for the Romans conquered Gaul, and the Gauls adopted in the main the language of their conquerors. But me was good English before the Normans came to England. Such words as secure, convict, hospital, detect, have really 'come from' the Latin: we borrowed them directly. But it would be a great mistake to suppose that wherever we find a

likeness between words in two languages, there has been any borrowing at all, direct or indirect.

Now resemblances such as we see between words like one, three, me, is, in a number of different languages, are too many for them to be the result of chance. If then the similar words in one language have not been taken from those in another, how are we to account for the similarity?

25. The explanation is this, that the various languages have proceeded from a common source. The languages of many of the nations of Europe and Asia, e.g. English, German, Latin, Greek, Sanskrit, are probably derived from the language of a primitive race in the prehistoric past. This does not imply that the people who speak or spoke these languages—English, Germans, Romans, Greeks, Hindus—derived their descent mainly from this primitive race. Descent of language is different from descent by birth. For instance, when the Romans conquered Gaul their language took the place of the Keltic language spoken by the Gauls, but the population of Gaul remained much the same as before though their speech was changed.

In our own day when men find a difficulty in getting a livelihood at home they seek their fortunes elsewhere. A similar cause may have brought about the dispersion of this early race. With their tents and their cattle, the younger and more energetic men would wander away from the family settlement, until they found a district which seemed attractive as a permanent resting-place, a district with a river at hand and pasture for the herds. And here the descendants of these emigrants would remain until in their case was repeated the history of what had happened to their forefathers. The pressure of an increasing population would make a fresh migration necessary, and a part of the tribe would again set out to found a new settlement. Suppose

¹ See Comparative Philology by J. M. Edmonds, p. 84.

that, several thousands of years later, a traveller came upon the successors of the original tribe, scattered abroad through Europe and Persia and India, he would find that, in spite of the changes which removals and the lapse of many centuries had brought about in their languages, these languages contained beneath the surface many points of resemblance.

Now this supposition that from an early race of men there started forth, at different times, parties of emigrants from whom have spread languages which are spoken in parts of Asia and almost the whole of Europe, is a supposition only. Historical records on the subject we have none. We cannot therefore speak of these migrations with the same certainty which we feel when we speak of the English coming from Schleswig-Holstein, or of the Normans coming from France. In proof of these invasions of Saxons and Normans we can produce written testimony. The migrations of our supposed primitive tribe are matters of inference, but the inference is one which we feel justified in drawing, because it enables us to explain the existence of these similarities between many of the languages of Europe and Asia.

A comparison of most of the languages of Europe with many of the languages of India discloses to us the fact that, instead of being totally different, they present many points of resemblance,—so many indeed that we are driven to the conclusion that these languages have proceeded from a common source. This collection of languages we call the Indo-European or Aryan Family of Languages.

26. It is believed that some thousands of years ago there lived a tribe, or tribes of the same race, called Aryans. Their dwelling place was formerly supposed to have been in Asia, to the east of the Caspian sea. Northern Europe has been suggested by some authorities. At present a preference

is given to the southern steppes of Russia. Nobody knows. But though we have no written memorials of these Aryans, the habits and character of the people are conjectured as inferences from facts revealed by philological research. Philologists tell us that these Aryans could count up to a hundred, wandered about with their flocks and herds, made wicker huts and recognised family relations. And their line of argument is of this kind:—If, say they, we find in a number of different languages a similar word to express e.g. ewe, wool, ox, cow, herd, wheel, timber, thatch, these words must descend from Aryan originals, and if the Aryans had the word, they must have been acquainted with the thing. Thus we see once more how language throws a light upon history, or even reveals to us history which is otherwise hidden.

Of these Aryan languages some are more closely 27. allied than others. The more closely allied languages we arrange in classes which we call Stocks. Then again we subdivide a stock into classes of still more closely allied languages, and these subdivisions we call Branches. us treat our own language in this fashion. In the first place, it belongs to the Teutonic stock. But many other languages belong to this stock, some of which resemble English more closely than others. Dutch, Flemish, German, Icelandic, Norwegian, Swedish, Danish, are all of them Teutonic languages, but they fall into different groups. English we said was a Low-German language: so is Frisian; so is Dutch; so is Flemish. Modern German is the only representative of the High-German branch. Although on the Continent High-German is of greater importance than the Low-German languages, Low-German is of greater antiquity. Indeed High-German is a development from Low-German and began its separate existence about the seventh century. Then again the languages of Iceland, Norway, Sweden, and Denmark, form a third group, which we call Scandinavian.

The Teutonic stock is thus subdivided into three branches, viz. Low-German, High-German, and Scandinavian, and of the English language we may say that it is a member of the Low-German branch of the Teutonic stock of the Indo-European or Aryan family of languages¹. We might describe Frisian as a sister language of English, and German and Danish as its first-cousins².

Another stock of considerable interest to us is the Italic, since to this stock belong the Latin, from which we have borrowed largely, and the modern representatives of the Latin,—Italian, French, Spanish, Portuguese, Roumanian,—Romance languages as they are called, *Romance* because they come from a Roman source. Then again there is the Hellenic or Grecian stock, which is represented by the Modern Greek.

The people who inhabited Britain during the Roman occupation and were afterwards subjugated by the English

¹ In the text simplicity has been aimed at rather than scientific accuracy. Philologists adopt a more complex classification of the Teutonic languages. For the following fuller statement (and for many valuable criticisms) I am indebted to Professor Chadwick. "English is most nearly related to the Frisian dialects, spoken in the north of Holland, on the west coast of the province of Sleswick and on certain islands (Sylt, Heligoland, etc.) off the German coast. More distantly it is related on the one side to the German group, including (a) Low German—the dialects of the north of Germany together with Dutch and Flemish—and (b) High German, to which the standard German language belongs; on the other side to the Scandinavian group, including (a) Danish (Dano Norwegian) and Swedish, and (b) Icelandic, with the old Norwegian language still spoken in the country districts of Norway."

² The oldest of the Teutonic languages of which written records are extant is Gothic, sometimes called Mœso-Gothic. This was the language spoken by the Western Goths, or Visigoths, who settled in Mœsia, a district corresponding to the present Serbia and Bulgaria. About the year A.D. 350 Bishop Wulfila (or Ulphilas) translated the Bible into Gothic, and of this translation portions have come down to us. These literary remains are of great linguistic value, as they are earlier by some centuries than any other Teutonic records except inscriptions: the next earliest are Anglo-Saxon.

settlers belonged to the Keltic race, and Keltic dialects are spoken at the present day in parts of Great Britain and Ireland. The Keltic stock falls into two branches, the Cymric and the Goidelic. Under the former head are placed the Welsh language and the Armorican, a dialect spoken in Brittany. The old Cornish, which died out nearly two centuries ago, belonged to the same branch. In the Goidelic group are included the native Irish or Erse, the Gaelic of the Highlands, and the Manx of the Isle of Man.

- 28. The language brought to Britain in the fifth and sixth centuries by the English settlers was for the most part an unmixed Teutonic speech. An unmixed speech in the main it long continued to be. Contributions of words from foreign sources came in slowly at first. On the other hand, although Modern English is in its essentials a Teutonic language, it contains a large Italic element, has received considerable additions to its vocabulary from the Hellenic source, and possesses a slight Keltic ingredient. Thus four different stocks have contributed to its formation: it is a mixed or composite language: its words have been borrowed from many different sources.
- 29. Three groups of European languages remain to complete the list of stocks into which the European members of the Aryan family are divided: these are the Slavonic, including Russian, Bulgarian, Serbian, Bohemian, Polish; the Lettish and Lithuanian languages, spoken in the Russian Baltic Provinces and in East Prussia; and the Albanian dialects.

As the name *Indo-European* implies, some of the languages of Asia belong to this family. These languages fall into two groups. One group is the Armenian, which is believed to have passed into Asia from Europe. The other is the Indo-Iranian, divided into the Indian, which includes Sanskrit, a dead language with an important literature, and the modern dialects of India which are sprung

from Sanskrit, such as Gujarati, Bengali, and others; and the Iranian, which includes Persian, Afghan, Kurdish.

There are thus nine stocks into which the Aryan or Indo-European family is subdivided, two of them Asiatic and seven European.

It must not be supposed from the use of the word 'Indo-European' that all the Asiatic languages and all the languages of Europe belong to the same family. The languages of Asia we will not discuss in further detail, but it must be borne in mind that the following European languages are not members of this great family:

- (1) Basque (in the Pyrenees), the affinities of which are unknown: (2) the Finnish-Ugrian group, including Finnish, Esthonian and Lappish, with various languages spoken in the north of Russia and on the Volga, and Hungarian or Magyar, which is related most nearly to certain languages on the east of the Ural Mountains: (3) Turkish, which belongs to the Turco-Tataric family of languages, extending over the steppes of south-east Russia and Central Asia and parts of Siberia.
- 30. Of the other families of languages, the Semitic is the most important. To it belongs Hebrew, in which the greater part of the Old Testament is written, and it contains also Arabic, Syriac, ancient and modern Ethiopian (in Abyssinia) and the ancient Assyrian. To this family are related also the Hamitic languages, which are spoken over a large part of North Africa. Among them may be mentioned Somali, Berber (Libyan) and Hausa, as well as the ancient Egyptian. Besides the Aryan and Semitic Families, other distinct groups of languages spoken in various parts of the world have been recognised, e.g. the Dravidian Family in South India, Ceylon and Baluchistan, and the wide-spread Malayo-Polynesian Family. Many languages have not yet been studied with the view of tracing their relationships.
- 31. The Table on the next page shows the relationship of some of the principal members of the Indo-European or Aryan Family of Languages. The names of dead languages and dead dialects are printed in italics.

Armenian stock

TABLE SHOWING SOME OF THE LANGUAGES BELONGING TO THE ARYAN OR INDO-EUROPEAN FAMILY.

Indo-Iranian stock	Iranian branch Zend Persian
Indo-In	Indian branch Sanskru Gujarati Bengali
Slavonic	Kussian Bulgarian Serbian Bohemian Polish
Lettic stock	Scandinavian branch Golhic Icelands: Norweg:an Swedish Danish
Teutonic stock	High Gernan branch German
	Low German branch English Frisian Dutch
Hellenic stock Greek	Modern Greek Greek Or OT Romaic
Italic stock	Latin Romance Languages, Viz. Italian French Spanish Portuguese Roumansch
Keltic stock	Cymric Goldelic branch branch British Irish Cornish Gaelic Welsh Manx Breton

Albanian stock

CHAPTER IV.

THE DIVISIONS OF GRAMMAR.

- 32. In the preceding chapters we have sketched the gradual process by which was formed the English language as we have it now; we have marked those events in the history of Britain which produced important effects upon its language; and we have shown the relationship of English to other members of the same family of languages. We have ascertained what the English language is, where it came from, when it arrived. We now pass on to treat of the grammar of the English language; and first let us inquire what we mean by Grammar.
- 33. We can speak a language, or we can write a language, or we can both speak and write a language. All languages were spoken before they were written. Some languages spoken by uncivilized tribes in Africa are not written yet. At the present day Latin and ancient Greek are written but not spoken. For this reason we call them dead languages. English, French, and German are spoken and written. Now it is clear that there must be a right way and a wrong way of writing and speaking these languages. To deal with the correct way of writing and speaking them is part of the business of Grammar. An African may know nothing of grammar, but he knows that the missionary does not speak his language properly. In time the missionary may come to know the language as thoroughly

as the natives know it, and may state a number of rules and principles concerning the use of the language.—rules and principles to which the natives conform in their daily speech. without having ever heard of the existence of such rules and principles. These rules and principles constitute an important part of the grammar of the language. But we need not travel so far away as Africa for an illustration. Take the case of an English child, brought up in an educated family. At an early age such a child would speak good English though he had never learnt grammar, perhaps had never even heard of the subject. On the other hand, a child brought up in an ignorant household would speak bad English, would make mistakes in pronunciation or use wrong forms of expression. Without any grammatical training in either case, these children would speak correctly or incorrectly, would pick up good English or bad English, through the influence of the people with whom they came in contact. So it is hardly a true account of the matter, at any rate so far as one's own language is concerned, to say, as is sometimes said, that grammar teaches us to speak and write correctly. We learn to speak and write correctly by mixing with educated persons and reading well-written books. What grammar does is this: it treats of the language generally, its sounds, letters and words; it supplies us with a number of rules for the correct way of using the language, and it examines why certain ways of using the language are right, and certain others are wrong. not merely stating rules, but adding reasons. Thus, suppose a person says 'Ask him to let you and I go out'; we see that the grammar is bad, and if we alter the sentence to 'Ask him to let you and me go out,' we make the necessary grammatical correction. But if we go on to add that let is a transitive verb and requires an objective case after it, we give a reason for altering I to me. We state not merely that one form of expression is wrong and the other right, but

why one is wrong and the other right. We give a principle as well as a rule.

Some writers on grammar have described it as an Art and others as a Science. An Art consists of a collection of rules, with more or less practical skill to carry them out. A Science consists of the principles on which the Art is based. Now a man may be a successful artist in many subjects without understanding the principles which underlie his He may have the knack of playing a tune on the piano after he has heard it whistled in the street, though he may be unable to read music from the printed page. Or he may be able to paint a landscape, though he knows nothing of the principles of perspective. And in like manner he may speak and write excellent English, though he has never been taught a line of grammar. But he would certainly be more likely to avoid mistakes as a musician, if he had learnt the principles of harmony, or as a painter, if he had learnt the principles of perspective, or as a writer and speaker, if he had learnt the principles of grammar. So even from the point of view of practical utility, we may fairly say that grammar deserves to be studied. A knowledge of grammar will not indeed make a man a good writer, in the sense of furnishing him with a pleasant or striking style, but it will help to make him a correct writer, and many of our masters of English style would have written better, if they had paid more attention to grammatical rules. If therefore anybody is disposed to say that learning grammar is a waste of time, because it is quite possible to speak and write correctly without a knowledge of it, we may fairly reply that a knowledge of grammar is of some use even as a safeguard against speaking and writing wrongly, things which we are all of us apt to do. But this is not the chief reason after all for studying grammar. We study many subjects of which it would be difficult to say precisely what is the 'good,' unless we were satisfied that the knowledge of the subject is a good in itself. It is a knowledge of such subjects which constitutes a liberal as distinct from a commercial education. We may study chemistry simply because it is interesting to know something of the constituents of the world around us, not because we intend to become chemical manufacturers. We may study animal physiology simply because it is interesting to know something of the structure of our own bodies and of the bodies of other animals. We have been breathing and digesting all our lives, and we shall breathe no better for knowing the composition of the atmosphere, and digest no better when we have learnt the nature of the gastric juice, than we breathed and digested before we acquired this information. But we do not feel that the time given to chemistry or physiology has therefore been wasted. An intelligent man likes to understand the things which he sees around him. These things are too numerous for us to understand much of many of them. We must nick and choose according to our tastes. But a man who knows nothing but what is of 'use' to him, in the sense of its providing him with the means of getting his living, is likely to be a dull fellow, uninteresting to himself and to his neighbours. Now to English-speaking people the English language ought to be an attractive subject of study. When we think of the series of great writers who have used this language, - of Chaucer, Shakespeare, Milton, Wordsworth, Tennyson,--when we reflect how this language is spoken to-day by many millions of people besides the inhabitants of Great Britain, -- by the people of the United States and Canada, of Australia and South Africa, -so that it bids fair to become the universal medium of intercourse among the chief commercial nations of the world, we can hardly fail to realise that our English tongue well deserves our attention, and that we ought not to rest satisfied with merely using it correctly, but that we should give some time and trouble to gaining information about its history and character. And some of this information a book on English grammar will give.

- Grammar, then, has to do with language, and 35. language is made up of words. A language, as we saw, may be spoken, or written, or both. Spoken words are sounds which may be pronounced rightly or wrongly, as a short experience shows us when we are learning French or German. One part of Grammar deals with the correct pronunciation of words, and is called Orthoëpy. But under this head we shall treat of a good deal besides the right pronunciation of words. We shall inquire what is the total number of elementary sounds which our English vocabulary with its 100,000 words contains. We shall classify these sounds. We shall touch upon some of the tendencies to substitute one sound for another and look for an explanation of these tendencies. That branch of the subject which has to do with topics of this sort is sometimes called Phonology, or the theory of spoken sounds.
- 36. Then again, words may be written as well as spoken, and they may be written rightly or wrongly. The branch of grammar which deals with the correct writing or spelling of words is called Orthography. We write, or spell, with letters, so orthography deals with the alphabet.

w. r. g. 3

- 37. If we are asked,—Are Orthography and Orthoëpy essential or necessary parts of Grammar? we may answer in this way: If a language is spoken but not written, as is the case with the languages of savage tribes, its grammar will contain Orthoëpy but not Orthography. If a language is a dead language,—if it is written but no longer spoken,—its grammar will contain Orthography, but its Orthoëpy will be uncertain or impossible. But either Orthography or Orthoëpy a grammar must contain, for a language must be either written or spoken, if we know it at all.
- After examining the sounds and signs, or letters, of which spoken or written words are composed, we shall pass on to consider words themselves. We shall show that the words contained in the vocabulary of our language may be arranged in classes according to their meaning, as nouns, verbs, prepositions, etc. Then we shall inquire what changes of form, or inflexions, any of these words undergo, and what is the effect of these changes on the meaning of the words. We might also push our investigation further, and discuss the relation of English words to words in other languages. and determine the channel through which they passed into our own. As an example of these different operations, take the word mother. Of this word as it stands by itself, we can say that it is a noun, in the singular number; that it makes a possessive case singular mother's, and a plural number mothers; that compounds can be formed from it such as mother-country, and derivatives such as motherly; that it is connected with, though not borrowed from, the Latin mater, Greek μήτηρ, German mutter, and so forth. Now that part of grammar in which we treat of words taken separately, classifying them and considering their origin and form, is called Etymology, and a very important part of the subject it is.
- 39. But when we speak or write, it is rarely the case that words stand alone in this fashion. It is true that sometimes

they occur thus: for example, we may say 'Mother!' as an exclamation, or if we are asked 'Who gave you that book?' we may answer, 'Mother,' which is a short way of saying 'Mother gave it me,' or 'Mother did.' But usually words occur in sentences, and then we can describe what is the relation in which each word in the sentence stands to the rest. The part of grammar which treats of words when they are regarded in their relation to other words, of words when they form parts of groups of other words,—is called Syntax. So far as Grammar is studied as an Art, as a subject of practical usefulness to prevent us from making mistakes in speaking or writing,-Syntax is the more important department. But in so far as we study grammar in the spirit of scientific curiosity, for the sake of learning something about our English tongue, Syntax is of no more importance than Etymology. In the following pages however no attempt has been made to keep the treatment of Etymology rigorously distinct from that of Syntax. For in discussing the forms of words it is often an advantage to deal with their uses when they are related to other words.

40. When we have dealt with the sounds of our speech, the signs or letters which represent them, the words taken separately, and words arranged in sentences, our treatment of the subject will be finished. Recognition is indeed frequently given to another department of Grammar, called Prosody. The aspect of this word must not mislead the reader into thinking that Prosody has to do with prose, for prose is just what Prosody does not deal with. Prosody has to do with Verse, with compositions in metre. Now it is clear that Prosody is not an essential department of grammar, for there might well be a language in which there were no compositions in verse, no metre, and therefore no Prosody. As a fact there is probably no language without metrical compositions of some sort, such as hymns to the gods or chants before going into battle, and if there is metre, then there are principles which regulate the employment of the metre, and these principles constitute Prosody. But there is no necessity for the existence of metrical compositions in every language. Most of us pass our lives and express ourselves only in prose. We may conceive that an entire nation expressed itself only in prose, and had never expressed itself in anything else. But as soon as a language presents us with compositions in metre, Prosody becomes possible. And most languages do contain compositions in metre amongst their oldest literary possessions. This is naturally the case, since verse is easier to recollect than prose, and is often better worth recollecting. Consequently, in an early age verse is handed down, while prose perishes.

The common blunder must be avoided of supposing that rhyme is the same thing as verse, or that poetry is the same thing as either. Verse is the name applied to the arrangement of words in metre. In modern English verse, this arrangement is such as to allow the accent, or stress of the voice, to fall at regular intervals, like the beats in music. This regular recurrence of accented and unaccented syllables is called rhythm.

A study of metres helps us to appreciate and enjoy the skill which our poets have shown in devising varied and appropriate measures for their verse. But the adequate discussion of this subject would occupy too much space in our book. Moreover, as grammarians we are concerned not with the effective use of language but with its correct use. Questions of style are appropriate to treatises on Composition or Rhetoric rather than to a treatise on Grammar, and the metrical arrangement of words is a matter of style.

41. It will be convenient if we bring together the chief results which we have reached in this chapter.

Grammar has sometimes been described as the Art of speaking and writing correctly. But people may possess the Art of correctly using their own language without having any knowledge of grammar. We define it therefore as the Science which treats of words and their correct use.

It contains the following departments,—Orthoëpy, Orthography, Etymology, and Syntax.

Orthoëpy deals with the correct pronunciation of words.

Orthography deals with the correct spelling or writing of words.

Etymology deals with the classification of words, their derivation and inflexion.

Syntax deals with the combination of words in sentences, their government, agreement, and order.

CHAPTER V.

ELEMENTARY SOUNDS IN ENGLISH.

- 42. We have assumed that the English dictionary contains 100,000 different significant sounds or words, five or six thousand of which are in use as the vocabulary of the average well-educated man. These different sounds are composed of a very limited number of simple or elementary sounds. Just as chemistry teaches us that out of some eighty elements are formed the boundless varieties of substances which nature and man's art present to us, so an examination of the sounds which we utter in pronouncing English words shows us that they are made by combining about forty sounds which are simple or elementary. Take, for example, the words bat and but. Each word contains three simple sounds in combination, but two of the simple sounds, b and t, are the same in each.
- 43. Vowels and Consonants. Our first business will be to ascertain the different sorts of sounds which we make in speaking. The division of letters, which serve as the signs or symbols of sounds, into vowels and consonants, is known to everybody. Let us carefully inquire into the nature of the distinction between these sounds.

Open your mouth and let the breath pass out unchecked while you utter the sound of a in path, or of e in feed, or of

o in note. The sound can be continued until you are out of breath. Now pronounce the letter b in bad, not calling it bee, - 'bee' is merely its name as a letter of the alphabet. Pronounce it as if you intended to say bad, but changed your mind and stopped as soon as the first letter had escaped. The sound is an instantaneous one. There is a sudden explosion of the b', and to prolong it is impossible. Why? Because the sound is made by closing the lips and tearing them rapidly apart. Observe how a man who stammers pronounces the word bad. He does not prolong the sound of b,—he could not prolong it,—but he repeats it, closing and separating his lips until at length he gets the word out. Again, take the sounds d and t, pronouncing them as we should do, if we started saying words of which they form the first letter and stopped as soon as we had got the first letter out. Begin to say dog, or ten, and check yourself at the end of the d' or l'. No amount of effort will enable you to continue the sound uninterruptedly.

Shall we say then that yowels are sounds which we can prolong indefinitely, in other words, which we can keep on making without a break, and consonants are sounds which come to an end instantaneously? Further experiments will show that this ground of distinction fails. Take the sounds represented by f, v, s, sh, l, m, n, r. Like the vowel sounds, these sounds can be prolonged while the breath holds out. The distinction between vowels and consonants consists rather in this. A vowel is a sound by the aid of which we can pronounce any other sound at the ordinary pitch of the voice. A consonant is a sound by the aid of which we cannot pronounce any other sound at the ordinary pitch of the voice. Pronounce once more the sounds p', b', t', d', without any accompanying vowel. The parting of the lips in \mathcal{V} is just audible, and so is the momentary murmur of the vocal chords in d'. We cannot say that absolutely no sound is produced. If we practised these experiments in a company of silent people, we should make noise enough to attract attention. But the sounds would not be uttered at the ordinary pitch of the voice. Conversation across the table in these tones would be inaudible, and a speech in so low a key to a public meeting would be no better than dumb-show. Add a vowel to these silent letters however; say pay, be, toe, daw, and you can make yourself heard a hundred yards away. But let us try the combination of p, b, t, d, with those other consonants which we saw could be uttered by themselves, f, v, s, sh, l, m, n, r. If we place together pr, bn, tl, dz, we shall not find that we have obtained a combination which can be pronounced at the natural pitch of the voice. Instead of saying, therefore, that vowels are sounds which can be uttered alone, and consonants are sounds which can be uttered only by the aid of a vowel, let us put the matter thus:

Vowels are sounds by the aid of which any consonantal sound can be audibly produced.

Consonants are sounds which will not enable us to produce audibly sounds which are by themselves almost inaudible.

44. This account of the difference between vowels and consonants does not agree with the account which is usually given. It is commonly said that vowels are sounds which can be produced alone, and that consonants are sounds which can be produced only by the aid of a vowel. But though this statement of the matter suits the derivation of the words, -for vowel comes from vocalis, which means 'capable of being sounded,' and consonant comes from cum, 'together,' and sonans, 'sounding,' i.e. 'what is sounded along with something else,'-it does not seem to suit the facts of the case. If a public speaker incurs the hostility of his audience, the ssss...of their disapproval can be heard very well without the addition of any vowel to aid its pronunciation. The sh... / with which ill-mannered people are rebuked for chattering at a concert; the mmm? with which we express our hesitation when an acquaintance makes a statement or a proposal which does not commend itself to our favour, are consonantal sounds which are audible enough when they stand alone.

Then again it is sometimes said that vowels are open sounds and

consonants closer and less musical sounds, but this distinction does not seem to throw much light on the subject. Or we are told that vowels are formed without the stoppage of the breath, and that consonants are formed by stopping or by squeezing the breath. All this is interesting, no doubt, to us as physiologists, but it is no concern of ours as grammarians whether we stop our breath or only squeeze it, whether we vibrate our vocal chords or do something with our larynx or pharynx. This is physiology, not grammar. Our business is to distinguish the sounds when produced, not to determine the mode of their production.

- Classification of Consonantal Sounds. Let us now take the consonantal sounds and consider some broad distinctions between them. Compare the four sounds of d', t', dh', th', as represented in the words din, tin, thine, thin, remembering, as before, to make these sounds by beginning to utter the words and stopping short before the vowel is reached. Now in these four sounds, there are two important distinctions to be noticed:
- 46. Sonants and Surds. (1) In the first place, if we compare d' with t' and dh' with th', we shall observe that although the d' and dh' are not audible at the ordinary pitch of the voice, still they can be just heard, if an effort is made, while the t' and th' are scarcely to be heard at all. The same contrast may be noticed in other pairs of sounds: g', if pronounced when isolated from its vowel, is audible, k' is less so. The sound of j' in jest is audible when it stands alone; ch' in chest is less so. The sound of b' is just audible; p' is almost silent. Various names have been used to express this distinction. Some writers call one set of sounds Hard and the other Soft; others call one set Sharp and the other Flat. Let us compare once more b' and p' and ask ourselves which is hard and which is soft, which is sharp and which is flat. If it strikes us that the application of these metaphors is obvious,—if these terms at once convey their appropriate meaning to our minds,—by all means let us continue to make use of them. Possibly however we may not be struck by the suitability of the

epithets, and in that case the old words Sonant and Surd will express the difference more plainly for us. Sonant means sounding, surd means noiseless. Supposing that we fail to see the fitness of calling p hard or sharp and b soft or flat, we can see the fitness of calling p surd and b sonant, for we have only to pronounce both letters and observe which of the two we can hear most of. By continuin the experiment, we can distribute all the sonants and surds in their right classes, and this is a much better plan than learning the lists by heart and then putting the wrong names at the top. If we pronounce b, g, d, j, dh, z, zh, v, w, without an accompanying vowel, we can hear them. These we call sonants. If we pronounce their correlatives p, k, t, ch, th, s, sh, f, wh, without a vowel, they are almost inaudible. These we call surds.

To make this distinction clear, we will give these pairs of sounds in two columns with a word to illustrate each. They are variously distinguished as-

Sonant, Flat, Soft, Voiced.		Surd, Sharp, Hard, Breathed.		
ь,	bin	p,	pin	
g,	gat, gate	k,	cat, Kate	
d,	do	t,	to	
j,	jest	ch,	chest	
dh,	thine	th,	thin	
z,	maze	s,	mace	
zh,	azure, pleasure	sh,	shine, sure	
v,	vat	f,	fat	
w,	wear	wh,	where	
у,	yet		-	
		h,	hat	

The sound represented by wh is pronounced by Scotchmen and Irishmen, but is vulgarly neglected in southern England. Many people make no difference in sound between what and wot, when and wen, where and were, while and wile.

The surd corresponding to the sonant y resembles the German ich sound. It may be heard occasionally in such English words as hue. humun.

42 ELEMENTS OF ENGLISH GRAMMAR.

The letters w and y are used sometimes with the force of consonants, and sometimes with the force of vowels. In wit and yes they are consonants: in few and they, vowels. Hence they are called semi-vowels. In the sound given to w at the beginning of a word you may detect a close resemblance to the vowel-sound in cool or rude. Pronounce slowly oo-it, oo-et: then increase the speed as you repeat the word, and you will find that you are saying wit, wet. Again, take a word beginning with a y, such as yes, pronounce it slowly, and you will recognise in the sound of its first letter the long vowel sound in feed. A person who gives a hesitating 'yes' in reply to a question say, ee-es.

By some writers h is not admitted to a place among the cor. Canats, but is regarded as merely an audible emission of breath before vowels or semi-vowels, and called the 'aspiration.' Thus in Greek the original h ceased to be a letter and became simply a 'rough breathing.'

Now let us return to our four sounds d, t, dh, th, and observe what other distinction can be drawn between them, besides the distinction of sonant and surd.

47. Stops and Continuants. (2) The sounds d and t are sudden, abrupt, instantaneous, explosive: it is impossible to prolong them. The sounds dh, th (as in thine and thin, for we often make the sound of dh, though we never use this sign for it) are continuous: they can be prolonged if we keep on breathing. Hence they are called Continuants or Spirants (from the Latin spiro, 'I breathe'). The letters p, b, k, g, t, d, are called Stops or Mutes, because the sounds are silenced with a sudden halt. From the same circumstance they are also called Checks, or Explosives. Grammarians have exercised much ingenuity in finding a variety of terms to express the same distinction, thereby rendering the matter more difficult than it naturally is.

We will now make a second list of consonantal sounds, classified according as they are Stops or Continuants:

Stops, Mutes, Checks, Explosives: p, b, k, g, t, d.
Continuants, Spirants: ch, j, th, dh, s, z, sh, zh, f, v, wh, w, y, h.
With the exception of ch and j all these sounds are simple
or elementary: ch, pronounced as in church, = t + sh,

tshurtsh, and j, as in jest, =d+zh, dzhest. These two composite sounds have been called consonantal diphthongs.

- 48. To complete the number of our elementary consonantal sounds we must add the Liquids, viz. l, m, n, r, and ng (pronounced as in sing). These are all sonants in English. Owing to the fact that the sounds of l, m, n, r flowed smoothly on and readily combined with other consonants, the Greek grammarians two thousand years ago called them 'fluid' or 'pliant' letters, and this epithet the Latin grammarians translated as 'liquid.'
- 49. The following list¹ contains all the simple or elementary consonantal sounds in English:

The reader must keep clearly in mind the fact that we are dealing with elementary sounds, not with our way of writing them. Owing to the deficiencies of our alphabet we are obliged to use combinations of two letters,—digraphs, as grammarians call them,—to represent six of these con-

Adopted, as is also the table of Vowel-sounds on p. 45, from Miss Soames's Introduction to the Study of Phonetics. In these sections much use has been made of Miss Soames's book, and also of Mr Nesfield's English Grammar Past and Present, pp. 277—282, to which the student is referred for a more detailed treatment of the subject. At the end of Mr Nesfield's book a note by Professor Skeat on Vocalic Sounds in Modern English has been reprinted.

sonants. But the sounds are simple and indivisible. The sound of z in azure is different from the sound of z in zebra. To mark that difference we have written it zh, but it is not a compound of z+h: it is really an elementary sound. The sounds of dh in thine and of th in thin are different, but they are both of them elementary: they are not compounds of d+h and of t+h. We need a separate letter for each, but we do not possess such a letter for either. And the same thing is true of the other digraphs, sh, wh, and ng.

The letter r is called a Trill, because of the vibration in the sound, or in some part of the vocal apparatus by which it is produced. Roll out an r as a Frenchman does, rrrr, and this will be recognised at once. There is very little of a trill about the English pronunciation of the letter. Usually the sound of r is heard only when the r is followed immediately by a vowel in another syllable or another word. Thus we can hear it in fairest, starry, star up, but not in fair play, star gazing, stir the fire. Literary critics are often severe upon such rhymes as morn and dawn, ought and fort, which they describe as execrable. On the contrary, to the ears of educated people in the south of England such rhymes are perfect, as the r in morn and fort is silent.

Sibilants are hissing sounds. They can be picked out easily from among the spirants: they are s, z, sh, zh.

50. Classification of Consonantal Sounds according to Vocal Organs. These consonantal sounds may be classified on quite a different principle. Hitherto we have dealt with them according to their characteristic differences as sounds. But we can also arrange them according to the part of the vocal apparatus chiefly concerned in their production. Thus we have:—

The reader will observe that these classes are not mutually exclusive. Thus, for example, m is both labial and nasal, n dental and nasal, f and v dental as well as labial.

51. Enumeration of Vowel-Sounds. Vowel-sounds are either simple or compound in their character.

Compound vowel-sounds are called Diphthongs. The words in the following columns illustrate the sixteen simple or elementary vowel-sounds employed in our English speech. Of these sixteen vowel-sounds, eight are long and eight are short.

Long vowels	Short vowels	
father	attend	
fur	putty	
fairy	<i>pat</i>	
fatal	pet	
feet	pit	
fawn	pot	
foeman	pillow	
fool	pu <i>t</i>	

In studying this list, direct your attention to the vowel-sound of each word. The ways in which we represent these sounds in spelling are various, and, from our present point of view, unimportant. Thus, for example, the vowel-sound of fur appears also in herd, firm, work, learn, myrtle: the vowel-sound of pet appears also in head, many, bury, says, heifer, friend, guest.

The Obscure or Natural Vowel a. The vowel-sound exemplified by the a in attend, at the top of the column of short vowels, frequently occurs in English words, but only in unaccented syllables. It may be heard at the end of villa, sudden, cupboard, in the middle of miracle, tendency, harmony, at the beginning and end of America, grammarian, vorandah. It is called the Obscure vowel, or the Natural vowel,—natural, because the sound is produced with the minimum of effort. Hence boys who get into difficulties over their construing, and orators who are at a loss to proceed with their speeches, occupy the intervals with this sound. This natural vowel bears a closer resemblance in sound to the vowel-sound of putty or fur than to that of pat, with which it has often been wrongly identified.

Observe that many words written with an r at the end are pronounced in the south of England with this Natural vowel in place of the r. Say the words hair, here, poor, our, by themselves or when followed by words beginning with a consonant, and you will hear no r. On the stage and in the comic papers this substitution of the Natural

46 ELEMENTS OF ENGLISH GRAMMAR.

vowel for r is caricatured, when the dandy is represented as saying 'De-ah me! What a bo-ah!' If however final r is followed by a vowel, it has its consonantal sound. Compare, e.g., dear aunt and dear me, poor Ellen and foor Tom.

52. Diphthongs are blends or combinations of two vowel-sounds which are run together in pronunciation. At this point great care is needed not to be misled by the diphthongs of print, α , α , neither of which, in our English pronunciation, is a true diphthong at all. The α of Casar is no diphthong in sound; it is the same as the pure vowel-sound of feet. So is the α of fatia. The α in lead, it in field, ei in receive, are none of them true diphthongs: they are only more or less clumsy ways of showing the length of an elementary vowel-sound.

The true diphthongs in English,—those in which two yowel-sounds are run into one,—are five in number: viz.

i in fine: this is a blend of the a in German mann,—a sound of a which is extinct in modern English except provincially,—and of the i in pit. The blend of the a in father with the i in pit gives us the broader diphthongal sound heard in aye, when we say 'The Ayes have it.'

oi in noise: this is a blend of the vowel-sounds in favon and pit.

ou in house: this is a blend of the vowel-sounds in father and put.

u in use: this is a blend of the vowel-sounds in pit and fool.

In a drawling pronunciation it is possible to detect the elementary vowel-sounds which form the diphthongal blend. Persons of defective education will talk of 'a bee-ootiful baw-ee,' or 'a na-ice ha-use,' when they mean 'a beautiful boy' or 'a nice house.' It should be specially observed that although the *i* in fine is a single letter, it is diphthongal in sound, and the same is true of the *u* in use. These diphthongal sounds can be represented in many other ways. Thus *i* is heard in try, die, dye, sigh, guide, buy, aisle, eye. Oi is expressed by oy in boy, by usy in buoy. Ow or ough often occurs instead of ou. Diphthongal *u* is variously written as ue (sue), ui (sui!), eu (feud), you, yew, ewe.

Consider next the vowel-sounds of fate and foe. It is undeniable that these are really diphthongal. In each case the vowel with which we start glides into a different vowel with which we close. Thus we pronounce fale as fav-cet or fay-it and foe as fo-oo. If you question this statement run up or down the scale singing fate or foe and note the result. Unless you have been taught singing by a good master, before you have reached half way the vowel-sound which you are producing will be ee or oo. Fay-eet and fo-co are blends as complete as na-ice or ha-use. And hence some authorities class the vowel-sounds of fate and foe with the true diphthongs. There is some convenience however in placing them in the list of elementary vewel-sounds while recognising that in the standard speech of southern England they have acquired a diphthongal character. For when they occur at the end of a syllable which is followed by another syllable their sound is almost if not quite Thus in fa-tal, la-dy, na-vy, and in foe-man, no-ble, po-ker, the secondary vowel-sound, which is prominent in fate and foe, is scarcely perceptible. Our English tendency to turn long vowels into diphthongs makes it a difficult matter for us to acquire the right pronunciation of such words as êtê and drôle in French, or geh and so in German, for in French and German these vowel-sounds are pure. But the feat, though difficult, is not impossible.

The reader may have felt surprised at finding in the list of short vowel-sounds the o of pillow. That this o differs from the o in pot is obvious enough, but he may have been inclined to identify it with the o of foe. As we have just seen, the o of foe finishes in the sound of oo: now the oo element is almost inaudible in the o of pillow. This short o occurs only in unaccented syllables, whether at the beginning of a word, as in omit, in the middle, as in proceed, or at the end, as in pillow. To substitute the Natural vowel for this final o and say fella, winda, instead of fellow, window, is a vulgarism.

In dealing with the letter r we pointed out that its characteristic trilled sound is heard in English only when the r is followed by a vowel in the next syllable or the next word. And in dealing with the Natural vowel we saw that an untrilled r,—an r followed by a consonant,—is often replaced by this vowel-sound. When we pronounce the word fair, what we really say is fae-a, the Natural vowel taking the place of r. It is only in words such as fair-y, fair-est, car-ing, bear-er, words with a trilled r. that the pure long vowel-sound is heard. A similar substitution takes place when we say beer, boar, boor; our actual pronunciation is bee-a, bo-a, boo-a. In each case we begin with one vowel-sound and end with another. But the blend is not complete. The component parts remain distinct. You will find many lines in Shakespeare in which such words as fire and dear form two syllables, but no actor could make more than one syllable of a word containing a true diphthong,

such as fight and doubt. We may therefore call these combinations Imperfect Diphthongs.

We have now enumerated 23 pure consonants, 16 pure vowels, 5 true diphthongs, and 4 imperfect diphthongs. Of our pure vowels two would be placed by some authorities amongst the diphthongs. Adhering however to the scheme adopted in the preceding pages, we give 30 as the sum-total of elementary sounds in English as spoken to-day.

Now if we run over the letters of the alphabet, we shall see that some of them find no place in our classification. The following letters are absent from the list:—c, q, j, x. Why is this?

The letter c is absent because it represents no sound in English not already represented by k, s, or sh. Cat is pronounced precisely as kat would be pronounced, city as sity, special as speshal. Thus the letter c is superfluous.

The letter q occurs only before u and, in combination with it, represents the sound of k+w, a compound, as in queen, or, more rarely, the simple sound of k, as in quay, cheque.

For a different reason we reject the other two letters. They do not stand for simple or elementary sounds at all, but represent compounds. So-

j is a combination of d + zh, k + s in excel, or of g + z in exert.

Notice that not only can these sounds be represented by a combination of letters, but they ought to be represented For it is the business of the alphabet to furnish us with separate signs for simple sounds but not for compound sounds. If the alphabet contains a shorthand symbol x, representing in one letter the sound of k+s, why, we may reasonably ask, should it not contain other shorthand symbols, say, a shorthand symbol for a + n + d? Such a symbol we do indeed possess in the form &, but we do not regard this symbol as a letter of the alphabet, and nobody but an American humourist would employ it in spelling other words. writing 'h&some' for handsome and 'underst&' for understand. The like criticism applies to the compound sound represented by j. The objection may be raised that, if x is rejected because it can be represented by k+s, we ought to get rid of f because it can be represented by p + h, and that we might spell fife, phiphe, just as we spell philosophy with a ph. But the cases are quite different. The sound of f is not a compound of p + h. It is a simple sound, and it is entitled to a separate letter. It is the use of the ph for f which is open to censure from the alphabetical stand-point. We use the ph because the words containing it come from the Greek, but if we spelt according to sound, the ph would disappear, and we should write filosofy instead of philosophy.

54. The following points connected with the subject of sounds in English deserve attention:

(1) Two mutes of unequal degrees of sharpness and flatness cannot be easily sounded together in the same syllable; or, if we employ the terms which we saw reason to prefer, a sonant and a surd in juxtaposition cannot be easily pronounced in the same syllable. We may write them together, but to sound them both as they are written is impracticable. It is important to notice this, because sonants and surds often are thus written together, when we form the plurals of nouns or the past tenses of verbs. The ordinary way of making plurals is to add -s to the singular. Now s is a surd mute. Add s to a noun ending in a surd sound, e.g. pat, and the result can be readily pronounced as it is written, pats. But add s to a noun ending in a sonant sound, e.g. pad, and the result cannot be readily pronounced as it is written, pads. What we do pronounce is padz, two sonants. We naturally make the ending s give way and turn it into s, instead of preserving the s and changing the last letter of the word into t, as this latter course would alter the meaning of the noun. If we try the experiment with other nouns ending in sonant letters, e.g. hog, slab, we shall find the same tendency at work to assimilate the sound of the surd s to the sound of the sonant g or b, causing us to pronounce the words hogz, slabz. The same principle is seen at work in the past tense of verbs when an ed is added to the present. Take the word walk and add ed: k is a surd sound, d is a sonant. One or other of the sounds must give way, if we pronounce them in the same syllable. The d gives way, otherwise the root itself would be changed, and we pronounce the past tense as if it were written with a surd t, walkt. The same thing happens with such words as slap, hiss, cuff, in which we write slapped, hissed, cuffed, but give these forms the sound of slapt, hisst, cufft.

- (2) Our natural laziness induces us to save trouble in the pronunciation of sounds. Accordingly we find-
- i. That sounds which involve a good deal of effort in their utterance tend to disappear from words. Thus if was formerly gif, day was daeg, godly was godlic. We no longer sound the gh in light and similar words, though we continue to write it. Many words which now begin with a y began in old English with a g.

Again, words have in many instances lost a syllable, sometimes at the beginning, sometimes in the middle, sometimes at the end. If we compare bishop with episcopal, we see that the word has been shorn of its initial e: so diamond is adamant without the initial a: bus is omnibus after a double decapitation. Palsy is the same as paralysis with the -radropped out: proxy is procuracy in reduced circumstances. Examples of the tendency to cut words down at the end occur in cab, which used to be cabriclet, in miss, which is a curtailed form of mistress, and in consols, which represents consolidated stocks. School slang supplies illustrations of the same process of abridgment in the words exam for examination, trans and con for translation and construe.

- But, curiously, letters have in some cases crept into words, apparently to render the pronunciation easier. If we compare with the Latin numerus, tener, camera, the English number, tender, chamber, we notice the insertion of a b or a d. It is supposed that to pronounce these words with the b or d was found less trouble than to pronounce them without these strengthening letters. An omnibus-conductor calls out Westmin-i-ster, as the word thus lengthened is more easily repeated than Westminster. Uneducated people often insert a syllable in umbrella and speak of an umb-e-rella. All such changes are called euphonic, or are said to be made for the sake of euphony, i.e. owing to our desire to save ourselves effort in speech when we can.
- (3) Umlaut. We sometimes find that, when a syllable containing a short vowel is added to a word, there is a tendency to shorten the vowel of the original word into something more nearly approaching conformity with the vowel of the ending. This process is called Umlaut. Thus the addition of the suffix turns corn into kernel, old into elder, thumb into thimble, fox into vixen.

- 51
- (4) Metathesis. Sometimes the order of the letters in a word is transposed: this change is called metathesis. To say waps for wasp is a vulgarism now, but it was good Old English. A countryman says aks for ask, haps for hasp. The Ridings of Yorkshire are thridings, i.e. third-ings or 'third parts.' Nostrils are nose-thirles, i.e. 'nose-holes.'
- (5) Accent is the stress of the voice laid upon a syllable in a word. Emphasis is the stress laid upon a word or words in a sentence. Accent has exercised an influence in producing some of the changes mentioned above. The word episcopus was cut down to bishop, and procuracy to proxy, as we said, to economise labour, but it was owing to the fact that the suppressed syllables were unaccented that people felt themselves at liberty to drop them out of these words. We may often observe the tendency to clip words improperly when the neglected syllable carries no accent; thus boys say excise for exercise, lib'ty for liberty.

In modern English the tendency is to throw the accent near the beginning of the word, but this tendency is counteracted, sometimes by our desire to lay the stress on the root of the word rather than on a mere prefix, and sometimes by foreign influence, many French and Latin words preserving their own accentuation. The accent rarely goes further back than the third syllable from the end of the word; when it goes further back than this there is a secondary accent, an echo of the first, as in temporary, heterodox, heterogéneous; but usually its place is on the third syllable from the end, as in geology, extrávagant, miscelláncous, incomprehénsible. We do not throw the accent as far back as we might in disorder, interférence, diversion, and many similar words, perhaps because we wish to lay stress on the important part of the word and not on its prefix; but no general principle can be stated respecting our usage in this matter. There is no consistency in our practice, for the accent is carried back to the prefix in these words, -innocent, controversy, deference. In the following words the accentuation is due to foreign influence; -crushde, cavaller, balloon, routine, antique, are French; robúst, morbse, benign, humane, are Latin. The words sénator and brator have become thoroughly naturalized, and we lay the stress on the first syllable, in conformity with the general tendency of accentuation in English. The less familiar curator and testator preserve the accent which they had in Latin.

Many words in English differ in meaning according to their accent. There are upwards of fifty pairs of nouns and verbs like accent and accent, iscort and escort, ribed and rebil, in which the noun has the accent on the first syllable, and the verb has it on the last. Almost all these words are of Latin origin. In the words absent and frequent we have verb and adjective distinguished by the accent: in compact and expert noun and adjective are thus distinguished. Other examples are given in the Questions at the end of this chapter.

QUESTIONS.

- 1. Say whether the sounds corresponding to the following letters are (1) sonant or surd, (2) mute or spirant, (3) labial, dental, guttural, or palatal;—k, d, z, f, th, m.
- 2. Which of the following combinations cannot be pronounced as they are written? Why not?—tacks, tags; dogs, docks; staffs, staves; sods, sots; slaps, slabs; jumped, craved, cravhed, robbed, stopped, flocked, flogged.
- 3. Explain the nature of the changes which the following words exhibit when they are compared with the corresponding forms supplied by other languages, or by our own language at an earlier stage:—'enough,' Ger. genug: 'I,' Ger. ich: 'lord,' O. E. hlaford: 'rain,' Ger. regen: 'way,' Ger. weg: 'morrow, Ger. morgen: 'warden' and 'guardian': 'warrant' and 'guarantee': 'story' and 'history: 'spite' and 'despite': 'uncle,' Lat. avanculus: 'dropsy,' Gk. hydrops: 'miss' and 'mistress': 'petty,' Fr. petit: 'peril,' Lat. perculum: 'sexton' and 'sacristan': 'citizen,' Fr. citoyen: 'firth' and 'frith': 'long' and 'linger': 'old' and 'elder': 'vain' and 'vanity': 'cook' and 'kitchen': 'thunder,' Ger. donner: 'city,' Lat. civitas: 'priest' and 'presbyter': 'tremble' and 'tremor': 'gender,' Lat. genere: 'Birmingham' and 'Brummagem.'
- 4. How does the accent of the following words affect their meaning?—affix, contest, frequent, august, torment, refuse, compact, desert, conjure, collect, minute, invalid.
- 5. These words were formerly accented in the following way:—bondáge, advertisement, balcóny, mischievous, académy, contráry. Mark the syllable on which the accent falls now. What tendency does the change indicate? What means have we of knowing that a word once bore a different accent from the accent which it bears now?
- 6. Some letters are said to be superfluous. Exemplify this with respect to some of the letters in the following sentence:—'The fox ran quickly near the city walls.'
- 7. Give words illustrating the various sounds represented by the letter a in English.

Classify the mute consonants into labials, dentals, and gutturals; and also into thin, middle, and aspirate.

	Surds Thin Sharp Hard	Sonants Middle Flat Sofi	Aspirate
Labials Dentals Gutturals	p t	b d	ph, bh th, dh

[The following table contains the classification required:

The student must observe that none of these aspirated mutes occur in English. The aspirated mute ph is not the f sound of photograph: it is the ph of uphold. The th is not the sound which we have in thin: it is the sound which we have in at home. The kh is the Greek x, not the sound of ch in church or loch. The sounds of ph, th, ch, as we pronounce them are not Mutes at all: they are Spirants or Breaths. See Abbott and Seeley's English Lessons, p. 283.]

- 8. Distinguish the true from the false Diphthongs in the following words :-- pain, noise, new, people, yeoman, build, now, found, eye, clean, rough.
- q. Distinguish the meanings of canon and cannon; transport and transport; accent and accent; dissent and descent; ingenious and ingenuous; desert, desert and dessert; virtue and vertu; expert and expert; supine and supine.
 - 10. What are Doublets? How have they arisen?

[Words which proceed from the same original but have assumed different forms are called 'doublets.' See § 18. The shortening of words owing to the loss of an unaccented syllable also produces doublets: see § 54, (2) i.]

11. From the list of words illustrating the sixteen elementary vowel-sounds in English (given in § 51, p. 45) select the word which has a vowel-sound corresponding to the italicised letter or letters in each of the following words:-haul, yeast, obey, guard, margarine, tough, guild, said, staid, feast, earth, pour, tour, busy, heller, sew, fern, hood, flood, pretty, what, leopard, gaol, heir, dove, wool, bouquet, any, people, gamboge, canvas, martyr, syrup, furlough, deter, brewer, widow, reality. aunt, sauce, abate, oppress, machinery, mischievous, attack, foreign, proclamation, prefessor, company, enfluenza.

CHAPTER VI.

SIGNS OR LETTERS.

55. How may our 100,000 words or significant sounds be represented best in writing?

One way would be to have a different symbol or picture for every word, after the fashion of the Chinese. But consider how awkward and troublesome such a method of representing our words would be. Think of the burden on the memory of associating even five hundred words with as many distinct pictures. To learn the meaning of five thousand such pictures would require years of study. realise our difficulties if, instead of representing numbers by a combination of the digits o to q and by using the device of place, we employed a different symbol for every different number. Our means of numeration would in this case be of a very imperfect character. Now, although 100,000 distinct sounds may exist in English speech, these distinct sounds are formed by the combination of about forty simple or elementary sounds; and a corresponding number of symbols, or signs, or letters, combined together, will enable us to represent all our existing words and as many additional words as our language may hereafter receive. Suppose that the words gun, rod, were represented by pictures, and that a person had never learnt these pictures, or having learnt them had forgotten their meaning, he would be at a loss to understand the sense of a passage in which they occurred.

But when he has once learnt the meaning of the signs g, u, n, r, o, d, he can combine them so as to represent these words, or can interpret the words when he sees them in print, as rapidly as he can write down the sign for three-hundred-and-twenty-seven, or recognise the meaning of 327, when he has once mastered the use of figures.

- 56. We saw in the preceding chapter that in pronouncing English words we make use of 16 distinct simple or elementary vowel-sounds and of 23 simple or elementary consonantal sounds. Thus there is a total of 39 simple sounds for which we require 39 separate signs. Diphthongs would be expressed by writing in juxtaposition the signs of those vowels of which they form blends. If we had a perfect alphabet, it would fulfil these two conditions:
- r. Every simple or elementary sound would have a separate sign:
- 2. No such sound would have more than one sign.

And then, if we always used our perfect alphabet consistently and employed its proper sign for each of these sounds, it would be as easy a matter to spell a word when we had learnt our alphabet, as it is to write down a number when we have learnt the use of figures. Such a system of spelling would be phonetic, that is, spelling according to the sound. Our spelling is far from being phonetic. The chief cause of this is the imperfect nature of our alphabet. We saw that of the twenty-six letters which it contains, four are useless, c, j, q, and x, so our twenty-six letters are reduced to twenty-two, by means of which we have to express thirty-nine simple sounds. The alphabet is open to the twofold criticism that it is (1) Deficient, to the extent of nearly half the requisite number of letters, and (2) Redundant, in possessing four letters which are of no use.

The deficiency is best seen in the vowels, of which we enumerated sixteen: these are represented by five signs, so eleven signs are lacking under this head. Of the twentythree elementary consonantal sounds, six are without corresponding separate signs, -zh, sh, dh, th, wh, ng. This brings up the deficiency to seventeen. Diphthongs, as we said, we propose to indicate by placing together the letters representing the vowel-sounds of which they are composed. We saw that the available signs in our present alphabet are twenty-two in number. Add to these the seventeen signs which are wanting, and we obtain a perfect alphabet of thirty-nine letters with which to represent the thirty-nine simple sounds in our language.

57. A phonetic system would be of immense advantage in saving the time which we spend during our early life in learning how to spell. To master an alphabet of thirty-nine letters would of course take longer than to master an alphabet of twenty-six letters. But the alphabet once learnt, mistakes in spelling would be almost as rare as mistakes are now in writing down numbers. Spelling-books and dictation would be almost unnecessary. This is what we should gain by adopting the system. The drawback to the introduction of the system would be this, that our printed books would be out of date. To the generation which had learnt the new system, our existing literature would be unintelligible until it was reprinted according to the reformed method. This disadvantage would not however be very serious. All the books which are worth reading by the ordinary man might be printed in the revised version at a small cost, and the student who used our present libraries of English works for purposes of research would soon overcome the difficulties of our present spelling well enough to read existing books.

But the system stands no chance of being adopted because of two obstacles in the way. (i) People who have learnt our present mode of spelling will never consent to begin reading over again with a new ABC at middle-age. And (ii) a uniform pronunciation must be adopted throughout the country before a phonetic system can be introduced. a Lancashire man reverses the vowel sounds in put and butter and spells phonetically, the words put and butter would be written with their vowels reversed in the north and in the south of England. On the other hand, if these words are written in the same way throughout the country while the pronunciation varies in different parts, the spelling is no longer phonetic.

It is sometimes urged as an objection against a phonetic mode of spelling, that the etymology, or derivation, of many words would be obscured by its adoption; that the word city, for example, if spelt siti, would fail to suggest to our minds the Latin civitas and its train of ennobling associations. But this line of objection seems a little insincere and pedantic. To the student of English, reflexion and research would reveal the meaning of the word however it might be spelt, and as for the ordinary man, we may be quite sure that when he goes up to town in his omnibus he is thinking of the City in quite other connexions than its ennobling associations with the Latin civitas. It is urged again that a phonetic system would obscure words pronounced alike but written differently, such as chord, cord; pear, patr, pare; hair, hare, and so on. But this seems a somewhat childish objection. Box and post have various meanings, but the context shows us which is the right one, and if we can understand a man who uses the word hare in conversation, without his stopping to explain that he means an animal, no one but a person of painstaking stupidity would find any ambiguity in the word when he met with it in print.

58. As our alphabet is defective to the extent of seventeen out of the thirty-nine letters which it ought to contain, extra duty has to be performed by some of the twenty-two available letters.

Take, for example, our sixteen elementary vowel sounds. For want of separate signs to show whether the vowel in a word is to be pronounced long or short, we have recourse to various clumsy devices called orthographical expedients. The commonest expedient to show that a vowel is long is to add a mute at the end of the word. Accordingly we write gate, mete, wife, stone. To show that a vowel is short, we double the consonant which follows it. Accordingly we write matter, better, bitter, copper, gutter.

- 59. The deficiencies of the alphabet would inevitably make our spelling irregular and unscientific, but inconsistency runs riot in our orthography to an extent which is really impressive. We may illustrate this in two ways by showing
- (1) how the same sound is represented by a variety of letters:
- (2) how the same letter or combination of letters stands for a variety of sounds.

As examples of (1), let us take the sound given to the letter a in fate. Other ways of representing this sound readily suggest themselves :- laid, rein, say, prey, gauge, gaol, break, eh.

Other ways of representing the sound of o in no:-coat, rote, soul, roe, yeoman, owe, though, sew, sow.

The sound of e in me :- beat, beet, mete, relief, deceit, key, quay, machine, people.

The consonants afford fewer examples of these eccentricities, but they afford some.

The f sound in fill is expressed also in philosophy, quaff, laugh.

The k sound in kit appears in cat, back, quay, ache.

The s sound in sin is represented in einder, scent, schism.

In illustration of (2), we will take examples of single letters, vowel and consonant, and of combinations of letters, the sounds of which are not uniform.

The letter a illustrates the variety of uses to which a single sign may be put. It represents five distinct vowel-sounds in fat, fare, fate, father, fall. and is used in many words where it is not pronounced at all; e.g. it affects the pronunciation of the preceding vowel in boat, meat: it has the sound of o in what, and of e in many.

As examples from the consonants, take s, which is sonant in praise, surd in sing, stands for sh in measure, for sh in mansion, and is silent in isle or aisle.

The letter g has one sound in gum, another in gem; followed by h its sound is sometimes that of f, as in laugh, and sometimes it is not sounded at all, as in though.

Some combinations of letters are very uncertain in their pronunciation: ough is an instance of this: e.g. 'A rough headed, dough-faced ploughboy went coughing and hiccoughing through Loughborough.'

Of the English alphabet we may therefore say that it is (1) Defective, (2) Redundant, and (3) Inconsistent.

60. Why is English spelling so difficult?

- Because the alphabet is defective, and its deficiencies are supplied by different devices in different words.
- 2. Because our spelling has been pretty well fixed for nearly three hundred years, since the translation of the Bible in James I.'s reign supplied a standard of orthography throughout the country, whilst the pronunciation has changed largely in the interval.
 - 3. Because our words have come to us from

many sources, and we have kept the spelling which they had in the languages from which we took them but have given the words an English pronunciation. Thus we spell city with a c, not with an s, because it comes from civitas; victuals has a c because of the Latin victus, from vivo; we spell philosophy with a ph and not with an f, chemistry with a ch and not with a k, because of their Greek origin.

4. Because the Normans respelt English words on French models. All English spelling is really founded on French orthography.

61. Where did our English alphabet come from, and how did we get it?

Our modern alphabet came primarily from the Latin alphabet, but at second-hand from the Norman-French. During the Roman occupation of Britain, the Britons picked up the Latin alphabet, and the English learnt it from the Britons. Before their migration to this country the English had an alphabet which was in use among the Teutonic tribes, called Runic. Inscriptions containing these runes still exist on stones and crosses in Norway and Sweden, in the north of England and in parts of Scotland. When the English settlers adopted the Roman alphabet they preserved two of their own runes, the letters called wen and thorn. Wen or w was written p; thorn or th and dh was written b and afterwards of. The letters w and th took their place after the Norman Conquest. The word the would in Old English characters be written be. Hence has arisen the notion that in Old English it was written ve or ve and so pronounced. People who devise programmes for fancy fairs, in what they conceive to be the Early English style, have the idea that the frequent use of y' for the and the addition of an e at the end of every word which ends in a consonant will convert 19th century Eng-

lish into 9th century English. But this is a mistake. Our forefathers said the as we say it, though they wrote it with a single sign for the th, and correctly so, for the sound is a simple one.

The letter i was originally used merely as a different form of i, an i with a tail to it. The sounds which we now represent by i and i were not distinguished by symbol till the 17th century. Rather earlier than this, a distinction was made in the use of the letters u and v so that they represented respectively vowel and consonant.

The word alphabet comes from the names of the first two letters of the Greek alphabet, alpha, beta.

- This seems to be a suitable point at which to give 62. an answer to the question,—When are Capital letters to be used?
 - 1. At the beginning of every sentence.
 - 2. At the beginning of every line in poetry.
- 3. At the beginning of quoted passages: e.g. He said, "Let us go and see."
 - 4. For Proper names.
 - 5. For the various names of God.
- 6. For titles of office and officials:-Secretaryship of the Treasury, Lord Chancellor: but capitals are often dispensed with in these cases.
- 7. Sometimes at the beginning of nouns and adjectives, to call attention to their importance.
 - 8. For the pronoun I and for the interjection O.

QUESTIONS.

1. What is meant by Orthography? Point out any orthographical irregularities in the spelling of scent; island; proceed, precede; sovereign. [Through ignorance of the derivation (French sentir) the c was

introduced into scent, and the s was inserted in iland owing to confusion with isle or insula. Both proceed and precede contain the Latin cedo. Why should this be differently represented in the two words? Sovereign has been spelt thus owing to a mistaken idea that it comes from reign. It should be sovran.

- 2. Give examples of the different pronunciation of these letters:— i, u, ie, ti, ch.
- 3. In what other ways do we represent the sounds of au in haul, o in fond, g in ginger, x in Xenophon, so in science?
- 4. Mention words in which the following letters are written but not sounded:—p, b, gh, t, l.
- 5. Give illustrations from the English language (1) of the softening of the final guttural, (2) of the substitution of d for th, (3) of the loss of letters, (4) of the insertion of the letters b and d.
- 6. Show that the orthography and the pronunciation of several English words are at variance. Can you account for the discrepancy?

[Refer to \$\$ 59 and 60. Doubt, receipt, hymn, chronicle, hour, psalm, viscount, know, would be suitable examples for annotation.]

- 7. Give examples in English spelling of-
- (1) single letters representing double sounds:
- (2) two or more letters representing an indivisible sound:
- (3) different letters representing the same sound:
- (4) the same letter representing different sounds:
- (5) redundant and silent letters.
- 8. It is said that the introduction of a system of purely phonetic spelling would obliterate traces of the history of many of our words. Show the force of this remark in the case of the following:—chronometer, phantom, vitiate, honour, rheumatism.
- 9. Explain the presence of the italicised letters in the following words:—debt, wetter, pair, favour, number, rhyme, blackamoor.
- 10. Describe some of the anomalies of our modern spelling, and mention words which are not spelt uniformly by standard writers.
- [A few typical examples of uncertain orthography are subjoined: add to the list. Judg(e)ment, recal(t)s, mov(e)able, benefit(t)ed, monted, dul(t)ness, civilize, favo(u)r, gallop(p)ed.]
- 11. In what other ways are the following words spelt in current literature?—programme, rhyme, inflexion, medieval. Can you say anything for or against them?

[Programme was borrowed from the French, not compounded (like telegram) from the Greek. Rhyme is thus spelt from a wrongly-supposed connexion with rhythm. Inflexion is the correct form, as the supinestem of the Latin flecto is flex-, not flect-.]

12. Mention some of the most important facts in the history of our Alphabet.

CHAPTER VIL

ETYMOLOGY.

63. A language is a collection of articulate and significant sounds. If we listen to a baby, we find that his utterances consist of such sounds as ul-ul-ul, ga-ga, um-um, sounds which are merely noises, like the barking of a dog or the crowing of a cock. Significance, or meaning, they may indeed have, and the observant mother or nurse may understand that one noise is made when the baby wants his bottle and that another expresses his happiness when he has got it. But to persons outside the family circle these cries convey no more meaning than the cries of the farmyard. Articulate they certainly are not. When the baby says 'pa,' 'ma,' we remark with truth that he is beginning to talk quite nicely. Talk, speech, words,—these terms point to sounds which are significant and articulate, and such sounds in English form the subject-matter with which we have to deal in English grammar. In our daily lives we commonly use words in connexion with other words to form sentences, but we can consider them by themselves, though we do not use them by themselves. The part of grammar which treats of words taken separately is called Etymology: the part which treats of words as forming portions of a sentence is called Syntax. In dealing with Etymology we shall often find it useful to cross the confines of Syntax.

64. Etymology deals with the clastion of words, their derivation, and inflexion

There are various ways of classifying words. In the dictionary we arrange them in alphabetical order; in the spelling-book we arrange them according to their number of syllables. Now as language is employed by us for the expression of our thoughts, and our thoughts are usually expressed in sentences, for the purposes of grammar we shall group the words of the language in classes according to their different functions in the sentences which we form with them to express our meaning. By 'different functions' we mean the special work accomplished by different kinds of words. The function of a pump is to raise water; of a balance to weigh things; of a noun to serve as a name of things; of a verb to make assertions about things. Small differences of function may be neglected in the classification of words, (just as we classify a machine as a pump, whether it is a force-pump or a common-pump), but we cannot usefully reduce the number of classes of words in grammar below eight, and these eight different classes we call the Parts of Speech.

65. The Parts of Speech are the classes into which the words of a language fall, when they are arranged according to their separate functions in a sentence.

The following sentence contains eight words, and the part played by every one of the eight is different:

"Oh! and was he in good health yesterday?"

Oh is an interjection, a sound expressing sudden feeling. We could omit it from the sentence without disturbing the construction: as the derivation of the name implies, it is something 'thrown in.'

And is a conjunction: it joins on the words which follow it to the previous sentence.

Was is a verb.

He is noun.

In is sition showing that the noun health stands in a certain relation to the rest of the sentence.

Good is an adjective limiting or restricting the meaning of the word health.

Health is a noun.

Vesterday is an adverb limiting the application of the verb as regards time.

In parsing a word, our first business is to refer it to its proper class among these parts of speech. The form of the word is seldom of help to us in English when we are thus engaged. It is often necessary to look to the context before we can decide in any particular case to what class the word belongs.

Thus in the sentence 'The after growth was considerable,' after is an adjective: in 'After me, the deluge,' it is a preposition: in 'Jill came tumbling after,' it is an adverb: in 'He called after you left,' it has the force of a conjunction. So again the word stone has various functions in different sentences. In 'Stone him to death,' it is a verb: in 'He threw a stone,' it is a noun: in 'This is a stone fence,' it is an adjective. Once more, the word but serves in many capacities. 'Many are called, but few are chosen,' it is a conjunction: in 'But few are chosen,' where but signifies 'only,' it is an adverb: in 'All but John were drowned,' where but signifies 'except,' it is a preposition: in 'There is no one but thinks you mad,' but does the work of a relative pronoun with a negative attached, 'There is no one who does not think you mad.'

67. Attempts have been made to reduce these eight parts of speech to a smaller number of groups. Thus words have been arranged in the following four divisions:

i. Names of THINGS .

1. Nouns. 2. Personal Pronouns.

ii. Expressing ATTRIBUTES

(3. Adjectives.

4. Verbs.

5. Adverbs.

Conjunctions, between sentences. iii. Expressing RELATIONS

7. Prepositions, between things.

iv. Expressing SUDDEN FEELINGS, 8. Interjections.

At our present stage there would be no advantage in discussing this or any similar scheme in detail. From the purely grammatical point of view, it is more important to notice that some of the parts of speech are inflected and others are not.

68. Inflexion is a variation in the form of a word to mark a modification of its meaning. Thus -s in fathers denotes that we are speaking of more than one father: it is a sign of the plural. So -ed in walked denotes that the action occurred formerly: it is a sign of the past tense. Again, -er in taller denotes the presence of a quality in a greater degree than is implied by tall: it is a sign of comparison. Once more, -'s in boy's, when we speak of 'the boy's cricket-bat,' denotes possession: it is a sign of case. All these modifications of form,—s, ed, er, ess,—are inflexions. Sometimes we have inflexion without the addition of anything to the word at all. Man makes its plural men, goose makes geese, drink makes its past tense drank, fall makes fell, by inflexion. There is change of form though nothing has been added. Now applying the possibility of inflexion as a principle of division to the parts of speech, we shall find that the two groups are composed thus:

Inflexional.		Non-Inflexional.		
I.	Nouns	5.	Prepositions	
2.	Adjectives	6.	Conjunctions	
3.	Pronouns	7.	Interjections.	

Verbs

Of Adverbs, some are inflected to mark comparison and others are not. The same remark is true to a smaller extent of Adjectives, but our classification is in the main correct.

69. The English language has but few inflexions. A Roman could say lapidi, lapide: we have to use prepositions and say to a stone, by a stone. A Roman could say amavisset, amarentur: we must employ pronouns

and auxiliary verbs, and say he would have loved, they would be loved. In Old English there was a fair supply of inflexions, but these were in great measure destroyed by the fusion of Norman and Englishman. The Norman conqueror had to learn our vocabulary, but use our grammatical forms he would not. We pointed out in an earlier chapter that, though our English vocabulary contains twice as many Latin words as native words, we use four or five of the latter for one of the former in our everyday speech, since the words which necessarily occur in every sentence, such as pronouns, conjunctions, and auxiliary verbs, are exclusively of English origin. And we said that we were justified therefore in describing our language as an English and not as a Romance language. We now see that there is a further justification for so describing it in the fact that nearly all of the surviving inflexions, which constitute an important part of the grammar of our language, are of English origin.

A language like ours which has but few inflexions is sometimes called analytic. A language like Latin which has many inflexions is called synthetic. The distinction is an important one, but the terms inflexional and non-inflexional would express it equally well and convey the proper meaning to our minds at once.

70. The sum-total of the inflexions which the words in a language undergo constitutes its Accidence. Accidence is thus narrower in its meaning than Etymology. Accidence, (from Latin accidere, 'to happen'), comprises the changes of form which happen to words. Etymology deals with these changes of form and also with the classification and derivation of words. English grammar has but little accidence, because its inflexions are few, but there is much to be said on the subject of its etymology.

The sum-total of the inflexions marking number and case of a noun or pronoun is called its Declension.

The sum-total of the inflexions of a verb is called its Conjugation.

- 71. Before leaving the subject of inflexion, let us note the principal consequences of the loss of our inflexions in English.
- (1) We employ prepositions in place of case-endings, and auxiliaries instead of inflexions in verbs.
- (2) The order of words in a sentence admits of very little variety in modern English. Brutus occidit Caesarem could be arranged in six ways: Brutus killed Caesar can be arranged in only one. Why? Because to a Roman the form of the ending would show that Brutus was the subject and Caesarem the object, whether either word stood first, second, or third, in the sentence. Thus for purposes of emphasis a Roman was able to vary the order of his words. With us the place of subject and object is fixed.
- (3) There is nothing in the form of our words to show whether they are one part of speech rather than another. Hence one part of speech is often used for another. We can turn a noun into an adjective and talk of an 'iron bar,' or into a verb and say 'Iron the clothes.' We can make adjectives into nouns and speak of our equals, or betters, or inferiors. We can manufacture adverbs out of other parts of speech and say 'Crack went the whip,' 'I am going home,' 'He came safe,' 'He is not a bit surprised.' We also find such expressions as 'But me no buts,' 'Uncle me no uncle,' signifying 'Don't say but to me,' 'Don't call me uncle.' The sense indeed is plain, but such forms would be impossible in a synthetic or inflexional language like Latin.

OUESTIONS.

- 1. What is the Part of Speech of each of the italicised words in the following sentences?—' Count the money.'—' Keep count as you go.'— 'Foreign coins will not count.'- 'Count is a foreign title.'-'It is slovenly not to date your letters.'- Bring the statement up to date.'-'These distinctions belong to race.'- 'They are race distinctions.'-'They are prepared to race.'-'The tender has lest the ship.'-'Confinement made him tender for the winter.'- Infatuation made him tender for the contract.'- 'Tender memories linger round the spot.'- 'The spot stroke is barred.'- 'You will spot your dress.'- 'Woe worth the hour.'-- 'An hour of his time is worth half-a-crown.'-- 'His time is of little worth.'- 'Mark his fell design.'- 'His design fell to the ground.' 'Tramp o'er moss and fell.'- 'He tramped o'er moss and fell.'- 'Strike a light.'- 'He has a light heart.'- 'The bonfires are alight here.'-'Alight here for the Aquarium.'- Boots repaired while you wait.'-'I have waited a long while.'-'How can I while away the time?'-'Look at the above remarks.'-- 'Look at the remarks above.'-- 'Look at the remarks above the notice.'—'I am an outside passenger.'—'I prefer the outside.'-'I prefer to ride outside.'-'The train came down the incline.'- It was the down train.'- It came down at a great pace.'-'Clear the deck, get the deck cabin ready, and deck the walls with flags.'-'I walked past.'-'I walked past the gate.'-'Forget the past.'- Forget all the past follies.'- The steam tram has not got up steam enough to steam up the hill.'
- 2. What parts of speech may each of the following words be?round, close, equal. Write one short sentence to illustrate each use of them.

3. What is an inflexional language?

What parts of speech may be inflected in English? Illustrate your answer by examples.

- 4. Write down in a column all the parts of speech. Underline the two which you consider most important, and doubly underline the two which you consider least important, giving reasons in each case for your opinion.
- 5. Form a sentence containing at least six different parts of speech, and point out in it one example of each.
- 6. Write short sentences illustrating the use of each of the following words as different parts of speech :- match, mangle, pile, punch, row.
- 7. Write short sentences illustrating the different meanings of each of the following words: -- own, that, quick, judge.
- 8. Write four short sentences, each of which contains the word back. In the first sentence, use the word back as a noun; in the second, as a verb; in the third, as an adverb; and in the fourth, as an adjective.

CHAPTER VIII.

Nouns.

72. A noun is the name of anything.

The word noun is derived from the Latin nomen, which means 'a name.' No sentence can be formed without a noun, or something equivalent to a noun, expressed or implied, and a verb, also expressed or implied. fly,' 'Politicians wrangled,' are examples of the simplest form of sentence. Each contains a noun which indicates the thing about which the statement is made, and a verb by means of which we make the statement. The word verb is derived from the Latin verbum, 'a word,'—the word without which the sentence would collapse. But to discuss whether noun or verb is the more essential to a sentence seems as useless as it works be to inquire which of the two blades in a pair of scie $\frac{1}{k}$ s does more of the cutting. Sometimes, no doubt, it look $\frac{1}{k}$ s if we could have a sentence without a noun or without it erb. When I say 'Go,' the sense is clear. But the noun, or rather its substitute the pronoun, is understood, and in giving an analysis of the sentence we should supply it and say that the subject is You and the predicate go. And in older English it was often so supplied, and people said 'Go thou.' Again, if I ask 'Who told you this?' and you answer 'Jones,' the verb is understood, and the full expression would be 'Jones told me this,' or 'Jones did.' Thus these forms of expression are

only apparently exceptions to the statement that every sentence contains noun and verb. They are elliptical expressions; a word is omitted which is required to complete the grammatical structure of the sentence, but though omitted it is understood.

The definition of a noun suggests a few remarks.

- 1. Guard against the not uncommon blunder of confusing the noun and the thing. The noun is the name of the thing. The paper on which this book is printed is a thing, not a noun: the word paper is a noun.
- 2. Bear in mind that the word 'thing' is here used to denote all objects of thought, whether these objects of thought be things with life or without it, material or immaterial, real or imaginary. In the language of our definition, every object that we can think about, whether it have an existence or not, is a thing, and the name of such a thing is a noun. Charles, negro, Rome, city, angel, ghost, dragon, point, zero, infinity, nothing, are nouns, for they are names of objects of thought. i.e. of things about which we can think.
- The objection may be raised,—Are not some of the pronouns names of things too? If so, why should we place them in a separate class? If Brown says 'I broke the window,' is not I the name of the thing about which the assertion is made, just as much as Brown or the boy is, when we say 'Brown broke the window,' or 'The boy broke the window'? And in this criticism there is some force. But these pronouns differ in so important a characteristic from the words which are commonly called nouns, that they deserve to rank as a separate part of speech, although, as their name implies, they are used instead of nouns. For when we say 'The boy broke the window,' the term boy brings up to our minds a certain uniform conce tion; we know what we mean by a 'boy.' But if Brown says 'I brotle the window,' and Brown's companion Smith says 'I jogged his ebow,' and the master says 'I shall make you pay for it between you, Atchanges its meaning in the mouth of each speaker. When Brown and Smith are talking together, Brown calls himself I and Smith you, while Smith calls Brown you and himself I. But Brown and Smith are always boys, and the master is always a master, no matter who it is that uses the words.
- 4. This further criticism may be made on the definition, that adjectives are, at any rate sometimes, names of things; that black is the name of all black objects, -horses, ink, marble, etc. -round the name of all round objects,-the moon, a cricket-ball, a wheel, a watch-glass, etc., so that when I say 'The moon is round,' round is a name of the moon.

To this objection the answer may be given that in such cases the

adjective qualifies a noun which is understood. When I say 'The moon is round,' I mean 'The moon is a round moon' or 'a round thing.' Moreover it is only when the adjective is used as a part of the predicate that this ellipsis of the noun is possible. As the subject of a sentence the adjective cannot stand alone. I cannot say 'Round rotates on its axis,' but must say 'A round object rotates on its axis.' In any case it is the noun which is the name of the thing: the adjective marks merely a quality of the thing.

73. Substitutes for the Noun. Any word, or combination of words, which takes the place of a noun, is called a Noun-Equivalent.

The following are the principal kinds of Noun-Equivalents: the sentence in brackets supplies the Noun for which a Noun-Equivalent is used in the example which precedes it.

1. A Pronoun:

'The queen was annoyed by the persistence of the ambassador and she gave him a curt answer.' ['The queen was annoyed by the persistence of the ambassador and the queen gave the ambassador a curt answer.']

- 2. A Verb-Noun: this may be
 - (i) an Infinitive with to:
- 'To think is to act.' ['Thought is action.']
- 'To be weak is miserable.' ['Weakness is miserable.']
 - (ii) a Gerund:
- 'Speaking without thinking is shooting without aim.' ['Speech without thought is a random shot.']
 - 3. An Adjective, or a Participle (i.e. Verb-Adjective):
 - 'The good die first.' ['Good people die first.']
- 'The good is always beautiful, the beautiful is always good.' ['Goodness is always beautiful, beauty is always good.']
- 'Work for the common goed.' ['Work for the common welfare.']
- 'The field was strewn with the dead and the dying.' ['The field was strewn with dead and dying soldiers.']

4. A Noun clause:

- 'That you have wronged me doth appear in . ' ['Your injustice doth appear in this.']
- 'We know what we are, but we know not what we may be.' ['We know our present condition, but we know not our future condition.']
- 74. Different classes of nouns. Nouns are arranged in groups distinguished by the following names:
 - 1. Proper and Common.
 - 2. Names of Materials.
 - 3. Collective or Nouns of Multitude.
 - 4. Abstract and Concrete.

An adequate discussion of the points of difference between Nouns Proper and Common, Abstract and Concrete, would carry us beyond the boundaries of Grammar into the province of Logic. But in Grammar these technical terms are sometimes used and the student should therefore acquire a knowledge of their meaning. It is not desired, however, that in parsing he should specify the class to which each noun belongs.

Common and Proper Nouns.

Compare the words river and Henry. What important difference is there between them? Not this, as is sometimes said, that river can be applied to an indefinite number of objects and Henry to only one, for Henry can be applied to an indefinite number of objects also: eight Henrys sat on the throne of England. The difference lies in the fact that, when we use the word river, it has for us a certain uniform sense. The word suggests to our minds the conception of flowing water, banks, source, outfall, and so forth. If we found a piece of water in a park and were inclined at first sight to call it a river, but afterwards discovered that it contained neither inlet nor outlet and that we could walk all round it, we should say 'This is not a

river; this is a lake.' The word river has a meaning, and its meaning does not suit a stagnant sheet of water. But a person called 'Henry' might equally well have been called 'John,' for the name 'Henry' has no meaning. that the Thames is a 'river,' because it has certain qualities which the word river suggests to our minds. But 'Henry' is merely a mark, arbitrarily set upon a person to distinguish him from other people. Any other mark would have done just as well. And for one reason or another such marks or names are often changed. Thus, Sir Robert Walpole became Earl of Orford. During the greater part of his life he was known by the mark Walpole: for the last few years he was known by the mark Orford. Walpole and Orford are names without meaning, so if we once know to whom they are we are az. marks, it makes no difference which name we choose for the purpose. a football club changes its colours, the team is indicated by a new mark. When Waipole became Orford he was indicated by a new mark. But if we called a river an 'elephant' or a 'bedstead,' we should talk nonsense, because these words are not merely marks but contain meanings, and their meanings in no wise correspond with the qualities presented by a river. Once upon a time, no doubt, people's names had a meaning and were bestowed upon them because people possessed certain qualities. The original Redhead may have got his name from the colour of his hair, the original Tomson from the circumstance that he was the son of Tom. 'But Redhead's posterity perpetuate the name, though they may be black-haired boys or baldheaded men, and a Tomson of to-day may have taken the name to enable him to receive a legacy, though his name was formerly Robinson. This important distinction is expressed in grammar by the words Common and Proper. A Common nov,n is applied to a number of things because they are alike, or possess some quality in common, whereas

74 ELEMI VTS OF ENGLISH GRAMMAR.

a Proper noun, though it may be applied to several objects, is not applied to these objects because they are alike or possess any quality in common.

We may define these two classes of nouns thus:

A Common Noun is one which can be applied to an indefinite number of things in the same sense.

A Proper Noun is a meaningless name applied to an individual as a mere distinguishing mark.

The word Proper is derived from Latin proprius which meant 'one's own,' 'ap-propri-ated to an individual.'

A capital letter is placed at the beginning of a Proper Noun.

75. Proper Nours becoming Common.

- (1) A Proper Noun acquires the force of a Common Noun when it is used to denote a class resembling the individual to whom it belongs. 'A village Hampden' means a village patriot. An ill-tempered woman is described as 'a regular Xanthippe.' We may speak of promising young actors as 'juvenile Garricks.' We may call a successful general 'a second Wellington' or 'a nineteenth-century Marlborough,' or may say that England is proud of her Ma. Iboroughs and Wellingtons, signifying her great military leaders like Marlborough and Wellington. But in the sentence, 'The Marlboroughs live at Blenheim and the Wellingtons at Strathfieldsaye,' the nouns are strictly Proper, for they signify people called Marlborough and Wellington, not people like Marlborough and Wellington.
- (2) Proper Nouns become Common also when things are named after Persons or Places. Such words are not numerous, but their history is often interesting. The reader will observe that the following examples cover wide intervals of time and space:

FROM NAMES OF PERSONS.

atlas, from the Titan Atlas, who was said to bear the globe on his shoulders: in the 16th century his figure was often put on title-pages to collections of maps:

phacton, a four-wheeled carriage, from Phaethon, who one day drove the chariot of the Sun:

brougham, a close carriage, named after Brougham, who was Lord Chancellor in 1830:

boycott, a combination to refuse dealings with a person, from the treatment of Captain Boycott in Ireland, 1880:

davy, a miner's safety-lamp, hansom, a two-wheeled cab, macadam, road-material, and mackintosh, a water-proof cloak, derive their names from the inventors:

negus, a warm beverage of spiced wine first concocted by Colonel Negus in Queen Anne's reign:

sandwich, from the 4th Earl of Sandwich (died 1792), who used to eat sandwiches at the gaming table in order to save the time required for a regular meal.

The form of the word sometimes disguises the origin: e.g. camellia, from G. J. Kamel, a Moravian Jesuit of the 17th century: dunce, from John Duns Scotus, a learned Schoolman, died 1308; his followers were called Duns men by their opponents:

filbert, for Philibert nut, from St Philibert's Day, Aug. 22nd: petrel (literally 'little Peter'), a sea-bird, so called because it appears, like St Peter, to walk on the sea.

FROM NAMES OF PLACES.

china for China-ware:

guinea, first coined of gold from the Guinea coast, 1663:

worsted, from Worsted or Worstead, the original seat of the manufacture, in Norfolk:

champagne and burgundy, from the districts in France where these wines are produced:

gipsy for Egyptian, there being a popular but mistaken belief that the gipsies came from Egypt. Their original home was India.

In the following words the original form is disguised:

canter, an easy gallop, abbreviated from Canterbury gallop, the ambling pace of the pilgrims riding to Canterbury:

cambric, a fine linen, from Kamerijk, or Cambray, in the north of France:

gamboge, a gum resin, from Cambodia, in Further India:

sherry, from Xeres, now Jerez, near Cadiz:

spruce, or spruce-fir, from Prussia:

hock, now applied to any white Rhine wine, is a shortened form of Hochheimer, the produce of vineyards near Mainz.

76. Names of Materials. Nouns denoting certain materials, e.g. mud, zinc, gold, rice, arsenic, are never found in the plural: others of precisely similar character occur in

the plural, but always in some special sense. Men means more than one man, but tins does not mean more than a certain quantity of tin, nor sugars more than a certain quantity of sugar. Tins means cases made of tin; coppers means coins made of copper; irons, fetters made of iron; slates, tiles made of slate; sugars, teas, calicoes, wines, mean different sorts or varieties of these commodities, and in these plural forms the nouns are common nouns.

77. A Collective Noun is one which denotes a number of things regarded as forming a whole. Such nouns as mob, regiment, flock, congregation, are collective. Both plurals and collectives denote a number of things: boys, cricketers, soldiers, sailors, are plurals. But collectives denote a number of things taken in the aggregate and viewed as forming a single group; school, team, army, crew, are collectives. Collective nouns are mostly common: there are several schools, teams, armies, crews. Sometimes however we use them in a restricted sense as applicable to only one object. Thus, if I say 'The King opened Parliament,' the common collective noun parliament has its application narrowed down to one assembly, just as the common noun king has its application narrowed down to one person.

When using a Collective noun, we sometimes have in view the individuals included in the group rather than the group as a whole. A Collective noun thus employed is called a **Noun of Multitude** and usually has a plural predicate. Thus we should say, 'The Committee were of different opinions,' but 'The Committee was unanimous.'

78. Abstract and Concrete Nouns.

When we are handling a billiard-ball, we observe that it has certain properties or qualities. It is solid, smooth, round. Apart from the ball, or some other object, these qualities have no independent existence. But we can refer

to them apart from the ball, withdrawing or abstracting, as it were, the qualities from the ball, and can speak of the ball's solidity, smoothness, roundness. Ball is a Concrete Noun: solidity, smoothness, roundness are Abstract Nouns.

A Concrete Noun is the name of a thing regarded as possessing attributes.

An Abstract Noun is the name of an attribute or quality of a thing.

79. Many nouns are abstract in one sense and concrete in another. When we say 'His industry is remarkable,' the word industry is abstract; it denotes a quality or attribute. But when we say 'The cotton industry is carried on in the north,' industry is concrete. We can use it in this latter sense in the plural and speak of 'the cotton and iron industries.' If we say 'Beauty is a perishable gift,' beauty is an abstract noun; if we say 'The babies are little beauties,' it is concrete. An abstract noun while it remains abstract cannot be used in the plural. It seems, no doubt, as if it could be so used sometimes. Thus the Prayer-Book has the expression 'negligences and ignorances.' But these plurals signify acts or instances of negligence and ignorance, and the words have become concrete.

80. Modes of formation of Abstract Nouns.

- (a) Most abstract nouns are formed from adjectives by adding -ness, as good-ness, white-ness; some are formed by adding -th or t, as tru-th, slo-th, from true, slow, and heigh-t from high: these forms are of English origin. Abstract endings from a foreign source are seen in cruel-ty, honest-y, brav-ery, grand-eur, just-ice.
- (b) Some are formed from verbs, as possess-ion, instruct-ion, enjoy-ment, err-or.
- (c) Some from nouns, as priest-hood, bond-age, serf-dom, friend-ship, hat-red, slav-ery.

QUESTIONS.

- 1. Would you use a singular or a plural verb in the following sentences? Why?-'The crew was (or were) starving.'-'Our team is (or are) having a good innings.'- Our team is (or are) making a good lunch.'- 'The public goes (or go) astray in various directions.'-'The fleet is (or are) in the Channel.'
- State what nouns we get from the following names (a) of persons: - Augustus Caesar, Captain Boycott, Epicurus, Dr Guillotin, James II. (Lat. Jacobus), Colonel Negus, Philip of Macedon, Simon Magus, Duns Scotus: (b) of places: - Bayonne, Calicut, Canterbury. Damascus, Milan, Spain,
- 3. The following nouns are names of materials, but they can be used in the plural. When so used, what meanings do they bear? paper, tea, stone, wood, sand, salt.
- 4. What is a noun? Is the paper on which you are writing a noun? Shew that the second part of your answer is consistent with your definition.
- 5. Give examples of collective nouns and of names of materials. When are collective nouns treated as singular, and when as plural? Do names of materials ever admit of a plural?
- 6. What Collective Nouns denote groups composed of the following individuals?—oxen, books, birds, bees, thieves, cut flowers, musicians, singers in a church, cricketers, hunting-dogs, legislators.
- 7. Define an Abstract Noun, and give the derivation of the term abstract. Form an Abstract Noun from (1) an Adjective, (2) a Verb. (3) a Common Noun.
- 8. Write sentences in which the following Nouns are used respectively as Concrete and Abstract:-age, youth, fiction, poetry, painting, belief, scholarship, royalty.
- 9. Point out the Noun-Equivalents in these sentences and suggest, where possible, a Noun for each:—'All is not gold that glitters.'- 'The most learned are often the most narrow-minded.'-'It was for beauty that the world was made.'-'To fail at all is to fail utterly.'-'None can cure their harms by wailing them.'- Promising opens the eyes of expectation.'- 'Time's glory is to calm contending kings.'- 'I know what he said and why he said it.'- 'The sublime is in a grain of dust.'-'A man hears only what he understands.'-'The righteous hath hope in his death.'- 'They saw that the enemy was advancing.'- 'To fear the worst oft cures the worst.'- 'There's nothing either good or bad but thinking makes it so.'-- 'Tis good to be sad and say nothing.'-

- 'How I came to consent may be easily explained.'—'The wisest truly is, in these times, the greatest.'—'Where one is wise, two are happy.'—'Naming thy name blesses an ill report.'—'If all the year were playing holidays, To sport would be as tedious as to work.'—'Art not thou a man?'—'We are born to do benefits.'—'Your abilities are too infantlike for doing much alone.'—'The sleeping and the dead are but as pictures.'
- 10. Assign each of the nouns in italics in the following sentences to its proper class. Give reasons for your answers.
 - (a) The Terror sailed yesterday.
 - (b) The nobility opposed the Crown.
 - (c) At the noise of the thunder she lost courage.
- 11. Give instances of the conversion (a) of Abstract into Concrete Nouns, (b) of Proper into Common.
- 'Some Cromwell guiltless of his country's blood,'—'a Paul in faith,'—'a second Hercules,'—'a new Timon,'—'a fat Adonis,'—'a financial Napoleon,'—'a Nimrod of to-day,'—'a modern Sappho,'—'a Daniel come to judgment.'
 - 13. Mention the Abstract Nouns connected with the following-
- (a) Adjectives:—high, weary, decent, cruel, just, true, gentle, plural, brave, honest, sublime, wise;
- (b) Verbs:—enchant, forbear, abstain, steal, wed, gird, grow, know, depart;
 - (c) Nouns :-child, glutton, hate, horseman, hero.
- 14. The following sentences contain, as Noun-Equivalents, adverbs or words quoted. Point them out and give other examples.
 - 'Every why hath a wherefore.'
 - 'Fly pride, says the peacock.'
 - 'Give and shine are strong verbs.'
 - ['He met with many ups and downs during his career.'
 - 'May be is very well, but must is the master.'
 - 'Your It is the only peacemaker.'
 - 'When Caesar says Do this, it is performed.']

CHAPTER IX.

GENDER OF NOUNS.

- 81. Sex is a natural distinction which we find existing in the sentient creatures around us: we call them male or female. Gender is a grammatical distinction existing in words: we call them masculine, feminine, or neuter. Nouns in Latin or German have this true grammatical gender: nouns in Modern English have none.
- 82. What do we mean when we say that a noun in Latin or German is of the masculine, feminine, or neuter gender? We mean that the noun affects in a particular way the adjective by which it is qualified. For example, in Latin we should say,

bon-us frater, bon-a soror, bon-um animal, and in German,

gut-er Bruder, gut-e Schwester, gut-es Tier, the adjective bonus or gut assuming a different form according as the noun to which it is attached is of the masculine, feminine, or neuter gender. Now in Modern English our adjectives have no inflexion of gender (or indeed of case or number) and good remains unchanged whether we speak of a man, a woman, an animal, or of anything else.

Observe another point of difference. In Latin and German distinctions of gender by no means cor-

responded with difference of sex: possibly the grammatical distinctions were not originally based on difference of sex at all. At any rate, in these languages countless objects without sex are denoted by masculine or feminine nouns, and in French all objects without sex are denoted in this way. In Latin mons, 'a mountain,' is masculine; res, 'a thing,' is feminine; while on the other hand mancipium, 'a slave,' is neuter. In German Löffel, 'a spoon,' is masculine: Gabel, 'a fork,' is feminine; Messer, 'a knife,' is neuter. Old English (or Anglo-Saxon) possessed true grammatical gender and nouns might be masculine, feminine, or neuter, independently of the nature of the objects of which they were the names. Thus moon (mona) and foot (fot) were masculine; sun (sunne) and hand were feminine; woman (wifman) was masculine, while wife (wif), maiden (mægden) and child (cild) were neuter.

83. About all this there is something fanciful and arbitrary, and we may feel thankful that from present-day English true grammatical gender is absent. Our modern system is simpler and more rational. Our language follows nature. Males we refer to as he, females as she, inanimate objects as it. Where nouns exist distinctive of sex, the difference of sex must be indicated by their appropriate use. Nelson must not be called a heroine or Joan of Arc a hero any more than a marquis is to be spoken of as it or a coal-scuttle as she.

And not only has our modern method the advantages of simplicity and common-sense; it also adds force to our language when we deviate into Personification. By this figure of speech we refer to inanimate objects as if they were endowed with life and sex. Things associated with the idea of strength or destructiveness are treated as males, e.g. Death, Time, Fear, War. Things associated with the idea of grace or fertility are personified as females, e.g. Moon, Mercy, Nature, Earth. If these nouns were already

of the masculine or the feminine gender, Personification would be less impressive.

- 84. But although true grammatical gender does not exist in Modern English, we can mark by our words a difference of sex in the objects, and this we do in the following three ways:
 - I. By a Suffix.
 - 2. By Composition.
 - 3. By using an entirely different word.
- 85. (1) Sex indicated by a Suffix. The suffixes, *i.e.* the terminations, or endings, of words indicating sex may be classified thus:

86. Remarks on these forms.

The Old English suffixes -ster and -en survive in the words spinster and vixen. Spinster originally signified a female spinner, but now means 'an unmarried woman.' In proper names, such as Webster and Brewster (feminines respectively of weaver and brewer), the form still exists, as it does in the words tapster, maltster, but the signification of the suffix has disappeared. In trickster, youngster, gamester, it is employed with an idea of depreciation or contempt. So completely has the original force of the ending been lost that to the words song-ster and seam-ster we have added the termination -ess, making songstress and seamstress. These words are hybrids, i.e. they contain elements borrowed from

different languages, songster and seamster being of English origin while the suffix -ess comes from the French.

In vixen two things are to be noticed: (a) the appearance of fox in the form vox: to this day a Somersetshire labourer uses v in place of f in many words; the Authorised Translation of the Bible preserves for us the word wine-fat, which has now been ousted by the form wine-vat, belonging to the Southern dialect of English: (b) the modification of the root vowel from o to i: this is due to Umlaut. See p. 50.

Foreign endings.—At the present day, if a new word is required to denote a female, -ess, borrowed from the French -esse, is the only suffix in use: so, authoress, doctress. Occupations once reserved to men are now thrown open to women. If we wish to mark the female sex of the persons following these occupations, we must either use compounds and say lady-doctor, lady-lawyer, or manufacture inflected forms and say doctress, lawyeress.

This French suffix is freely added to nouns of English extraction, without any regard being paid to the fact that the resulting forms are hybrids: e.g. goddess, shepherdess.

Frequently, when this ending is attached to a word, there is an omission of a vowel or of a syllable: e.g. actress, empress, governess, negress, sorceress. Abbess comes from the Latin abbatissa: there was also an uncontracted English form abbotess. Duchess is but little altered from the French duchesse. The wife of a marquis or marquess is a marchioness. The root of this word occurs in marches, meaning 'boundaries' or 'confines': e.g. 'Marches of Wales.' In mistress the vowel of master is weakened, as in the pronunciation of Mr. From mistress we get the abbreviated form Miss.

The remaining suffixes do not exemplify *English* modes of formation at all. The words which contain them are borrowed directly from foreign languages and therefore illustrate no process of English grammar.

84 ELEMENTS OF ENGLISH GRAMMAR.

- 87. (2) Sex indicated by Composition. When we make a new word by joining together two or more existing words, we call the process composition and the resulting word a compound. Thus he-goat, she-goat, cock-sparrow, hen-sparrow, bull-calf, cow-calf, man-servant, maid-servant, land-lord, land-lady, are compounds: each part of the words has a meaning by itself. Compare with these the word authoress, formed from author by adding -ess. Now -ess has a force only when added to another word; by itself it is without any meaning; it is a mere suffix, not a word. We call such a word as authoress a derivative.
- 88. (3) Sex indicated by Different Words. As examples of these correlatives, or pairs of words not grammatically connected, take the following:—boar, sow; buck, doe; bullock or steer, heifer; colt, filly; drake, duck; earl, countess; drone, queen-bee; gaffer, gammer; gander, goose; hart, roe or hind; monk, nun; ram, ewe; sire, dam; wizard, witch; sloven, slut; bachelor, maid or spinster.

Some of these words deserve notice:

Drake was once end-rake; the end was the significant part, meaning duck, as ente does in German to-day, and the rake was a mere suffix, perhaps meaning 'lord' or 'male.' Thus two-thirds of the important part, the root, have been lost, and one-third, a single letter, has been kept, with the whole of the masculine ending. It is as if the word actress were decapitated and reduced to tress.

Lord is loaf-ward, 'bread-guardian': lady contains the same root loaf, and possibly meant originally 'loaf-kneader.'

Gaffer is a corruption of 'grandfather,' gammer of 'grandmother.'

Sir=sire=senior; madam=mea domina, 'my lady.'

Witch was formerly of common gender, representing the Old English masculine wicca and feminine wiccs. From the same root, but not directly connected with witch, is wizard, a hybrid from Old English wis, 'wise,' with French suffix ard.

Woman = wife + man, not 'wife of man,' but 'wife-person.'

89. As a general rule the name of the female is derived from the name of the male. In the following words this order is reversed:

Bridegroom, the masculine of bride, was originally bryd-guma, or 'bride-man,' in German brautigam. Guma meant 'a man' in Old English. There has been confusion with groom.

Gander comes from the same root as goose, the German for which is gans. The d has crept in between the n and the last syllable, as in tender and gender (Latin tener, gen-er-i).

Widower has been formed from widow.

** Although true grammatical gender no longer exists in English, a useful convention allows us to speak of nouns as masculine, feminine or neuter. To call actress the 'feminine of actor' is much simpler than to call it 'the noun denoting a female corresponding to the male denoted by the noun actor.' In one case we use three words, and in the other we use fourteen. This convention is therefore adopted in the following questions.

QUESTIONS.

- 1. Give the feminine form or word corresponding to mayor, bull-calf, murderer, milkman, ogre, peacock, marquis, testator, czar, sultan, fox, earl.
- 2. Give the masculine form or word corresponding to roe, hind, nun, countess, landlady, doe-rabbit, abbess, traitress, margravine, spin-ster, bride, lass.
- 3. Write the feminine words corresponding to hero, giant, sorcerer, ram, stag, and the masculine words corresponding to duck, heifer, goose, empress, executrix.
 - 4. Give two examples under each of the following heads:-
 - (1) Nouns of common gender:
- (2) Nouns in which the termination -ster is without a feminine force:
- (3) Nouns in which the masculine has been formed from the feminine:
 - (4) Feminine Nouns without corresponding masculines.

[Only a few examples of (4) are to be found; e.g. brunette, dowager, milliner, laundress, shrew, virago.]

5. If we personify the objects indicated by the following names, which of them should we speak of as she?—Earth, Sun, Moon, Night, Death, Love, Nature, Winter, War, Justice, Time, Liberty.

CHAPTER X.

NUMBER OF NOUNS.

90. Number is an inflexion which shows whether we are speaking of one thing or of more than one.

When we speak of one thing, the form of the noun is singular; when of more than one thing, the form of the noun is plural. In Greek there was a dual number with separate inflexions, used when two things were spoken of, and English once had a dual number in the personal pronouns. But the absence of a dual from modern English is not a matter for regret. It is enough to distinguish between one and more than one; to distinguish between one, two, and more than two, is a needless refinement.

91. The ways of forming plurals in English nouns are shown in the following classified scheme, which should be learnt by heart:—

Table of Plural Forms.

- I. Add -s to the singular.
- II. Add -es to the singular of-
 - (1. Nouns ending in a sibilant, viz., s, z, sh, x, ch.
 - 2. Nouns ending in f or f, if of English origin and preceded by l or by a long vowel; change f into v.
 - 3. Nouns ending in y preceded by a consonant; change y into i.

4. Some nouns ending in o.

III. Archaic or Old English forms:

(1. Add -en, ox-en.

{2. Add -er, child-(e)r-en.

(3. Change the vowel: men, geese.

IV. Foreign forms:

1. Ancient; seraphim, phenomena, appendices.

2. Modern; banditti, mesdames.

92. Remarks on the Table of Plural Forms.

I. The ordinary mode of forming a plural in modern English is to add -s in writing: thus a new word like telephone or cablegram takes s. If however a word is borrowed directly from a foreign language, it may retain the form of the plural which it had in that foreign language. Such a word is then said to be 'imperfectly naturalized'; it has not yet become an English subject.

Observe however that though we add s in writing, we often add z in pronunciation. We have seen that if a surd s is added to a word ending in a sonant, either the inflexion s or the last letter of the noun must be altered. Both sounds must be sonant or both must be surd; otherwise it is difficult to pronounce them in the same syllable. Thus we write slabs, pods, hogs, but we pronounce these words slabz, pods, hogz. To pronounce them slaps, pots, hocks, preserving the true sound of the s, would be to obscure the nouns themselves.

II. This inflexion in s is a survival of the older form of the plural in -cs.

1. The inflexion cs as a separate syllable is necessarily retained to make the plurals of nouns ending in a sibilant sound. For if we add s to words with s, z, sh, x, or ch, for their last letter, such as gas, topax, bush, box, church, the s thus added cannot be pronounced. As we have seen, x is an abbreviation of ks, so words ending in x really end in s. The ch of which we speak here is the ch of arch, beech, and is really a compound of t+sh, so the sibilants enumerated above are reducible to three, viz., s, sh. The hard ch of monarch and the ch of the Scotch loch take s.

2. For the formation of plurals of nouns ending in an f sound, it is impossible to state concisely a rule which shall cover all instances. The rule, as we have stated it, is rather complicated, yet some words evade it. The following nouns illustrate the rule: leaf, loaf, calf, wife, wolf, self, for these words are of English origin and the vowel is long, or, if short, the f is preceded by l. On the other hand, the long vowel sound so in roof, hoof, is not followed by ves: these words take s. Staff, though of English origin and with long vowel, has for its plural

both staves and staffs. Strife, safe, brief, chief, proof, take s conformably with the rule, as they are not of English origin, but come from the French. But beef is exceptional in making beeves, as it is a French word. Wharf, dwarf, scarf, turf, are found with plurals in both forms, fs and wes.

- 3. There is hardly anything in the nature of an exception to the rule respecting nouns ending in v. A word like soliloguy, which makes its plural in ies, looks as if it were an exception, but it really follows the rule, for the combination qu has the force of kw, which is a consonantal sound. Perhaps the only established exception is flys, meaning 'carriages,' and inn-keepers can scarcely be blamed for refraining from advertising 'Flies on hire.' Some words in ev are occasionally found with their plural in ies, e.g. monies, but it is better to spell them according to the rule.
- 4. With regard to nouns in o, it is difficult to discover any principle which determines whether their plurals are in s or in es. Many of our words in o are of Italian origin, and these take s, as do all nouns in io. The nouns in o which take es are usually of earlier introduction. Cargo, echo, hero, potato, negro, take es: canto, selo, alto, piano, folio, oratorio, take s.

Observation and practice are required to enable us to form the plurals of nouns in f or in o correctly. Rules are of little or no use for the purpose. Still it is our business in dealing with grammar to search out the principles, if such there are, on which the rules are based, although the rules when we get them may be insufficient guides.

- Old English forms, other than es and s, which survive in modern English are few.
- 1. Oxen is the only modern English word which presents us with the form en simply. Chicken is not a plural form, though it is used as such in country districts. Kine comes from the O.E. cyna, genitive case of cy, which was the plural of cow: it is therefore not a double plural. Nor is swine the plural of sow: in O.E. swin, 'a pig,' was both singular and plural. In Old English several neuter nouns of one syllable, such as swine, sheep, deer, folk, underwent no change of their singular form when they were used in the plural number.
- 2. Child-er-en is a double plural, the er being one sign of the plural and the en another. No other word preserves for us the inflexion er with a plural force. Brethren is a double plural, brother having already modified its vowel to mark the plural, before en was added. But the -r. in brethren, unlike the r in children, belongs to the original word. and is not an inflexion.
- 3. There are only six nouns, in addition to the double forms mentioned above, which change their vowel to mark the plural: man, foot, tooth, goose, mouse, louse.

- IV. To those who know Latin and Greek, foreign plural forms seldom present any difficulty. People who have learnt no Latin sometimes make the plurals of neuter nouns wrong and talk of animalcula or effluvia instead of saying animalcula and effluvia. Most of these nouns from dead languages can now be used with English plural forms: we can say formulas, memorandums, dogmas, as well as formula, memoranda, dogmata. Cherubim and seraphim are Hebrew plurals, but it is only in the language of religion that we use these forms. We speak of babies as 'plump little cherubs,' not 'plump little cherubim,' and say of a chorus of girls that they sing 'like seraphs,' not 'like seraphim.' The forms cherubims, seraphims, are double-plurals.
- 93. The following paragraphs contain illustrations of various kinds of anomaly in the number of nouns. Anomaly means 'unevenness,' or irregularity.'
- (1) Some nouns are used in the Plural without change of form.

The following are examples: deer, sheep, swine, score, yoke ('five yoke of oxen'), the names of several sorts of fish,—salmon, trout, cod: also grouse, brace, hundredweight, gross.

(2) A few nouns appear to be Plural but are really Singular.

In the following words, the s is not a sign of the plural but is a part of the original word.

Alms: in O. E. almesse, borrowed through the Latin from the Greek root which we preserve in the word 'eleemosynary.'

Eaves: in O. E. efese.

Riches: we took our noun from the French richesse, though we had the adjective rich in English.

Owing to a mistaken notion respecting the s in these words, they are treated as plurals: 'If riches increase, set not your heart upon them.'

(3) Some nouns Plural in form are sometimes treated as Singulars.

News always takes a singular verb and a singular demonstrative adjective: 'This news is not true,' not 'These news are not true.' Yet news is a translation of the Medieval Latin nova, and means literally 'new things.' Small-pox is a plural in disguise, for pox is really pocks: we have the singular in chicken-pock. Yet we never use a plural verb with small-pox.

Tidings, means, amends, pains, odds, wages, are treated sometimes as singular, sometimes as plural. To decide whether we are acting more

in accordance with usage if we regard them as singular or as plural, we should place a verb after them and also observe whether they are more appropriately qualified by this or these, much or many. Does it sound more natural to say 'This odds is too great,' or 'These odds are too great'? to say 'Much pains has been taken,' or 'Many pains have been taken'? The usage of different people may vary.

Mathematics, physics, statics, and several similar words are plural forms taken from Greek adjectives. A century ago they were followed by a verb in the plural, and politics continues to take a verb in the plural. But, with the exception of the word politics, these nouns are now used as singular words.

(4) Some nouns change their meaning in the Plural.

Domino means 'a mask,' dominoes 'a game': vapour means 'steam,' vapours 'ill-humour': compass 'a mariner's compass,' compasses 'instruments for measuring': vesper 'evening,' vespers 'evening service': good means 'benefit,' goods means 'chattels.'

(5) A few nouns have two forms of the Plural with different meanings, the ordinary form being plural and the anomalous form having a collective force.

Pennies means separate coins, pence is collective: 'Can you give me six pennies for this sixpence?' Brother has the collective plural brethren, meaning members of the same community. Die, 'a stamp,' makes a plural dies, 'stamps,' and a collective dice, 'cubes' used in gambling. Cloth makes cloths, signifying different kinds or different pieces of cloth, and also clothes, the collection of one's garments. Fish has for its plural fishes: 'The multitude were fed with a few fishes'; but for its collective fish: 'He brought home a large basket of fish.' The word pea has lost the s in the singular by mistake: in the French pois it is still visible. But in its reduced form it has a plural peas, 'This pod contains six peas,' and a collective pease, as in 'pease-pudding.'

Index and genius have different plural forms, neither of which is however collective. Indexes means 'more than one table of contents'; geniuses 'more than one person of genius.' But indices means certain 'algebraical signs,' and genii 'fabulous spirits.'

(6) Some nouns have no Plural.

This is because their meaning excludes the idea of plurality. We saw that abstract nouns, while they remain abstract, cannot be used in the plural. Many of these nouns do occur in the plural, but they have then ceased to be abstract and have become concrete general names. Observation alone will show us which nouns are used in this double way and which are not. Hope, hardship, joy, colour, are abstract nouns which we use as concretes when we speak of hopes, hardships, joys, colours. On the other hand, manhood, indolence, goodness, courage, are always abstract and singular.

We noticed also that though the names of many substances or materials are used in the plural number, signifying different kinds or different portions of the material, there are some names of this description which custom forbids us to use in this way. Granite, gold, polash, bread, hemp, are never plural. The names of some diseases also are always singular, e.g. goul, consumption, rheumatism.

(7) Some nouns have no Singular.

These nouns denote things composed of separate parts, and the complex character of the object makes the plural form appropriate. E.g. scissors, tweezers, tronsers, entrails.

(8) Plural of Compound nouns.

- i. When the combination of parts is so complete that we regard the compound as a single word, the sign of the plural is added at the end of the compound, although the last part of the word may be an adjective. Thus we say spoonfuls when the words form a compound, but spoons full when they are taken separately.
- ii. But when the fact of composition is brought prominently before us by hyphens, as in brother-in-law, man-of-war, maid-of-honour, groom-of-the-chambers, the principal noun and not the qualitying adjunct usually takes the inflexion. Our practice however in this matter is by no means uniform. In spite of the hyphen in attorney-general, we speak of two attorney-generals, not attorneys-general, though these officials are not generals but attorneys. Again, lady superintendent becomes lady superintendents, not ladies superintendent, though the words are unconnected even by a hyphen. Notice that the 's of the genitive case is added at the end of the compound word. Thus we should say 'I have three brothers-in-law, and I am staying at my eldest brother-in-law's house.'
- iii. In a very few instances, both parts of the compound take the sign of the plural: men-servants, lords-justices, knights-templars. We may regard this as apposition.
- iv. In a few instances, in which the noun comes before the adjective, only the noun takes the sign of the plural: courts-martial, knights-errant.
- v. Nouns compounded with man form their plural in men, with the exception of Norman. Notice however that several proper nouns with this ending are not compounds of man at all, and their plurals are therefore formed in s. German probably comes from a Keltic word which signifies 'one who shouts.' Brahman, Ottoman, Turcoman, Mussulman, are unconnected with man.

- (9) How shall we form the Plural of (a) Miss Brown. and of (b) Mr Smith?
- (a) We may say (1) The Miss Browns, or (2) The Misses Brown, or conceivably, though as a fact we never do say so, (3) The Misses Browns. The usual form is the first, 'The Miss Browns,' in which we must regard Miss-Brown as a complete compound, like spoonful, which takes the sign of the plural at the end. The second form, 'The Misses Brown,' corresponds in its type to courts-martial, Miss being regarded as the noun, and Brown dwindling away to an adjective in its force. In the third form, 'The Misses Browns,' we have a mode of expression analogous to lords-justices, the two nouns being in apposition and each of them taking the inflexion.
- (b) Similarly we may say in practice either 'The Mr Smiths,' or 'The Messrs (Messieurs) Smith.' The grammatical justification of these alternative forms the reader can supply for himself.

QUESTIONS.

- 1. Write the plurals of German, Dutchman, Norman, story, storey, octavo, roof, reef, cuckoo, buffalo, formula, radius, crocus, datum, axis, appendix, genus, series, virtuoso, criterion, madam, dilettante.
- 2. Write the plurals of jay, journey, difficulty, colloquy, chief, staff, quarto, die, cloth, half, son-in-law, Miss Williams.
- 3. Write the plurals of butterfly, shelf, wharf, ox, man-of-war, oasis, index, simile, automaton, stratum, focus, caucus, terminus, cargo, portmanteau.
- 4. Show how the addition of the plural sign -s entirely alters the meaning of some English nouns.
- 5. Greek adjectives supply us with the forms logic, dynamic, optic, metaphysic, rhetoric, physic, politic. To which of these is an s added to make the name of a science?
- Write the plurals of strife, topaz, solo, echo, Mary, fife, bureau, elk, species, ellipsis, rhinoceros, hippopotamus.

Mention some nouns about whose plural forms there is variety of usage, and some which have been taken for plurals though really singulars.

- 7. The following nouns have two meanings in the plural but only one in the singular. Give their plural meanings: -custom, spectacle, manner, effect.
- 8. The following nouns vary in meaning according as they are singular or plural. What meaning has each of them in the plural? salt, force, iron, content, draught, beef.

9. With each of the following nouns should a verb be used in the singular or the plural number?—alms, banns, optics, poultry, scissors, salmon, sheep, sexpence, thanks.

Give a reason for your answer when you can,

- to. Mention three English nouns which have two plural forms, the one with a collective, the other with a distributive force.
 - 11. Are the verbs right in these sentences?

The innings was finished at six o'clock—A gallows has been erected inside the prison—The tidings are false—The barracks has been burnt down—The odds is 7 to 2—The alms is distributed on Sunday—A summons has been issued.

12. Are the following words strictly of the singular or of the plural number?—eaves, tidings, alms, news, riches, means.

Mention some nouns which have only a singular form, and some which have only a plural form.

- 13. In what number would you put the verb which is to agree with news, ethics, summons, the odds, gentry, fish, firearms, tongs?
- 14. Give examples of nouns which have (1) a plural inflexion without a plural sense, (2) a plural sense without a plural inflexion.
- 15. State and illustrate the rules for the formation of the plural of compound nouns.
- 16. Form the plural of pailful, forget-me-not, spendthrift, lord-lieutenant, runaway, poet-laureate, hanger-on, maid-in-waiting, will-o'-the-wisp, four-in-hand, valet-de-chambre, envoy extraordinary, minister plenipotentiary.
- 17. Write the plurals of the following compound nouns:—manservant, mail-servant, man-of-all-work, passer-by, looker-on, onlooker, castaway, prince-consort, lord justice, camel-driver.
- 18. Is there anything wrong in speaking of 'a curious phenomena,' 'two octopi,' or in saying 'A rich strata of gold has been struck'?

CHAPTER XL

CASE OF NOUNS.

94. If we examine the following sentences, we shall see that they contain various assertions about a thing called a town, which stands in different relations to other things called enemies, walls, or circumstances. 'The town admitted the enemy.' 'The enemy took the town.' 'The walls of the town were destroyed.' 'This circumstance was beneficial to the town.' 'The enemy were driven away from the town.' Thus, in the first sentence we say that the town did something to the enemy,—not, of course, the word town to the word enemy; what occurred was done by a thing to a thing, not by a word to a word. In the second, we say that the town occupied a different relation towards the enemy, and the enemy did something to the town. Now, when we employ language to record these events,-when we make assertions about these things,—we use nouns to name the things and verbs to make our statements, and we may then say that just as the things stand in different relations to other things and to acts, so our nouns stand in different relations to other nouns and to verbs. There is an indefinite number of these relations. expressed in English for the most part by prepositions. We can say in the town, through the town, across, down, up, over, under, round the town, and so on, marking in every instance some fresh relation.

Next let us write these sentences in Latin and notice the different method by which that language represents these various relations. Urbs admisit hostes. Hostes ceperunt urbem. Moenia urbis diruta sunt. Haec res urbi utilis erat. Hostes urbe sunt expulsi. Here we find the relations expressed by inflexions, whereas in English they were expressed by prepositions, or by the position of the nouns in the sentence. When we said that the town did something to the enemy, we put the word town before the verb and the word enemy after it, and we reversed their places when we said that the enemy did something to the town. But a Roman was not tied down as we are to a fixed order of subject and object in his sentence: urbs would show itself as subject and urbem as object, whatever place they might occupy. Again, urbis, urbi, urbe, inflected forms of urbs, express the relations of urbs to the other words in the sentence, whilst the prepositions of, to. from, express the same relations of town.

If the student has obtained some notion of the meaning of the word relation (which is one of the vaguest words in the language), he will find but little difficulty in what remains to be said on the subject of case.

95. Case is the relation of a noun, or pronoun, to other words in the sentence, or the form which shows its relation.

As we have said above, the relations in which a noun can stand are very many, but we do not call the expression of all these relations by means of prepositions cases: if we did, we should have as many cases as we have prepositions. The noun governed by a preposition is in the Accusative case. Thus, in of a town, to a town, the case of town is accusative. But as in English nouns a Genitive and a Dative case are recognised, we may call of a town a Genitive-Equivalent and to a town a Dative-Equivalent, the preposition and the noun being taken in combination.

96. How many cases have we in English nouns?

In answer to this question, let us write out the declension of *town* in the singular number, adding a sentence to illustrate the use of each case.

Nominative town 'The town was stormed.'

Vocative town 'Surrender, O town!'

Accusative town 'He captured the town.'

Dative town 'He granted the town its petition.'

Genitive town's 'The town's freedom was restored.'

Change of form appears only in the genitive case, but a change of relation is shown by the position of the word town or by its context. Compared with ours Latin is a highly inflexional language, yet even in Latin different cases often have the same form. Neuter nouns of the Fourth Declension, like cornu, have an inflexion only in the genitive of the singular number, cornus: all the other singular forms are the same as the nominative. Yet we speak of the accusative, dative, and ablative cases of cornu, and in like manner we speak of the nominative, accusative and dative cases of English nouns, though there is but one form to express three relations.

97. A noun is in the Nominative case when it stands as subject of a verb. E.g. 'The town admitted the enemy:' 'The town was taken.' In each of these sentences the subject is town, though in the first sentence town represents the doer of the action, in the second, it stands for the thing to which the action is done.

A noun is in the Vocative when it represents a thing addressed. E.g. 'Waiter' 'Sigh no more, ladies.'

A noun is in the Accusative case when it denotes the Direct Object of an action expressed by a transitive verb, or is governed by a preposition. E.g. 'The enemy took the town:' 'The enemy are in the town.' Town is said to be in the accusative case, in the former sentence because it

is the object of the verb *took*, in the latter because it is governed by the preposition in.

A noun is in the Dative case when it represents the Indirect Object of the verb's government. The Indirect Object commonly denotes the person to whom or for whom the act is done. It is found with verbs which take two objects, such as give, tell, teach, get, make, write, lend. pay. Thus, in the sentences 'Give me the book,' 'He told her a story,' 'She taught him music,' 'Get them a cab,' 'Make us an omelette,' the pronoun me = to me, her = to her, him = to him, them = for them, us = for us. The words me, her, him, them, us are in the Dative case. denoting Indirect Objects: book, story, music, cab, omelette are in the Accusative case, denoting Direct Objects. Formerly a dative case with distinct inflexions was used in English to express Indirect Objects, but through the loss of these distinct inflexions the dative and the accusative case assumed the same form in nouns, while in the pronouns the dative forms whom, him, them, took the place of the accusatives. The Dative case survives also in the impersonal verbs methinks, meseems and occurs in a few other uses. (See p. 238.)

The Genitive case is the form of a noun when it stands for a thing to which something else belongs or with which it is connected.

The King's crown: the King's execution. The noun King assumes the form King's because it stands for a thing (e.g. Charles I. or Louis XVI.) to which a crown belongs, or with which an execution is connected.

This relation may be expressed by the inflexion's or by the preposition of. We may say the King's crown, the King's execution, or the crown of the King, the execution of the King. The form King's is a genitive case: the expression of the King is a Case-Phrase—the Genitive-Equivalent of the inflected form King's.

The apostrophe before the s is no part of the inflexion or case: it is merely an orthographical device to show that a letter, e, has been thrown out, or turned away. (Apostrophe means 'a turning away.') In Wednesday the e is still present: Wednesday = Wodin's day.

98. Formation of the Genitive case. To form the genitive case singular add 's.

To form the genitive case plural add's if the plural does not already end in s: if it already ends in s, add the apostrophe only.

So, sing. town, town's; plur. towns, towns'. Thus in sound town's, towns, towns' are indistinguishable. But if we add the 's to a singular noun ending in the singular in an s sound, or sibilant, we pronounce the 's as a separate syllable: thus actress's is pronounced just like actresses or actresses'.

The genitive singular of a noun ending in a sibilant is frequently formed by adding the apostrophe without the -s, in order to avoid the recurrence of the s sound: but no hard and fast rule can be laid down. We say 'Jesus' brothers,' 'Sophocles' tragedies,' 'for goodness' sake,' 'for conscience' sake.' But we more commonly sound the s and write, e.g. 'St James's Square,' 'Mr Jones's,' 'St Thomas's Hospital,' in accordance with the pronunciation.

Compound nouns take the genitive inflexion s at the end of the word: son-in law's, man-of-war's. When we use several words to form a name, we put the 's after the last, treating the name as a compound word, though it has no place in the vocabulary as such. Thus we say 'The prime minister of England's residence,' 'I got this at Marshall and Snelgrove's,' 'He is in Price, Waterhouse & Co.'s office.'

Even nouns in apposition are dealt with in the same fashion. When one noun is used to explain another, it is put in the same case and generally in the same number. In the expressions Queen Victoria, Turner the baker, the noun Victoria explains queen, and baker explains Turner. But when we use these expressions in the genitive case, we almost invariably drop the apposition and treat the two nouns as if they formed a compound. We might indeed say 'This is Victoria's, the queen's, crown': 'I buy my bread at Turner's, the baker's, shop': these forms illustrate true apposition and are perfectly grammatical. But as a fact we should all say 'This is Victoria the queen's crown,' I buy my bread at Turner the baker's shop.'

The following examples illustrate the different ways in which nouns form their genitive case.

Nom. Gen.	Sing. boy boy's	<i>Plur</i> . boys boys'	Sing. ox ox's	oxen	Sing. Plur. mouse mice mouse's mice's
	nitive	Sing conscie conscie or conscie	nce nce's,	Plur. conscience conscience	J
	m inati ve nit ive	Sing son-in-l son-in-l	a w	Plur. sons-in-lay	
Nomina Genitivi	tive M		Sing. Henry V Henry V	III.	Sing. The last of the barons The last of the barons'

99. Can we always use at pleasure the inflected form of the genitive in 's or the preposition of?

No: a few trials will show that the preposition of can always be employed, but that there are narrow limits to the use of 's. We can say either 'the boy's cap,' or 'the cap of the boy,' 'the horse's bridle,' or 'the bridle of the horse,' 'nature's forces,' or 'the forces of nature,' 'friendship's garland,' or 'the garland of friendship.' But we cannot say, 'the ink's colour,' 'grammar's laws,' 'the kettle's lid,' 'the station's platform.'

Speaking generally we may say that the inflected form in 's is reserved for the names of living things and of personified objects, though our usage does not entirely conform to this principle: we use the form in 's in such phrases as 'a year's absence,' 'a month's delay,' though there is no personification to justify these idioms.

100. A quaint error was formerly prevalent respecting the origin of the 's in the genitive case. It was thought that the 's was a corruption of his. John's book was regarded as an abbreviated form of John his book. In the Prayer-Book we find the expression 'Jesus Christ his sake.' Whatever may be the origin of phrases of this type, two considerations disprove the theory that the 's of the possessive was a corruption of his:

- r. Old English presents us with the possessive form in es, but shows no trace of an original his from which it was alleged according to this theory to have been developed.
- 2. How can the s of the word his itself be explained on this theory? If s=his, whence did we get the first his?
- 101. The beginner may find it helpful in determining the case of the nouns in a sentence if he asks the following questions: to discover the—

Nominative, put who? or what? before the verb. 'The enemy took the town.' 'Who took the town?' 'The enemy.' 'The town was taken by the enemy.' 'What was taken?' 'The town.'

Accusative, put whom? or what? before the verb and its subject. 'He gave me the book.' 'What did he give?' 'The book.' 'The book' is the Direct Object.

Dative, consider which of the two objects of the verb retains its meaning when to (or sometimes for) is placed in front of it. 'He gave me the book.' 'To whom did he give it?' 'To me.' 'Me' is the Indirect Object.

Genitive, look for the sign of inflexion 's.

QUESTIONS.

- r. Name the case of each noun in the following sentences:—John killed Thomas. Thomas was killed by John. Thomas, the coachman's brother, was killed by John the gardener. Thomas the coachman's brother was killed by John. Call me a friend. Call me a cab. The people chose Balbus consul.
- 2. Wolsey the chancellor. Preserve the apposition of these nouns and make three sentences in which they occur respectively in the nominative, genitive, and accusative cases. How should we form the genitive in common use?
- 3. Write the genitive case singular and plural (where the meaning of the noun admits a plural) of goodness, Socrates, Burns, Debenham and Freebody, his sister Mary, his sisters Mary and Rose, hero, goose, the Prince of Wales, the Duke of Beaufort, child, sheep, footman. Norman, Englishman.

- 4. Give the feminine of songster, marquis, beau; the masculine of witch, roe, slut; the plural of sheep, sheaf, cargo, cameo.
- 5. How did the termination as or s come to be the usual mark of the plural in English nouns?

Mention other ways of forming the plural, and give examples.

Is there anything anomalous in the use of the words brethren, riches, chickens?

[In Old English, nouns had several plural suffixes, the commonest of which was -an: another common ending was -as. It was formerly supposed that the extension of -as (which became -cs) was due to French influence. The plural in -cs is now known, however, to have been in general use before French had exercised any influence on our language.]

6. How does the genitive case differ both in form and in use from the old genitive? State and illustrate the rules for its use in the singular and in the plural.

[Our genitive inflexion 's has come to us from the Old English termination es, which was the genitive ending of some masculine and neuter nouns, but not of feminine nouns, nor of nouns in the plural. The s in plurals like oxen's, mice's, has been attached through the influence of nouns with plurals regularly formed in s, as such nouns have the s in the genitive, sons', duchesses'. The uncontracted es is still visible in Wedn-es-day and is sounded in many words ending in a sibilant, such as duchess', Thomas', ass's. One of the old genitive plural endings is preserved in Wit-ena-gemot, 'meeting of wise men.' The absence of the s from Lady-day, Friday, is due to the fact that feminine nouns in Old English did not take this inflexion.

The relations expressed by the old genitive were much more numerous than those expressed by the modern genitive. The genitive inflexion is now generally limited to names of living beings and of personified objects. The preposition of enables us to express the relations indicated by the old genitive: e.g. partitive relation, 'door of the house,' 'half of his fortune'; adjectival relation, 'act of mercy,' 'man of virtue'; objective relation, 'love of money.'

For a fuller treatment of this question the student may consult Bain's Higher English Grammar, pp. 79—82, and 135—7.]

7. Give the definition and derivation of the word Case.

How many Cases are there in English? Name them and describe their uses.

[The Latin grammatians represented the nominative by a perpendicular and the other cases by lines falling away from it. This symbol Nom. Acc. Gen. Dat. Able explains the origin of some of our terms

Abl. explains the origin of some of our terms connected with case; thus, 'case' itself is from the Latin casus, 'a falling': 'oblique cases' are 'slopings-away' from the nominative: when we enumerate the cases of a noun, we decline it or give its declension, that is, its 'fallings.']

- 8. Insert the apostrophe where it is usually placed in the following phrases:—Socrates wife, the captains son, for conscience sake, their whos and their whiches, the Officers Widows and Orphans Fund.
- 9. Write the genitive case in the plural of the feminine form corresponding to bachelor, nephew, gander, sultan, jox, peacock, earl, host, billy-goat, jackass, husband, abbot, widower, marquis, drake.
- 10. State and illustrate the rules for the formation of the genitive case of Nouns, singular and plural.

Define the relations expressed by the following phrases: in Reason's ear, what a love of a baby I, a day's journey, a man of feeling, my money's worth.

11. Addison says,—'The single letter s on many occasions does the office of a whole word and represents the his or her of our forefathers.' Criticise this statement.

CHAPTER XII.

ADJECTIVES.

102. An Adjective is a word which is used with a noun to limit its application.

The name sheep is applicable to all sheep. If we join the word black to the noun sheep, the name black sheep is applicable only to those sheep which possess the quality of blackness. The application of the name sheep has been limited to a smaller number of things. In like manner, if we say some sheep, twenty sheep, or these sheep, those sheep, we narrow, or restrict, or limit, in every instance the application of the noun. We can make this limitation in other ways: we can say 'the sheep which won the prize at the show,' or 'the squire's sheep,' restricting the application of the word sheep by the use of a subordinate clause, or by the use of a noun in the genitive case. But a subordinate clause is not an adjective, though it may be so used as to have the force of an adjective, and squire's is a noun in the genitive case, though it limits the application of the word sheep like an adjective. A noun in the genitive case doing the work of an adjective, or a subordinate clause doing the work of an adjective, is called an Adjective Equivalent. Other Adjective Equivalents will be mentioned later.

- 103. An adjective does its work of limiting the application of the noun in two ways:
- (1) It may be used in connexion with the verb to form the predicate of a sentence; e.g. 'The sheep is black,' 'The sheep grew up black,' 'The dye has turned the sheep black,' 'The sheep was turned black by the dye.'

An adjective thus predicatively used is called a Predicative Adjective.

(2) It may be attached to a noun without forming any part of the predicate: e.g. 'the black sheep,' 'the happy Dutchman,' 'Modern Europe.'

An adjective thus attributively used is called an Epithet Adjective.

104. Classification of Adjectives.

I. QUALITATIVE OF DESCRIPTIVE.

III. QUANTITATIVE

1. Definite Cardinal Numerals Ordinal Numerals Multiplicatives

2. Indefinite

1. Possessive
2. Demonstrative
3. Emphasizing
4. Relative
5. Interrogative
6 Indefinite
7. Distributive

105. The Classification of Adjectives illustrated by examples.

I. QUALITATIVE OF DESCRIPTIVE ADJECTIVES: black, good, magnificent, modern, native, senior, big, great, small.

Participles (i.e. Verb-adjectives) belong to this group: e.g. 'a rising artist,' 'the winning side,' 'the dejeated party,' 'a foregone conclusion,' 'the beaten track.'

To this group also we may usually refer adjectives derived from Proper nouns: e.g. Platonic, Elizabethan, Japanese, Turkish.

These adjectives answer the question What kind?

Why are such words as big, great, large, small, placed among qualitative adjectives? Do they not mark quantity?

They mark size, but not amount: they indicate the dimensions of the thing, but not how much of it we refer to.

II. QUANTITATIVE ADJECTIVES:

1. Definite:

Cardinal Numerals: e.g. two, five, seventy and no, none, both.

Ordinal Numerals: e.g. second, fifth, seventieth.

Multiplicatives: e.g. twofold, double, triple, quadruple, fivefold, seventyfold.

2. Indefinite: e.g. much, many, most, few, some, sundry, several, all, half.

These adjectives answer the question *How many?* or *How much?* according as the reference is to number or to bulk: e.g. 'The snow is several feet deep,' 'There is much snow.'

Why should all and half be classed as Indefinite?

Because they are clearly lacking in numerical definiteness. All may be five or fifty: the same thing is true of half. They express a definite proportion, but not a definite number.

On the other hand none and both must be placed amongst the Definite Quantitative Adjectives, for though all is Indefinite, since it does not express how many, none is as Definite as possible, since it expresses the absence of any. Both, again, means two, but two taken together.

III. DISTINCTIVE, or PRONOMINAL ADJECTIVES.

Pronominal Adjectives owe their name to the fact that they resemble Pronouns in their force. They indicate or identify, but do not describe. Many of these Adjectives were originally Pronouns, and as Pronouns most of them can be used.

- 1. Possessive: e.g. my, thy, his, her, its, our, your, their.
- 2. Demonstrative: e.g. this, that, the, yonder, as in 'There at the foot of yonder nodding beech.'
- 3. Emphasizing: e.g. myself, thyself, itself: 'I myself saw it done': 'They heard the man himself.'
- 4. Relative: which, what and their compounds with ever or soever. thus, 'He decided to retire, which course offended his supporters'; 'What little money I had made, I lost'; 'Adopt whichever plan you prefer and take whatsoever help you need.'
 - . 5. Interrogative: which, what.
 - 6. Indefinite: e.g. an, a, other, some.
 - 7. Distributive : each, either, neither, every.

Logical rigour demands that Ordinal Numerals should be classified as Demonstratives. They answer the question Which? not the question How many? By placing them however among the Quantitative Adjectives, we secure these two advantages:

- (1) Ordinals are brought alongside of the other Numerals.
- (2) The seven remaining classes belonging to the Distinctive group are markedly Pronominal in character.

106. Adjectives used as Noun-Equivalents.

The substitution of Adjectives for Nouns is of various types: e.g.

- (a) 'The good, the true, the beautiful,' may be substituted for 'goodness, truth, beauty.' We describe these words as Adjectives employed as Abstract Nouns.
- (b) 'The wise,' 'rich and poor,' signify 'wise people,' rich and poor people.' We describe these words as Adjectives employed as Concrete Nouns.
- (c) Several adjectives have become nouns so completely that they take a plural and the genitive inflexion. Thus, we say Romans (e.g. 'Friends, Romans, countrymen, lend me your ears'; 'this is a Roman's part'; 'the Romans' bane'), Germans, Catholics, Stoics, Liberals, Jacobites, seniors, elders, betters, ancients, blacks, whites, 'form fours!' 'things are all sixes and sevens,' others.

107. Remarks on the Forms of the Numerals.

r. Cardinals indicate the number of things spoken of. They answer the question *How many?*

One appears with a negative prefix in none—no one: in the genitive case as an adverb in once (a similar use of the genitive case is seen in the adverbs twi-ce, thri-ce): in the adverbs only, literally, 'one-like,' and alone, i.e. 'all one': and as a noun in the plural, 'her little ones.'

Two was originally the feminine and neuter form of which twain was the corresponding masculine.

Five has lost before the v an n which is kept in German funf, Latin quinque.

Ten supplies the ending -teen to numerals from 13 to 19, and -ty to multiples of 10 up to 90.

Eleven is composed of parts signifying 'one-left,' i.e. one over when we have counted ten. Its constituents are clearly seen in the Gothic ain-lif.

Twelve means 'two-left,' i.e. two over ten. The form in Gothic was twa-lif.

Dozen is from douze, Latin duodecim.

Score is from a Scandinavian word, signifying 'a notch' or 'cut': it is used now as a verb signifying 'to keep an account.' As a noun it sometimes means 'twenty,'—perhaps because twenty was the number of notches marked on one tally or stick,—and sometimes means an indefinite number: 'He made a good score.'

Million is from the Latin mille, 'a thousand,' with an augmentative suffix -on, signifying 'a big thousand,' just as balloon signifies 'a big ball' and trombone 'a big trumpet.'

2. Ordinals indicate the position in a series of the things spoken of.

First is the superlative of fore, 'most in front,' the o being altered by Umlaut. See p. 50.

Second is from the Latin secundus, 'following,' from sequer, 'I follow.' Our native word was other.

Third was once thrid, retained in the word Riding = Thriding = Thirding, 'a little third,' of Yorkshire. This transposition is called metathesis. See p. 51.

It should be noticed that with the exception of the words second, dozen, million, billion, &.c., our numerals are of English origin.

- 3. Multiplicatives indicate how many times the thing spoken of exceeds some other thing. They are formed by adding -fold to the Cardinals: e.g. twentyfold, hundredfold.
- 108. The so-called Articles. The words the and an or a are Pronominal adjectives. In parsing, we may describe the as a demonstrative adjective commonly called the definite article, and an or a as an indefinite adjective commonly called the indefinite article.

In Old Eng. the was an indeclinable relative, used later as a demonstrative adjective, declined in three genders, singular and plural. That was its neuter singular.

An is another form of the numeral adjective one. The n is thus part of the root. We have not added n to a, but have dropped the n before words beginning with a consonantal sound

- 109. Points of interest connected with the words the and an or a are discussed in the following paragraphs.
- r. Do the and an differ so widely from Adjectives as to justify grammarians in regarding them as forming a separate Part of speech?

Let us inquire, first, in what respects they resemble the adjectives that and one, and secondly, in what respects they differ from the adjectives that and one.

In the first place, what are the points of similarity?

- (1) They resemble that and one in their force: 'the book' is a weaker form of 'that book,' 'a book' of 'one book.'
- (2) They are connected with that and one in their origin: that was the neuter of the, an was the older form of one.

On the other hand, what are the points of difference?

- (1) That and one are used as adjectives and as pronouns, the and an are used only as adjectives. Thus we can say 'Give me that book,' 'Give me one book,' using that and one as adjectives, or we can say 'Give me that,' 'Give me one,' using that and one as pronouns or substitutes for nouns. But although we can say 'Give me the book,' 'Give me a book,' using the and a as adjectives, we cannot say 'Give me the,' 'Give me a,' using the and a as pronouns.
- (2) An ordinary adjective can be used either as an epithet, as in the expression, 'the black horse,' or predicatively, as in the expression, 'The horse is black.' Now the Articles can be used only as epithets. We can say 'Sovereignty is one and indivisible,' but we cannot say 'Sovereignty is an and indivisible.' We can say 'John is lazy: James is that also,' but we cannot say 'James is the also.'

But this restriction about the use of the and an affords quite insufficient reason for constituting a new Part of Speech which shall consist of these two words. For there are other adjectives which do not admit of being used to form predicates. We cannot say 'This is my, that is your,' any more than we can say 'This is the, that is an.' But this peculiarity does not prevent us from calling my and your adjectives. Why then should the and an be differently regarded?

2. When is an used instead of a?

Before words beginning with a vowel, or a silent h, as in heir, honest; but words beginning with a y, or with a u which has the sound of y before it, take a: thus we say 'an utter failure,' but 'a useful machine.' To speak of 'an university' sounds rather pedantic. Words beginning

with an aspirate, however, if accented on the second syllable, commonly take an: thus we speak of 'an habitual offence,' 'an historic character,' 'an heroic incident,' although, we say 'a habit,' 'a history,' 'a hero.'

3. What are the chief uses of the?

- (a) to point out a thing: 'Give me the book, --not the red one, the black one.'
- (b) to specify objects which are well known to us: 'Let us have a walk in the garden'; 'the village,' 'the church.'
- (c) to indicate things of which only a single specimen exists: 'the Alps,' 'the Atlantic,' 'the Thames.' Hence also with superlatives, 'the meanest of mankind,' 'the highest point,' as these are singular objects.
- (d) to signify a class, with nouns in the singular number or with adjectives: 'the horse,' 'the ant'; 'the rich,' 'the wise.'
- (e) in colloquial language with emphasis on the word the, to give the force of a superlative: 'Here comes the cricketer,' meaning 'the best cricketer.'
- (f) as an adverb with comparatives: 'the more the better.' This signifies 'by that much the more by so much the better,' like the Latin quo and to. The is here a survival of the Old English ablative or instrumental case, thi, from the definite article or demonstrative pronoun the.

4. What are the chief uses of an or a?

- (a) to signify one: 'three men in a boat', 'two of a trade': 'In a year or two he will come down to a shilling a day.'
- (b) to signify any one: 'If a body meet a body:' 'A horse is a vain thing for safety.'
- (c) to signify some one, or a certain one: 'A policeman told me there was a fire:' 'He has a great liking for sport.'

QUESTIONS.

. Give the derivation and definition of the term adjective.

Distinguish the different kinds of adjectives in the sentence:—'Every man did that which was right in his own eyes.'

Give one example of each kind of adjective not represented in the preceding sentence.

[Adjective is from Latin adjectivum, 'what can be added on.']

2. Is it right to say that an Adjective marks the quality of a Noun?

- 3. What is an adjective? Point out the adjectives in the lines:
 - 'And his droop'd head sinks gradually low— And through his side the last drops, ebbing slow From the red gash, fall heavy, one by one, Like the first of a thunder-shower.'
- 4 Refer to its class each Adjective in the following stanza:

'Far different we,—a froward race:
Thousands, though rich in Fortune's grace,
With cherished sullenness of pace
Their way pursue,
Ingrates who wear a smileless face
The whole year through.'

- 5. Refer to its class each Adjective in the following sentences:—
 'My mind to me a kingdom is,
 Such perfect joy therein I find.'
- 'Second thoughts are best.'—'No road is long with good company.'—
 'That civility is best which excludes all superfluous formality.'—'Most things have two handles and a wise man will lay hold of the best.'—
 'What truly great thing has ever been effected by the force of public opinion?'—'Few of the many wise apophthegms which have been uttered, from the time of the Seven Sages of Greece to that of Poor Richard, have prevented a single foolish action.'
- 6. Limit the application of the nouns thoughts, mutton, music, by prefixing to each (1) a Qualitative, (2) a Quantitative, (3) a Demonstrative Adjective.
- 7. Form Adjectives from the following Nouns:—slave, tempest, clay, sense, man, quarrel, sore, gold, wretch, care, right, thought, fire, silver, courage.

Attach each Adjective to a suitable noun.

[More than one Adjective can be formed from some of the above words. From sore we obtain sorry.]

- 8. Write short sentences to illustrate the use of an adjective (a) as an epithet, (b) predicatively, (c) as an abstract noun.
- 9. The following Adjectives are used as Nouns in the plural. Supply the appropriate Noun which may be understood with each word:—eatables, valuables, incapables, unmentionables, vitals, italics, sundries, greens, empties, brilliants.

Add any more examples which occur to you.

- 10. (a) Some Adjectives are used as Nouts:
 - (b) Some Nouns are used as Adjectives:
 - (c) Some Adjectives are used only predicatively.

Construct three sentences to illustrate each of these statements.

[The use of Nouns as Adjectives is exemplified in such combinations as 'iron bar,' 'village church,' 'church bell,' 'railway bridge.' Instances abound. Adjectives used only predicatively are not numerous. See § 247. Other examples are akin, alive, athirst, aware, awry.]

- 11. Would you put a or an before each of the following words?—union, year, hypocrisy, hotel, urn, hour, harangue, history, historian, usurper.
- 12. Distinguish between the use of the Definite and of the Indefinite Article. Explain the use of the Article in 'a burnt child shuns the fire,' 'twice a day,' 'the red flag.'

[Note here that we might have expected 'a fire' rather than 'the fire,' as a burnt child shuns not only the fire at which it was once burnt, but any fire.

In 'twice a day,' although a has the form of the article now, it is a corruption of the preposition on, meaning in.]

- 13. Explain the uses of the and a in the following phrases:
 - (a) The more the merrier.
 - (b) The lazy Scheldt.
 - (c) A penny a piece.
- 14. If we say 'blind Milton,' 'patriotic Hampden,' 'gallant, little Wales,' do these adjectives limit the application of the nouns?

[No, for the nouns already name objects which are single or individual. 'Milton' or 'Hampden' is the name of one thing: there is only one Wales. We must understand these expressions as condensed forms of saying 'Milton who was blind,' 'Hampden who was patriotic,' 'Wales which is gallant though little.']

CHAPTER XIII.

INFLEXION OF ADJECTIVES.

110. One result which the Norman Conquest produced upon our language was this: the inflexions marking gender and case disappeared from our adjectives and, with the exception of these and those, the plurals of this and that, the inflexions marking number followed them. The adjective in English is thus in striking contrast with the adjective in Greek, or Latin, or German. In these languages the adjective is declined: with us it is invariable as regards gender, number, and case. Thus the only inflexion of adjectives which survives in modern English is that of Comparison.

111. What do we mean by the Comparison of Adjectives?

We saw that adjectives might be classified in three groups as Qualitative, Quantitative, or Pronominal. A qualitative adjective indicates the presence of some quality in the thing of which we are speaking. If we say 'The sheep is black,' we assert that the sheep has the quality called blackness, or in other words that blackness is an attribute of the sheep. Now many qualities are variable in the amount or degree in which they are present. Blackness admits of different shades: height, weight, speed, cleverness, are qualities which admit of far greater differences of degree than blackness. We observe the varying extent to which

these different qualities are presented to us by making a comparison of the objects, and we record the results of our observation by modifying the adjectives which are attached to the names of these objects. This modification is called Comparison of Adjectives.

An Adjective in the Positive Degree expresses the presence of a quality without reference to the extent to which that quality is present in something else.

An Adjective in the Comparative Degree expresses the presence of a quality to a greater extent than that to which it is present in something else, or in the same thing under other circumstances.

An Adjective in the Superlative Degree expresses the presence of a quality to a greater extent than that to which it is present in anything else with which we make the contrast.

Thus we say 'John is younger but taller than his brother: Mary is the cleverest of the three children.'

112. Do all Adjectives admit of Comparison?

Clearly not. The Pronominal Adjectives, e.g. its, this, that, the, a, each, which, express no quality which varies in amount. Then again of the Quantitative Adjectives, those which are definite, like the Cardinal Numerals and none, both, have meanings which do not admit of variations of degree. And it is only a few of the indefinite adjectives of quantity which admit of comparison. We can compare many, much, little, few, but not any, all, some, half, several.

Nor is it possible to form comparatives of all even of the Qualitative Adjectives: for—

(i) The adjective in the positive degree may already express the presence of the quality in the greatest conceive ble extent: thus, extreme, universal, full, empty, top, infinite, perfect, if literally used cannot be compared. When e say 'This glass is emptier than that,' 'Yours is a more

perfect specimen,' we are evidently employing the words empty and perfect in an inexact sense.

- (ii) The adjective may denote the presence of a quality which does not vary in its amount: e.g. wooden, circular, monthly, English.
- 113. Formation of Comparatives and Superlatives. There are two ways of forming the degrees of comparison:
- 1. Add to the Positive er to form the comparative and est to form the superlative, in the case of all words of one syllable and some words of two syllables, especially those in er, -le, y, as clever, able, merry.
 - 2. Use the adverbs more, most before the Positive.

The substitution of more and most for the inflexional forms -er and -est began through Norman French influence, but has been extended during the last two centuries on the grounds of euphony. Such forms as honourablest, ancienter, virtuousest, are not only disagreeable to the ear but also awkward to pronounce.

Notice the following changes of spelling when the inflexions marking comparison are added:

- i. If the positive ends in -e, cut off the -e: e.g. grav-er, larg-er.
- ii. If in y, change the y to i if a consonant precedes, as *drier*, merrier, but retain the y if a vowel precedes, as gayer, greyer. (This is similar to the rule determining the spelling of plurals of nouns in -y.) Note that the adjective shy keeps the y.
- iii. Monosyllabic words ending in a consonant preceded by a short vowel double the consonant to show that the vowel is short: hotter, thinner, redder. A few other adjectives, not monosyllabic, exhibit the same orthographical change: crueller, hopefuller.
- 114. The following comparisons are irregular, that is to say, they do not conform to the general rules stated above; in many instances deficiencies have been supplied by borrowing words from other adjectives: defect is one kind of irregularity.

Positive.	Comparative.	Superlative.
Good	better	best
Bad	worse	worst
Little	less	least
Much, many	more	most
Nigh	nigher	nighest, next
Near	nearer	nearest
Fore	former	foremost, first
Far	farther	farthest
[Forth]	further	furthest
Late	later, latter	latest, last
Old	older, elder	oldest, eldest
Hind	hinder	hindmost, hindermost
[In]	inner	inmost, innermost
[Out]	outer, utter	utmost, uttermost
[Up]	upper	upmost, uppermost
Kathe	[rather]	- · · · ·

The positive forms in brackets are adverbs: corresponding adjectives exist only in the comparative and superlative.

115. Remarks on the Irregular Comparative Forms.

Better comes from a root which we have in the word bootless, meaning 'of no good'; and in to boot, meaning 'to the good.' Best bet-est.

The stems of worse and less end in s, and the comparative suffix, which was originally -s before it became -r, has been merged in the s of the stems. Thus worse and less were not obviously comparative forms, and consequently we get the double comparatives worser, lesser.

Less, least are not formed from httle.

More, most are connected etymologically with mickle, not with many.

Near is really the comparative of nigh: the r is the sign of comparison: so nearer is a double comparative. In Old Eng. the positive was neah.

Last is from latest, as best from betest. We use latter and last of order in a series, later and latest of time.

Elder, eldest show a modification of the vowel of the positive which is common in German comparative forms. With reference to the double set of forms, elder, eldest, older, oldest, observe that (1) elder is no longer used to express comparison with than: we cannot say 'He is elder than his brother': (2) the use of elder is restricted to persons: we cannot say 'Fine the elder is restricted to persons: we cannot say 'Fine the elder' is restricted to persons:

This is the elder of the two horses: (3) elder can be used as a substantive, 'Respect your elders:' older is always an adjective.

Rathe as a positive adjective meant 'early.' Milton speaks of 'the rathe primrose.' We preserve only the comparative rather, which we use as an adverb: 'I would rather go'=I would sooner go than not go, if I had the choice.

Hindmost, inmost, utmost, etc. These words in -most require particular attention. At first sight one would naturally suppose them to be compounds of most, as this explanation would exactly suit their meaning as superlatives. But we can trace their forms back to an earlier period of the language and satisfy ourselves that they did not arise by the combination of most and hind, most and in, etc. In Old English, several adjectives, which have comparatives and superlatives formed from adverbs, contain the letter -m- which was a superlative suffix. To this was added the superlative ending -est, making mest, which was confounded with most. Thus these words are really double superlatives. (But most the superlative of much is not formed in this way. It is derived from a positive root mag-, meaning 'great,' by adding st.)

Foremost is really a double superlative of fore, containing the two superlative inflexions -m- and -st. But the fact that the -m- represented an earlier superlative suffix was forgotten, and from forem-ost, as if it were a simple superlative, the comparative form-er was coined. Hence the word former breaks up into these elements; root fore, superlative suffix -m-, comparative suffix -er.

First represents the superlative of fore, fore-st, the vowel of the root being changed by Umlaut.

Further is a comparative of fore, formed by adding a comparative suffix -ther. It was wrongly looked upon as a comparative of forth to which the regular comparative ending -er had been added, and, owing to this mistaken notion, the th was retained in the superlative furth-est.

Farther and further are used indiscriminately now, but their meanings were originally different; farther meant 'more distant, more far away,' further, 'more in front, more to the fore.' Yet we see no contradiction at the present day in saying 'Stand further off,' 'He is coming farther this way.'

Hind occurs as an adjective in 'the hind quarter,' 'hind wheel.'

Utter is used as a comparative in the law-courts in the phrase 'the utter bar.' in contrast with the 'inner bar.'

116. Examples of Double Comparatives are seen in nearer, lesser, worser: examples of Double Superlatives in foremost, inmost, upmost, etc. Such expressions as more better, more braver, most worst, most unkindest are frequently met with in Shakespeare and other Elizabethan writers. When we use such expressions as chiefest or most universal, we are employing adjectives which are double superlatives

in meaning though not in form. But this arises from our laxity in the choice of words: we use *chief* as if it meant the same as *important*, and *universal* as if it meant the same as *general*.

- 117. Superlatives are sometimes employed to denote the presence of a quality in a high degree, without any suggestion of comparison. When a mother writes to her son as 'My dearest boy,' she does not mean that his brothers occupy a lower place in her affections: 'dearest' signifies in such a case 'very dear.'
- 118. There are some comparative adjectives which we cannot use with than. Thus the following adjectives which have been borrowed directly from the Latin in the comparative form do not admit than after them: senior, junior, exterior, (which take to after them); major, minor, interior. The following adjectives of English origin have the same characteristic; elder, inner, outer, latter. We can say older than, later than, but not elder than, latter than.

QUESTIONS.

- 1. Adjectives of two syllables having certain terminations may be compared without the use of *more* and *most*. Specify three of these terminations, and mention adjectives which contain them.
- 2. Give the comparative and superlative degrees of sad, gay, free, nigh, bad, old, hateful, happy, out, awry, fore, late, sly, holy, far, virtuous, dry, complete, big, honourable.
- 3. Make sentences which illustrate the difference in our use of oldest, eldest; latest, last; nearest, next; farthest, furthest.
- 4. Which of the following Adjectives, when employed in their strict sense, cannot be compared?—common, universal, supreme, monthly, triangular, despotic, absolute, inevitable, unique, European, eternal, boundless.
- 5. Describe the origin and formation of the words first, second, eleven, thirteen, twenty, million.

CHAPTER XIV.

Pronouns.

- 119. A Pronoun is commonly defined as a word used instead of a noun. The definition has these merits: it is short, it is easily understood, and it calls attention to the useful service which most Pronouns perform in saving the repetition of a noun. Thus, for example, if no pronouns existed, instead of saying 'John gave Mary a watch on her birthday, and she lost it,' we should have to say 'John gave Mary a watch on Mary's birthday, and Mary lost the watch.'
- 120. But have all pronouns this property of serving as substitutes for nouns?

A good deal of ingenuity must be exercised if we are to bring within the scope of the definition (1) the Personal Pronouns of the First and Second Persons, and (2) the Interrogative Pronouns.

- (1) For if the pronouns I and you were abolished, and nouns were put in their places, we should have to recast our sentences entirely and make all our statements in the third person.
- (2) Again, when we ask 'Who broke the window?' what is the noun for which we are to say that the pronoun Who serves as substitute? We must maintain that the pronoun Who here stands for the noun which the answer supplies, but this seems rather far-fetched. For suppose that the reply to the question is not 'Brown,' or 'the boy,' but 'I don't know,' where is the noun?

The ordinary definition is exposed to the further objection that it overlooks the essential difference between Noun and Pronoun. The essential difference is this. A Noun has a uniform meaning of its own. It always indicates an object of the same kind. The meaning of a Pronoun, on the contrary, varies with every change in its application. (See § 72, 3, p. 70.) If I read the words, 'A horse ran away.' I know, not indeed what particular horse ran away, but the particular class of objects to which the thing that ran away belonged. If, on the other hand, I read the words, 'It ran away,' it may signify a horse, or a dog, or a traction-engine, or anything else, according to the context. I means Jones when Jones speaks, Zeus when Zeus speaks, a horse or a tree when horses and trees speak, as they do in fables. In certain situations anything can be I, vou, he. this, or that, but only one set of things can be horses. Pronouns admit of universal application: the objects which they denote are infinitely various. Nouns, on the contrary, identify things as belonging to particular groups. In short, Pronouns indicate: Nouns name.

A Pronoun might therefore be defined as a word which denotes a thing, not by its own name but by its relation to something else. This statement, however, unless accompanied by some such explanation as we have given above, would convey very little meaning to anybody. The student will probably prefer to fall back upon the ordinary definition of a Pronoun as a word used instead of a noun, and provided that he understands in what respects the definition is defective, no harm will result if he follows his preference.

121. Pronouns are of different kinds.

(1) Some are used exclusively as substitutes for nouns: e.g. he, who. We cannot say, 'He man' or 'Who boy.' In such expressions as, 'I, the master,' 'You, the pupil,' 'He, John,' we have a noun in apposition with the pronoun: John explains he: he does not limit the application of John.

(2) Others are used both as substitutes for nouns and as adjectives limiting nouns: e.g. that, what. In the sentence 'I like that book,' that is an adjective: in 'I like that,' it is a substitute for a noun (though we might also regard it as an adjective with a noun understood, just as we understand the noun 'horse' to be implied with the adjective 'black' in the sentence 'I like the white horse better than the black'). In the sentence 'What did he do?' what takes the place of a noun: in 'What work did he do?' it is an adjective limiting the meaning of work.

Classification of Pronouns.

- I. PERSONAL—(used only as nouns) I, we; thou, you, ye.
- II. DEMONSTRATIVE—(nouns only) he, she, it, they: (adjectives also) this, that, these, those; such ('such as sit in darkness').
- III. REFLEXIVE (nouns only) and EMPHASIZING (adjectives also)
 —myself, ourself ('Be as ourself in Denmark'), ourselves; thyself, yourself, yourselves; himself, herself, itself, themselves; oneself.
- IV. RELATIVE—(nouns only) who, that, as: (adjectives also) which, what. Add the intensive compounds whoever, whoso, whosoever, whichever, whichsoever, whatever, whatsoever.
- V. INTERROGATIVE—(noun only) who: (adjectives also) which, what, and obsolete whether (i.e. which of the two? e.g. 'Whether is greater, the gift or the altar?'). Add the intensive compounds whoever, whatever.
- VI. INDEFINITE—(nouns only) anyone, someone, anybody, some-body, aught (e.g. 'for aught I know'): (adjectives also) one, another, any (as noun, e.g. 'Here can I sit alone unseen of any'), some, certain (obsolete as noun, e.g. 'There came from the ruler of the synagogue's house certain which said').
- VII. DISTRIBUTIVE—(both as nouns and adjectives) each, either, neither, every (obsolete as noun, e.g. 'Every of the happy number').
 - VIII. Possessive—mine, thine, his, hers, ours, yours, theirs.
- The treatment of Indefinite and Distributive Pronouns varies widely in different text-books on English Grammar. By some writers Classes VI. and VII. as given above are excluded from Pronouns and placed among Nouns or Adjectives. Others give lists of Indefinite Pronouns, the pronouns in their respective lists ranging from as few as five to as many as thirty. What one writer calls a noun or an adjective would

be called by another an Indefinite Pronoun. Dogmatizing is out of place in a classification of this sort.

122. Definitions of the different kinds of Pronouns.

Personal.

The Pronoun of the First Person is used in the singular to denote the speaker alone, and in the plural to denote the speaker and others with whom he is associated.

The Pronoun of the Second Person is used of the person or persons addressed.

- 2. A Demonstrative Pronoun is one which points out a thing.
- 3. A Reflexive Pronoun denotes the object of an action when the object is the same as the doer of the action.
- 4. A Relative Pronoun is one which refers to some other noun or pronoun and has the force of a conjunction.
- 5. An Interrogative Pronoun is one by means of which we ask a question.
- 6. An Indefinite Pronoun is one which does not point out precisely the object to which it refers.
- 7. A Distributive Pronoun is used when there are more things than one, to denote that the things are taken separately.
- 8. A Possessive Pronoun is a Personal Pronoun in the genitive case used predicatively.

With the exception of the word Relative, the adjectives by which the kinds of pronouns are described convey a clearer notion of their characteristic features than these definitions will afford. The student should notice the Examples given in the Classification of Pronouns under their respective heads and observe the appropriateness of the names by which the various classes are distinguished.

We will now consider the different classes in detail.

123. I. The Pronouns of the First, Second, and Third Persons are declined thus:

						Pronoun of		
	Pronoun of 1st Person		Pronoun of and Person		3rd Person			
					Sing.			Plur.
	Sing.	Plur.	Sing.	Plur.	M.	F.	N.	
Nom.	I	we	thou	y e, you	he	she	it	they
Acc. \ Dat.	me	us	thee	ye, you	him	her	it	them
Gen.	mine	ours	thine	yours	hıs	hers		theirs
Gen.	[my	our	thy	your		her	its	their]

124. Remarks on these Pronogus.

- (1) There cannot be a plural of I at all, strictly speaking. We does not mean I+I, as horses means horse+horse; there is in the nature of things for each of us only one I. We signifies really I+you, or I+they.
- (2) Why should the pronouns denoting the 1st and the 2nd Person have no distinctions of Gender, while the pronoun denoting the 3rd Person possesses a set of inflexions to mark Gender?

Because when I am addressing you, our sex is not a matter of doubt, as we are both of us present; but when we are speaking of a third thing, it is desirable for greater certainty to indicate whether it possesses sex or not, and what sex, as it may be absent.

(3) The Pronoun of the Third Person is sometimes called a Personal pronoun, but it is better to class it with the Demonstratives. She was not originally the feminine of he: she was the feminine of the Old English definite article or demonstrative adjective, which supplied us also with our forms of the plural number, they, their, them¹.

The *t* in *it* is a sign of the neuter, like the *d* in *illud*. Its is a modern word, occurring rarely in Shakespeare, at the beginning of the 17th century, and frequently in Dryden, at the end of it. It appears once in the Authorized Version of the Bible (Levit. xxv. 5) as it is now printed, but not in the original edition of 1611. His was formerly the genitive case of both he and it: 'If the salt have lost his savour.'

- (4) The genitive forms my, our, thy, your, her, its, their are used no longer as Personal Pronouns, but only as Possessive Adjectives. Thus my and thy are equivalents of the Latin mens and tuus, not of mei and tui. Pars mei must be rendered 'a part of me,' not 'my part;' forgetfulness of you' is not expressed by saying 'your forgetfulness,' nor 'envy of them' by saying 'their envy.' Passages may be found however in Shakespeare, or in the Authorized Version of the Bible, in which my, our, your, their, &c., are used as true genltives of the Personal Pronouns. When Shakespeare writes 'at your only choice,' 'to all our sorrows,' the meaning is 'at the choice of you alone,' 'to the sorrow of us all.' Similarly, 'Be not afraid of their terror' (I Peter iii. 14) means 'Be not afraid of the terror of them,' and 'In thy fear will I worship' (Psalm v. 7) means 'In the fear of Thee will I worship.' These forms
- 1 In Old English the Pronoun of the Third Person was declined in the nominative case thus: masc. he, fem. heo, neut. hit. Of these forms we have retained he and (h)it, but have borrowed the feminine she from the feminine see of the Demonstrative, masc. se, fem. see, neut. hat ('that'). The colloquial 'em, as in 'Give it 'em,' is a survival of hem, the old dative plural of he, not a corruption of them.

belong to the Personal Pronouns by origin, but have become purely adjectival in force. We have therefore enclosed them in brackets.

(5) Thou is used only in addressing God and in the flights of poetry or rhetoric. But half-a-century ago the Quakers employed thou and thee in ordinary speech. In the Elizabethan age thou and thee expressed affection or contempt, as is the case with tu in French and du in German to-day. The plural you is now used exclusively, whether we are addressing several individuals or only one. Sovereigns adopt this plural style in their manifestoes when speaking of themselves and say 'We' for 'I.' Editors of newspapers express their opinions in the same fashion, frequently with effects which are droll rather than impressive.

In an older stage of our language, ye was reserved for the nominative and you for the objective: 'Ye have not chosen me, but I have chosen

you. Ye occurs now only in the diction of poetry.

(6) The dative me survives in methinks, mescens, 'woe is me,' and as the indirect object, e.g. 'do me a service'; here me is equivalent to 'for me' or 'to me.'

125. II. Demonstrative Pronouns.

This and that are employed to denote the latter and the former, like the Latin hic and ille,—this the one nearer to us, that the one farther away.

That is by origin the neuter of the definite article or demonstrative adjective: the t is a sign of gender as in it and what.

Those is used as the plural of that, these as the plural of this: these and those are really forms of the plural of this.

126. III. Reflexive and Emphasizing Pronouns.

Myself, ourselves, yourself, yourselves, himself, herself, itself, themselves, oneself.

(1) 'Take care of yourself,' 'They killed themselves.' In such sentences we have the reflexive use of these pronouns: the action performed by the doer passes back to him, so both the subject and the object of the sentence stand for the same person.

- (2) 'My wife and myself were present,' 'Here's a shilling for yourself.' In such sentences we have the emphatic use of these pronouns.
- 127. The compounds of self present difficult problems. Let us take the words myself, ourselves, himself, and themselves, and see if, keeping our heads clear of historical details, we can give a satisfactory account of the words as they exist to-day.

In the first place, what part of speech is self?

A noun: we speak of 'love of self'; 'a sacrifice of self'; we say 'Self makes demands on one's time.' Nouns take inflexions to mark the plural; self becomes selves. Nouns are limited in application by adjectives: my and our are possessive adjectives. There is no particular difficulty in understanding how the word myself came to be used both for reflexive and for emphatic purposes. If self means 'one's own person,' 'I myself did it' is a way of saying 'I did it of my own person': 'I hurt myself' is a way of saying 'I hurt my own person.' Thus far all is fairly simple.

But then by analogy we should expect the forms hisself and their-selves, and indeed from the vulgar hisself and their-selves are to be heard to-day. Is there any way of explaining the forms himself and themselves?

In the first place, self must still be regarded as a noun, for it forms a plural selves. In the second place, him and them are pronouns, in what was originally the dative but now serves also for the accusative case. Now the relation of the nouns him and self, them and selves, not being one of dependence (for if it were, one of the words would be in the genitive case, which it is not), must be one of apposition. Therefore the entire words must be composed of two nouns in the accusative case standing in apposition. And this explanation fits in very well with the reflexive use of himself, themselves, 'He struck himself,' 'They hurt themselves,' where nouns in the accusative case are required. But then we can also say emphatically 'Himself would fight,' 'Yourself is starving,' using himself and yourself as subjects. Here the explanation breaks down. We can assume, if we like, that people lost sight of the original dative force of these words and came to use them as nominatives, just as we use me as a nominative, when we say 'It's me.'

Applying these conclusions to the forms one's self and oneself we may say that both can be justified: the former shows us one's in a relation of dependence on the noun self, and therefore in the genitive case; the latter exhibits the two words one and self in apposition.

The reader may study the earlier history of these forms in other books.

128. IV. Relative Pronouns.

The characteristic feature of the Relative Pronouns is this: they have the force of conjunctions. Thus, the sentence 'I met the policeman who said there was a disturbance' contains two sentences rolled into one: 'I met the policeman. He told me there was a disturbance.' 'This is the book that you lent me' may be resolved into 'This is the book. You lent it me.' The name relative is not a happy one, as it does not call attention to this connective function. These pronouns might more appropriately be called conjunctive or connective pronouns. Several other pronouns might with equal reason be called relative in this sense, that they relate or refer to an antecedent: thus, in the sentences 'I saw John: he was looking very well, 'Here are your pens: they are all broken,' he refers to John, and they refers to pens, but he and they have no power to unite the sentences in which they occur with the sentences which precede them; this power belongs to the so-called Relative Pronouns alone. If we substitute who and which for he and they, the two sentences become in each case a single sentence: 'I saw John who was looking well,' 'Here are your pens which are all broken'. The name Relative Pronoun is established too securely among grammatical terms, however, to allow us to replace it by another more suitable word; the student must therefore pay particular attention to the concluding part of the definition of a Relative Pronoun as one which refers to some other noun or pronoun and has the force of a coniunction.

The noun or pronoun to which the Relative refers is called the antecedent, i.e. that which goes before. The relative usually comes after the noun or pronoun to which it refers, but the order of the clauses containing the relative and antecedent is sometimes inverted. Thus 'Whom I honour, him I trust' is equivalent to 'I trust him whom

I honour:' him is the antecedent, though the relative whom precedes it.

The relative is often omitted when, if expressed, it would be in the accusative case. Thus 'The man I met told me so' is an elliptical form of expression for 'The man whom I met: 'I have lost the book you lent me' is elliptical for 'the book which you lent me.' Similarly, 'the man you gave it to' is a condensed way of saying 'the man whom you gave it to,' or 'the man to whom you gave it;' 'the book I asked for' represents 'the book which I asked for,' or 'the book for which I asked;' 'the day I came' stands for 'the day which I came on,' or 'the day on which I came.' But this omission of the relative can occur only when the relative is in the accusative case: we cannot suppress the relative, if it is in the nominative or genitive. Thus from the sentence 'The man who met me told me so' we cannot leave out who, nor from the sentence 'The man whose horse ran away was thrown off' can we leave out whose.

Observe that the relative has sometimes a restrictive and sometimes a coordinating force. If I say, 'This is the book that you lent me,' the clause that you lent me restricts, or limits, or defines the antecedent book, marking it off from other books. But if I say, 'I met the policeman who said there was a disturbance,' the relative who has a coordinating or continuative force. Who is here equivalent to and he: it introduces a fresh statement: 'I met the policeman' (Fact 1) 'and he said there was a disturbance' (Fact 2). If I wished to identify the policeman whom I met as one who, on some former occasion, had told me that there was a disturbance, I should say, 'I met the policeman that said there was a disturbance,' using that instead of who. The coordinating force of the relative is seen when which refers to a whole clause as its antecedent: e.g. 'He failed to pass, which was a disappointment.'

The antecedent is sometimes omitted. Thus we may

say 'Who breaks, pays.' When what is used as a relative, the antecedent is always omitted: 'I understand what you mean.' It is contrary to modern idiom to insert that in such a sentence before what.

129. V. The following are both Relative and Interrogative Pronouns.

Who is used only as a noun: we cannot say who man. It has three cases, who, whom, whose, in singular and plural.

What is the neuter of who and can be used both as noun and adjective. What is used as an Interrogative in 'What did he say?' Here it has the force of a noun. 'What remark did he make?' Here it is adjectival. It is used as a Relative in 'What they took they kept.' Here it has the force of a noun. 'What towns they took they kept.' Here it is adjectival.

Pronouns really Interrogative may easily be mistaken for Relatives when they occur in dependent clauses involving questions. Thus the sentence, 'Ask who is there and what he wants' means, 'Ask the questions, Who is there? What does he want?' Who and what are therefore interrogatives. Again, in the sentence, 'Tell us which it was,' which is interrogative. The meaning is, 'Tell us the answer to the question, Which was it?' On the other hand, in the sentence, 'I knew what he said,' what is interrogative or relative according to the meaning. If the meaning is, 'I knew what his words actually were—I could answer the question, What did he say?'—what is interrogative. But if the meaning is, 'His information was stale—I already knew the facts which he stated'—what is relative.

What is not declined. Originally it was the neuter of who, but as an interrogative adjective it can be used with names of persons: 'What man, what woman, what child would believe this statement?'

Which is equivalent to why + like (i.e. 'in what way like'), as such is to so + like. It can be used as noun or adjective, both as Interrogative and as Relative. 'Which will you

have?' 'Which book will you have?' 'Take which you please,' 'Take which book you please.'

There is a slight difference in our use of which and of who or what as interrogatives. Which implies that the choice is restricted to a known group of things. Thus we say 'What shall we have for dinner?' when the selection is unlimited, but 'There's only turbot or salmon to-day; which shall we have?' as the selection is to be made from a definite number.

Which as a Relative pronoun is no longer used of persons, though it was so used formerly: e.g. 'Our Father, which art in heaven.'

From who, what, which, we have formed compound relatives whosoever, whichsoever, whatsoever. Whosoever is declined as follows:

Nom. whosoever, Acc. whomsoever, Gen. whosesoever.

- 130. The differences in our use of that and of who or which as relative pronouns must be carefully noted.
- (1) That is used of persons and things, whilst who is used of persons only and which of things.
- (2) That cannot follow a preposition: if that is used as the relative, the preposition is tacked on at the end of the sentence. Thus 'The man in whom I trusted' becomes 'The man that I trusted in;' 'The house of which you told me' becomes 'The house that you told me of;' 'The means by which he did it' becomes 'The means that he did it by.'
- (3) That has a restrictive force which renders it unsuitable sometimes as the substitute for who or which. I can say 'My sister that is abroad is ill,' because I may have several sisters, and the clause introduced by that limits the application of the noun to one of the number. But I cannot say 'My mother that is abroad is ill,' because the restrictive that would suggest that I have more mothers

than one, which is absurd. I must say 'My mother who is abroad,' which signifies 'My mother, and she is abroad,' the word who having a coordinating force in uniting two coordinate statements, 'My mother is ill,' 'My mother is abroad.'

131. As and But occur with the force of Relative Pronouns.

As is the correlative of same and such: 'Mine is not the same as yours,' 'His behaviour is not such as will secure for him many friends.' We still hear as used for whom or that in rural districts: 'The man as I saw,' 'The man as told me.' These are vulgarisms now, but they were good English once. As is entitled to a place among the relative pronouns.

But has the force of a relative pronoun in certain negative constructions. Thus in 'There is nobody but thinks you mad,' 'but thinks' means 'who does not think:' in 'Who is there but hopes for happiness?' 'but hopes' means 'who does not hope.' We are not however to call but a relative pronoun here: it is a conjunction, and there is an ellipsis of a pronoun which should follow it: 'There is nobody (else thinks you mad) but he thinks you mad,' 'Who (else) is there (hopes for happiness) but he hopes for happiness?'

132. VI. Indefinite Pronouns.

One is an indefinite pronoun: it is used vaguely, referring not to any particular individual, but to persons or things generally: 'One hears strange rumours of a rupture in the party.' It has a genitive case, one's: 'One must be sure of one's ground.' Two views have been held respecting the origin of this word: (1) that it is simply the cardinal numeral, used as a pronoun; this is certainly the right view: (2) that it is from French on, as in 'on dit,' 'one says,' where on = homme = Latin homo, 'man,' just as in German we have the equivalent expression 'man sagt.' One has the meaning 'a certain' in such expressions as 'one Simon a tanner.'

Any contains the numeral one in its root an.

Aught contains the word whit,—preserved in our expressions 'not one whit,' 'not a whit,'—meaning 'thing.'

Naught or nought is ná-wiht, 'no whit': of nought the adverb not is merely a shortened form.

133. VII. Distributive Pronouns.

Each represents 'aye-like,' i.e. all alike. It can be used both as noun and as adjective: 'Give one to each,' 'Give one to each boy.'

Every is a corruption of 'ever-each,' and is used only when more than two are referred to. It is not employed in modern English as a noun, but must always be followed by a noun.

Either contains as its elements 'aye-whether': in its constituent part whether, the suffix -ther marks duality or comparison, as in other, further. Either means 'one of two,' but sometimes occurs with the meaning 'each of two;' e.g. 'on either side of the river was there the tree of life.' (Rev. xxii. 2). Its negative is neither.

Each other and one another are used after a transitive verb to express reciprocity of the action. When we say 'They hate each other,' we mean that the feeling is mutual. Each other is used of two agents and objects, one another of more than two. The construction of the two parts of these compound expressions is different: each and one stand for the agents or subjects, other and another for the objects; thus—

'They hate each (subject) the other (object),'

'They hate one (subject) another (object),'

each and one being in apposition with the subject they. But the grammatical relation of these Reciprocal Pronouns has been lost sight of in common use. If we still recognised their original construction, we should say 'They gave a present each to the other,' or 'one to another,' instead of saying, as we do, 'They gave a present to each other,' or 'They gave presents to one another.'

134. VIII. Possessives.

The forms my, thy, its, were dealt with when we discussed the pronouns of the First, Second, and Third Persons. Mine at thine contain a genitive inflexion n: this n has been discopped in my and thy, which are shortened forms of mine and thine, just as a is a shortened form of an. The r in our, your, their, is a genitive plural inflexion.

Our, your, their, her, give rise to secondary forms ours, yours, theirs, hers, containing s which was originally an inflexion of the genitive singular only. They are thus double genitive forms, just as brethren is a double plural, nearer a double comparative, and inmost a double superlative.

In modern speech we employ the Possessives belonging to the two groups with this difference:

We use my, thy, her, its, our, your, their, if a noun follows them: they are Possessive Adjectives.

We use mine, thine, hers, ours, yours, theirs, if no noun follows them: they are Possessive Pronouns.

His is used in both ways, but its only when followed by a noun.

Thus we say 'Give me my book and take yours,' not 'Give me mine book and take your.' But we say 'This is his book' and 'This book is his.'

In the diction of poetry, mine and thine occur with nouns following them, if the nouns begin with a vowel-sound: 'mine eye,' 'mine ear,' 'thine honour.'

135. Before leaving the subject of Pronouns, the reader should notice how inflexions, which have disappeared from nouns and adjectives, have survived in words belonging to this part of speech. *Hi-m* preserves the form of the dative singular, the-m the form of the dative plural; the r in our, your, her, is a sign of the genitive; the t in it, what, that, marks the neuter gender.

QUESTIONS.

- r. Rewrite the following sentence without using any one nouns:—'The policeman accompanied the prisoner's sister and told her that she was to let him know if she received by further annoyance from her brother or his confederates.'
- 2. Refer to its class each of the Pronouns in the following sentences:—
 - 'Who steals my purse steals trash; 'tis something, nothing; 'Twas mine, 'tis his, and has been slave to thousands; But he that filches from me my good name Robs me of that which not enriches him And makes me poor indeed.'

'Who shall be true to us, When we are so unsecret to ourselves?'

'Whatsoe'er thine ill It must be borne, and these wild starts are useless.'

'And I myself sometimes despise myself.'

'What everybody says must be true.'—'Some that speak no ill of any do no good to any.'—'Their sound went into all the earth.'—'One may be sure of this, that one must be something to do something.'—'What is my life if I am no longer to be of use to others?'—'Eat such things as are set before you.'—'Whether of them twain did the will of his father?'—'Anything for a quiet life.'—'That which each can do best, none but his Maker can teach him.'—'He is a wise man who knows what is wise.'—'That is but an empty purse that is full of another's money.'

3. How far may he, she, and it, be correctly classed as Personal Pronouns? In what respect do they differ from I and thou?

[When a speaker says I or thou, the persons to whom he refers are clearly identified. The meaning of he, on the contrary, would be as indefinite as possible, unless the previous remarks enabled us to limit the application of the word.]

- 4. Define Pronoun and Reflexive Pronoun.
- Name the other classes of Pronouns and give one example of each. Place in their proper classes ours, that, which, each.
- 5. Distinguish between the use of a Personal and a Relative Pronoun. Illustrate your explanation by reference to the two sentences: 'My brother who came is gone,' 'My brother came, but he is gone.'

6. State the rule of syntax respecting the agreement of the Relative Pronoun.

Give two illustrations of the omission of the Relative, and make a sentence in which but is used with the force of a Relative.

- 7. Write three short sentences in which the nominative, genitive, and accusative cases of who, used as a Relative Pronoun, respectively occur.
- 8. 'A gate which opened to them of his own accord' (Acts xil. 10). Why is his used here?
 - 9. Enumerate some of the principal uses of the word one.
 - to. Point out anything faulty in the following sentences:
 - 'You may take either of the nine.'
 - 'There goes John with both his dogs on either side of him,'
- 'Between every stitch she would look up to see what was going on in the street.'

[Every is distributive and singular. It must have been at least 'every two stitches' or 'every stitch and the next' (or 'the last') that she looked between.]

- 11. In the following sentences, to what class of Pronouns does the italicised word belong?
 - (a) 'I believed what you told me.'
 - (b) 'She asked who told him.'
 - (c) 'I don't know what we have to learn by heart.'

[In (a) what is Relative: 'I believed that which or the thing which you told me.' But in (b) who is Interrogative, not Relative. The sentence means, 'She asked the question, Who told him?' not, 'She asked the man who told him.' In (c) what may be either Relative or Interrogative, according to the meaning. Suppose that for his Latin lesson a boy has to write an exercise and to commit to memory Horace's Fifth Ode. If he says, 'I've done my exercise, but I don't know what we have to learn by heart,' he may mean, 'I've done my exercise, but I don't know that (namely, the Fifth Ode) which we have to learn by heart.' In this case, what is Relative. Or he may mean, 'I've done my exercise, but I don't know the answer to the question, What have we to learn by heart?' meaning, 'I don't remember the number of the Ode which was set.' In this case, what is Interrogative.]

CHAPTER XV.

VERRS.

136. A Verb is a word with which we can make an assertion.

We make assertions about things. The word which stands for the thing about which we make the assertion is called the subject of the verb, or the subject of the sentence. As the names of things are nouns, the subject must be a noun or its equivalent, such as a pronoun, a verb in the infinitive mood, or a noun-clause. Thus we may say

```
Error (Noun)
It (Pronoun)
To err (Infinitive)
That one should err (Noun-clause)
```

When we make an assertion about a thing, we are said in grammatical language to *predicate* something about the thing. The Verb is the essential part of every Predicate. Nouns, adjectives, pronouns may suggest ideas, but to make statements we need Verbs.

What is asserted is either action or state. Action is asserted when we say 'The prisoner stole the watch,' 'The watch was stolen by the prisoner,' 'The prisoner ran away.' State is asserted when we say 'The prisoner was glad,' 'The prisoner continued unrepentant,' 'The prisoner slept soundly.'

137. The action denoted by some verbs is conceived as being directed towards, or passing over to, a certain object. When we say 'The boy kicked the dog, and the dog scratched him,' we assert actions the effects of which were not confined to the agents performing them: the boy's action passed beyond the boy, and the dog's action passed beyond the dog. But when we say 'The boy sat down and cried, and the dog barked and ran away,' we assert actions which terminated with the agents performing them. This distinction is expressed by the words Transitive and Intransitive: it is of the greatest importance.

A Verb is used transitively when it indicates an action directed towards some object.

A Verb is used intransitively when it indicates (1) an action not directed towards some object, or (2) a state.

The student may occasionally be puzzled to determine whether a verb is used transitively or intransitively, for many verbs are used in both ways, though not of course in both ways at the same time. He must ask himself whether the action expressed by the verb produced an effect upon something outside the doer (or, in the case of a reflexive verb, unsti-the doer itself). He will usually find a word representing the object to which this action passed, but occasionally the object is not mentioned. The verb kickel is clearly transitive when the dog comes after it to indicate its object, and so is scratched when it is followed by him. But how are we to describe these verbs when we say 'The boy lay on the floor and kicked and scratched'? If we mean that he kicked and scratched people at large,

verbs are both transitive, though the recipients of the actions are specified. But do we necessarily mean this? If the verbs signify the merely threw his legs and arms about in the fruitless endeavour each an object, kicked and scratched are not transitive verbs here any re than welked or ran would be, though they become so, if we pose that an object is implied.

138. As we shall have occasion to make frequent ntion of the word Object in connexion with Transitive rbs, the reader must notice that this term has unforately to do a double duty, standing sometimes for the

thing affected by an action and sometimes for the word which represents this thing. The Object of a verb is the word which almost always stands for the thing which is the object of the action denoted by the verb. In order to include the Cognate Objects, referred to on the next page, we may define the Object as the noun (or its equivalent) which is governed by a verb.

It would usually be a correct description of a Transitive Verb to say that it is a Verb that takes an Object.

- 139. Intransitive Verbs are used as Transitives in these ways:
- 1. A verb, usually intransitive, is occasionally employed with a transitive force:

Ordinarily Intransitive.

The horse walks.

I will run there.

I will run the boat aground.

The ship floats.

Used Transitively.

I walked my horse.

I will run the boat aground.

He floated the ship.

Birds fly.

The boys are fixing their kites.

The mother rejoiced.

The mother rejoiced her son's heart.

- 2. Prepositions following Intransitive Verbs may be regarded as forming with them col., bund verbs which are Transitive. Thus 'I laughed (intrans.) at him,' where the preposition at takes an accusative case him, becomes 'I laughed-at (transitive) him,' where the him is the object of the verb. The passive construction can then be employed, and we can say 'He was laughed-at.' So, 'We arrived at this conclusion' becomes in the passive 'This conclusion was arrived-at': 'They came to this decisi becomes 'This decision was come-to.'
- 3. Prepositions prefixed to some Intran Verbs make them Transitive. Thus the intra lie becomes the transitive overlie; stand, understan outrun; weep, beweep; moan, bemoan.
 - 4. From a few Intransitive Verbs, Transitive

tives are formed called Causatives, signifying to cause or produce the action indicated by the original verb: thus from sit we obtain set, meaning to 'make to sit'; from lie, lay; from fall, fell; from rise, raise; from drink, drench.

- 5. Some verbs ordinarily intransitive are occasionally followed by a noun of cognate, or kindred, meaning as an Object. The case of such a noun is called the Cognate Accusative. 'To live a life,' 'to die a death,' 'to sleep a sleep' are examples of this construction. It usually occurs when some qualifying adjective or adjective equivalent is attached to the noun: e.g. 'to live a useful life,' 'to die a painful death,' 'to sleep the sleep of innocence.' These Cognate Accusatives must not be confused with Accusatives of Measure or Manner, which are Adverbial: e.g. 'He lived thirty years,' 'He died a month ago,' 'He slept six hours.' In 'She sang a song,' a song is the Cognate Accusative. In 'She sang an hour,' an hour is the Accusative of Measured Time.
- 140. Conversely, some Transitive Verbs are used Intransitively. Compare the following:

Transitive.
He broke the glass.
They moved the chair.
I slammed the door.
He opened the lid.
The sun melted the snow.
We reformed the criminal.

Intransitive.
The glass broke.
The chair moved.
The door slammed.
The lid opened.
The snow melted.
The criminal reformed.

Some writers regard these intransitive uses as apparent rather than real, and consider the verbs to be Reflexives with an object itself understood.

141. Verbs of Incomplete Predication. Many intransitive verbs make no sense as predicates, unless they are followed by some noun, adjective, or verb in the infinitive mood. To say 'He is,' 'They are,' 'We became,' 'You look,' 'She seems,' is meaningless until we add some word

to complete the sense. Thus we give significance to these incomplete assertions, if we say 'He is good,' 'He is captain,' 'He is killed,' 'He is come,' 'They are quite useless,' 'We became rich,' 'We became partners,' 'You look ill,' 'She seems vexed.'

The noun or adjective thus added is called a Predicative Noun or Adjective, because it forms part of the Predicate; a Complement, because it completes the sense; and a Subjective Complement, because it refers to the Subject of the Sentence.

Certain transitive verbs require, always or in some of their uses, a similar complement. If we say 'The king made a treaty,' the sense is complete: but if we say 'The king made Walpole,' the sense is incomplete until we add the complement 'a peer,' or 'angry,' or 'continue minister.' The sense is complete in the sentence 'The master called his valet,' if 'called' means 'summoned him to his presence': it is incomplete if 'called' signifies 'applied a name to him,' until the name is added; 'The master called his valet a thief,' or 'lazy.' 'I think you' requires 'a genius,' 'a fool,' 'clever,' 'mad,' to complete the sense.

Here again, as the noun or adjective which forms the complement is part of the Predicate it is called a Predicative Noun or Adjective, and as it refers to the Object it is called the Objective Complement.

142. Auxiliaries and Verbs with Full Meaning. When we come to the conjugation of the verb, we shall see that most of the different forms are made by means of other verbs, which are therefore called Auxiliaries (from Lat. auxilium, 'help,' because they help to conjugate the verb). The different parts of the verbs be, have, will, shall, may, are employed as Auxiliaries, and when so employed are the substitutes for inflexions of which in our English conjugation very tew survive. Thus 'I shall have written' is in Latin expressed in one inflected form, scripsero, 'you were being loved,' amabamini.

But the verbs have, will, shall, may, possess meanings of their own which are dropped when the words are used as auxiliaries. 'He will do it' may mean 'He is determined to do it,' as well as 'He is going to do it.' In the former case will is not an auxiliary, in the latter it is. Have signifies possess when I say 'I have a bicycle,' but it is merely auxiliary when I say 'I have lost my bicycle.' May means permission in 'You may try if you like;' it is auxiliary when we say 'You won't find out, though you may try your best.' Verbs which are used with a meaning of their own, and not merely as substitutes for inflexions in the conjugation of other verbs, are called Verbs with Full Meaning.

143. An Impersonal Verb is one in which the source of the action is not expressed.

A true Impersonal Verb therefore has no subject. Only two examples of true Impersonals occur in modern English, methinks and meseems, and these belong to the diction of rhetoric rather than to every-day speech. Me is a dative case: hence it cannot be the subject. The meaning of the two Impersonals is the same, viz. 'It seems to me.' Thinks in methinks comes from the Old English thynkan, 'to seem,' which was a different verb from thencan, 'to think.'

'It rains,' it freezes,' and similar expressions are commonly called Impersonal, but they have a grammatical subject, it. If we are asked however, 'What rains?' 'What freezes?' we cannot specify the thing for which the it stands: the grammatical subject represents no real source of the action.

QUESTIONS.

- r. Write sentences to illustrate the transitive use of the following verbs:—'We are resting.'—'Don't push.'—'How you squeeze!'—'Forty feeding like one.'—'They are pressing for payment.'—'The shadows lengthen.'—'The days draw in.'—'Times change.'—'How it pours!'—'The meat will keep.'—'We mean to remove next spring.'—'The king recovered.'
- 2. Write sentences to show that the following verbs may be used both transitively and intransitively:—strike, shake, stop, roll, boil, survive, wake, burst, upset, grow.
- 3. Distinguish the terms Transitive, Intransitive, Active, Fassive.

 State which of these terms you would apply to the verbs in the following sentences respectively, and point out any peculiarities of construction:—they are arrived, they ran a race, he overeats himself, the book is selling well, he swam the river, he lay down.
- 4. Refer to its class (as Transitive, Intransitive, Verb of Incomplete Predication, Impersonal, Auxiliary, or Verb with Full Meaning) each Verb in the following sentences:—'It will rain to-morrow.'—'I will do it my own way.'—'They will not succeed.'—'You may call if you like, but he may not be at home.'—'IIe feels his way.'—'He feels ill.'—'The bonnet became a hat.'—'The bonnet became the lady.'—'You shall not go out.'—'We shall not go out.'—'He grows barley.'—'He grows stout.'
- 5. Give instances of verbs which can be used (1) both transitively and intransitively, (2) both as complete predicates and as incomplete.

CHAPTER XVI.

INFLEXIONS OF VERBS.

144. VERBS undergo changes of form to mark differences of Voice, Mood, Tense, Number, Person.

As inflexions have almost entirely disappeared from English verbs, we have recourse to auxiliary verbs and pronouns to express these differences. Amaverimus, amabimur are inflexions of the Latin verb amo: we shall have loved, we shall be loved, their English equivalents, are not inflexions of the verb love; the required changes in the meaning of the verb are effected by the use of auxiliaries. Amo has over a hundred of these inflexions: love has seven, viz., love, lovest, loves, loveth, lovedst, loving, and of these seven, the three forms lovest, loveth, lovedst, are no longer employed in ordinary speech.

Voice is the form of a verb which shows whether the subject of the sentence stands for the doer or for the object of the action expressed by the verb.

Mood is the form of a verb which shows the mode or manner in which the action is represented.

Tense is the form of a verb which shows the time at which the action is represented as occur-

ring and the completeness or incompleteness of the action.

Number is the form of a verb which shows whether we are speaking of one thing or of more than one.

Person is the form of a verb which shows whether the subject of the sentence stands for the speaker, for the person addressed, or for some other thing.

We shall treat of these modifications of the verb in order.

145. I. Voice.

In English there are two Voices, an Active and a Passive Voice.

The Active Voice is that form of a verb which shows that the subject of the sentence stands for the doer of the action expressed by the verb.

The Passive Voice is that form of a verb which shows that the subject of the sentence stands for the object of the action expressed by the verb.

Thus in 'Brutus stabbed Caesar,' Brutus, the subject of the sentence, represents the doer or agent of the act of stabbing expressed by the verb: stabbed is in the active voice. In 'Caesar was stabbed by Brutus,' Caesar, the subject of the sentence, represents the object or recipient of the act of stabbing: was stabbed is in the passive voice.

Now as the subject of the sentence, when the verb is in the passive, stands for the object or receiver of the action, it is clear that, unless the action denoted by the verb passes on to some object, the passive construction will be impossible. Accordingly, only Transitive verbs admit of a passive use. The parts of the auxiliary verb be are used with the perfect participle of a transitive verb to form the passive voice: 'I am injured,' 'You were beaten,' 'He is captured,' 'They will be assisted,' 'We have been turned out.'

146. The reader may easily be misled by such forms as '1 am come,' 'You are arrived,' 'He is gone,' 'They are fallen,' in which the verbs are intransitive, and their perfect tenses therefore are not passive, though they look as if they were. In 'I am injured,' 'You were beaten,' the participles injured and beaten are passive: in '1 am come,' 'You are arrived,' the participles come and arrived are active. There is a slight difference of meaning between the forms 'He is arrived,' 'He is gone' and 'He has arrived,' 'He has gone.' 'He has gone' lays stress on the action, 'He is gone' calls attention to the fact that he continues in a certain state, namely that of absence. We can say 'He has come and gone,' but not 'He is come and gone,' as is becomes unsuitable in connexion with come, when he no longer continues here, but is gone.

147. Verbs which take a double object admit of two forms of passive construction according as one object or the other is made the subject of the passive verb. A few illustrations will make this clear.

Active. Passive.

He told me a story. $\left\{ egin{array}{l} A \ {
m story} \ {
m was} \ {
m told} \ {
m me} \ {
m by} \ {
m him}. \end{array} \right.$

You granted him permission. { Permission was granted him by you. He was granted permission by you.

They awarded him { A prize was awarded him by them. a prize. { He was awarded a prize by them.

The reader may construct further illustrations for himself, using the verbs promise, ask, refuse, show, offer, forgive, for the purpose.

The secondary forms, in which the Indirect Object, originally in the dative case, becomes the subject, are harsh in sound and illogical in their nature, but there is much of laisser-aller, or 'go-as-you-please,' about English syntax, and we find such expressions even in good writers.

This object after the passive verb is called the Retained Object. Whether it is the Direct or the Indirect Object that is thus retained the reader can easily determine, by shifting the position of the two objects in the equivalent sentence expressed in the active voice and noticing which of the two requires a preposition when it comes last. The object which requires a preposition is the Indirect Object. So, 'I forgive you your fault,' becomes 'I forgive your fault to you'; 'I will allow you your expenses,' 'I will allow your expenses to you'; 'I have got you the book,' 'I have got the book for you.' In each example you is the Indirect Object.

148. There is a curious use of certain transitive verbs in the active form with a passive meaning. Verbs thus used we may describe as Quasi-Passive. Some of these verbs express sensations. Thus we say of a thing that it 'feels soft, tastes nice, smells sweet,' whereas it is really we who feel, taste, and smell the thing. What we mean is that the thing is soft when it is felt, nice when it is tasted, sweet when it is smelt. In like manner we say that a sentence 'reads badly,' that a book 'sells well,' and that a house 'lets readily.'

149. II. Mood.

The Moods, or changes of form assumed by a verb to show the different ways in which the action is thought of, are four in number:

(i) The Indicative Mood contains the forms used (1) to make statements of fact, (2) to ask questions,

- and (3) to express suppositions in which the events are treated as if they were facts.
- (ii) The Imperative Mood contains the form used to give commands.
- (iii) The Subjunctive Mood contains the forms used to represent actions or states conceived as possible or contingent, but not asserted as facts.
- (iv) The Infinitive Mood is the form which denotes actions or states without reference to person or number.
- 150. (i) Uses of the Indicative Mood. The Indicative Mood is used (1) to state facts; 'The man stole the watch,' 'He will be punished': (2) to ask questions; 'Which man stole the watch?' 'Will he be punished?' (3) to express suppositions in which the conditions are dealt with as if they were facts; 'If it is fine to-morrow (the condition may be fulfilled, or it may not, but assuming that as a fact it is,) we will go for a pic-nic.'
- 151. (ii) Use of the Imperative Mood. Commands must be addressed to the person who is to obey them. The person addressed is the second person. Accordingly the Imperative Mood can be used only in the second person singular and plural. Such expressions as 'Go we forth together,' or 'Let us go forth together,' in which we utter a wish or exhortation respecting the first person, are not instances of the Imperative mood: they are substitutes for it. Go we is subjunctive: let us go is a circumlocution, or roundabout form of expression, which contains an imperative of let in the second person and an infinitive go: expanded it becomes you let, or allow (imperative) us (object) go, or to go (infinitive).
- 152. A tense which is expressed by a single word is called a Simple Tense: a tense which is expressed by the help of an auxiliary verb is called a Compound Tense.

English verbs contain only two simple tenses in the Indicative and Subjunctive moods, namely, the Present Tense and the Past Tense. The verb to be possesses a fairly complete set of distinct forms in the two tenses of these moods. but in other verbs a difference of inflexion is seen only in the and and ard persons singular of the present subjunctive as compared with the indicative. Now as the 2nd person singular is used to-day exclusively in the language of prayer and of poetry, the difference of form between the indicative and the subjunctive mood can be detected in ordinary speech only in the 3rd person singular of the present tense, so long as we confine ourselves to the simple tenses. Thou stealest, He steals, are indicative forms: If thou steal, if he steal, are subjunctive forms. But as we no longer employ thou in the language of every-day life, the sum-total of inflexional differences in the simple tenses, according as the mood is indicative or subjunctive, is represented by the forms he steals and if he steal.

153. The student should make a careful study of the tenses conjugated below:

		•	ro Be			7	ro Steal		
	I	NDICA'	LIVE	Subjun	CTIVE	INDICA	rive S	UBJUNCTIVE	
						Present			
	(T.	am	was	be	were	steal stealest steals steal	stole	steal	
Sing. ·	₹2.	art	wast	be	wert	stealest	stolest	steal	
	l ₃ .	is	was	be	were	steals	stole	st e al	
Plur. 1	, 2, 3.	are	were	be	were	steal	stole	steal	

There are no separate forms for a Past Tense in the subjunctive of any verb except the verb to be. Consequently, to illustrate the uses of the subjunctive we have recourse to this verb. In other verbs the inflexions are reduced to two, one of which, as we said, has no place in ordinary speech, while the use of the other is passing away from modern English. The subjunctive mood has decayed

but it is not dead. So far indeed as its inflected forms are concerned, survivals are few and there is a growing reluctance to make use of those which we still possess. A speaker who employed the Present Subjunctive of to be and said, quite correctly, 'If I be there, I shall see him,' would be supposed by many people of average education to be making the same blunder as a labourer makes when he says 'I be here; I be just going home.' Let the reader ask himself whether he would be more likely to say 'I shall play tennis this afternoon, if it be fine,' subjunctive, or 'if it is fine,' indicative: 'I shall stay in, if it rain,' subjunctive, or 'if it rains,' indicative. Such a use of the subjunctive would have a quaint, archaic and formal sound in everyday conversation.

There are cases however in which we still use the subjunctive mood, and there are other cases in which its use would be legitimate, though it has been ousted from its place by the indicative. We still say 'If I were you,' not 'If I was you,' and we ought to say 'If he were you,' though 'If he was you' is to be heard pretty often. Moreover, although the distinctive forms of the subjunctive have disappeared, we often use verbs with the force of the subjunctive. The verb may present the aspect of the indicative, but nevertheless it may be used with the meaning of the subjunctive. If we are asked whether any particular tense-form, which is identical in appearance in both moods, is subjunctive or indicative in a certain context, the answer will be suggested, if we substitute for the tense-form in question an equivalent expression compounded with the verb to be, as the verb to be marks the difference between subjunctive and indicative by a variation in its inflexions. Thus, suppose we wish to determine the mood of spoke, in 'The master asked who spoke'; if we convert spoke into was speaking we see that the mood is indicative. Again, supposing we are asked the mood of told, in 'I should not

believe him even if he told the truth,' if told is equivalent to was telling, the mood is indicative; if told is equivalent to were telling, the mood is subjunctive. Similarly, 'I could do it if I liked' resolves itself into 'I were able to do it if I were willing': it would be impossible to replace could by was able, so we may say that could is here subjunctive; but as 'if I liked' might be replaced by either 'were willing' or 'was willing,' we may regard liked either as subjunctive or as indicative.

154. (iii) Uses of the Subjunctive Mood.

In Simple Sentences or Main Clauses the subjunctive may be employed to express---

- (1) a wish: 'O that I were dead!' 'Perish idolatry!' 'God save the Queen!'
- (2) an exhortation: 'Go we forth,' 'Tell me he that knows.' This latter use of the subjunctive is almost obsolete, even in poetry. We should now say 'Let us go,' 'Let him tell.'

In Subordinate Clauses, to express—

- (1) a wish, request, command: 'I wish he were here'; 'I move that the secretary read the letter and that the chairman then put the question'; 'Orders have arrived that the spy be executed.'
- (2) a purpose: 'Work lest thou lose the prize,' 'Mind that the letter be written.'
- (3) a condition: 'If he were to see you, he would be angry: if I were you, I would go'; 'Had I seen him, I would have told him.'

The Subjunctive has two tenses, a Present and a Past. It has no Future. The work of the Future Subjunctive is done by the other tenses. E.g. 'It is one of the Rules that if a subscriber return a book late, he will be fined.' Here return is Present Subjunctive.

'It was one of the Rules that if a subscriber returned

a book late, he would be fined.' Although there is nothing in the form of returned to show whether it is indicative or subjunctive, we may feel quite sure that returned is here subjunctive, for the tense is past while the event to which it refers is future. Now a past tense in the indicative can never be used of a future event: therefore returned is Past Subjunctive.

Subjunctive Equivalents. Substitutes for Subjunctive forms are supplied by the Auxiliaries may, might, shall, should, would, followed by the verb in the infinitive. In the following examples the tense of the verb in the subjunctive is stated in brackets:

- 'I am writing that he may write back' (Present).
- 'I wrote that he might write back' (Past).
- 'He may sit up as long as he shall wish to' (Present).
- 'If you apologise he might let you off' (Past in Main Clause).
- 'I should go if he asked me' (Past in Main Clause).
- 'If you were to work you would pass' (Past in Main Clause).

155. Finite and Infinite forms of the Verb.

When I say 'He walked,' I represent the action suggested by the verb walk as limited in various ways:—limited as regards number, for it was one person who walked; limited as regards person, for it was he that walked; limited as regards time, for the walking took place in the past. A verb which indicates an action thus limited is called a Finite Verb: the term finite means 'limited' or 'bounded.'

On the other hand, when I say 'To walk' or 'Walking' the verb suggests merely the idea of the action, unrestricted by any of these limitations. Accordingly, such forms are said to belong to the verb Infinite, *i.e.* the verb 'unlimited,' 'unbounded.'

¹ For further information about the Subjunctive Mood the student is referred to An Advanced English Syntax by C. T. Onions, pp. 114—9, or to Gow's Method of English, pp. 105—114.

166. The Verb Infinite contains the Infinitive Mood, the Gerund, and the Participles.

The Infinitive mood is equivalent to a Noun. It resembles a noun in this respect, that it can be used as the subject or object of a verb:

'To read improves the mind': to read is here subject.

'He likes to read': to read is here object.

The infinitive resembles a noun in this respect also, that it can follow certain prepositions: 'I want nothing except to live quietly,' 'He has no hope but to escape punishment,' 'You care for nothing save to make money.'

At an earlier stage of the language the Infinitive, or Verb-Noun, had an inflexion -an, which showed what part of the verb it was. The Dative of this Verb-Noun was formed in -anne. Preceded by the preposition to (e.g. to writanne) it marked purpose, 'to' or 'for writing.' In course of time both nominative writan and dative writanne lost their endings and became verite, and the distinctive force of to disappeared with the disappearance of the dative ending. Thus it came about that to was attached to the infinitive generally, no longer as a mark of purpose but as a sign of mood. And in modern English, with most verbs in the infinitive mood, to is used. There are several verbs however which are followed by an infinitive without to: the verbs may, can, shall, will, must, let, do; verbs expressing sensation, see, hear, feel; and the verbs make, need and dare are examples. Thus we say 'I may, can, shall, will, must do it,' not 'to do it': 'Let him do it,' not 'to do it': 'You do think so,' not 'to think so': 'We saw, heard, and felt it shake,' not 'to shake': 'They made him tell,' not 'to tell': 'You need not go,' not 'to go': 'I dare say this,' though the to is admissible here, 'I dare to say this.' But after several of these verbs in the passive, to is inserted: 'He was seen to take it and made to return it.'

When the infinitive is employed with the meaning that something is purposed to be done, or that it is fit or necessary to be done, and in cases in which the gerund preceded by to, for, or similar prepositions, would express the same meaning, the preposition to retains the force which it had when used with the old dative: e.g. 'They came to tell me.' 'He that hath ears to hear, let him hear.' 'He is much to be pitied.' 'These troubles are hard to bear.' 'These troubles are hard to be borne.' 'This is sad to tell.' 'Here is water to drink.' 'I have a house to let and a horse to sell.'

There are thus three forms of the Infinitive to be distinguished:

- 1. Without to: 'You may go'; 'He can stay'; 'I heard him speak.'
- 2. With formal to: 'You want to go'; 'He refused to stay'; 'I taught him to speak.'
- 3. With effective to: 'I went to hear him speak'; 'You have nothing to read.'
- 157. Uses of the Infinitive. The Infinitive may be used as a—
- 1. Noun-Equivalent: 'To think is to act'; 'I refuse to act.'
- 2. Adverb-Equivalent: 'They came to tell me'; 'The public is hard to please.'
- 3. Adjective-Equivalent: 'I have a house to let and a horse to sell'; 'Here is water to drink.'
- 158. The Gerund. A Gerund is a Verb-Noun in -ing; when formed from a transitive verb, it can take after it an object.

As a noun the Gerund may serve for the subject or the object of a sentence: 'Plain speaking is necessary'; 'I prefer plain speaking.' Its character as a noun is seen when the Gerund of a transitive verb is followed by a dependent noun

in the genitive case or its equivalent: 'The playing of golf is a healthy exercise.' Here the construction is the same as it is in the sentence 'A game of golf is a healthy exercise.' Its character as a verb is seen when it is followed by a noun in the accusative case: 'Playing golf is a healthy exercise'; 'He likes playing golf.' Here the construction is the same as it is in the sentence 'He plays golf.'

Modern idiom allows us to use either of the following two constructions with the Gerund:

- (1) 'Reading trashy novels does much harm,'
- (2) 'The reading of trashy novels does much harm,' but not to blend them and say,
 - (3) 'Reading of trashy novels does much harm,'
 - (4) 'The reading trashy novels does much harm.'

At an earlier stage of the language usage sanctioned the fourth form of expression as well as the first two.

159. Participles. A Participle is a Verb-Adjective. The active participle of a transitive verb differs from an ordinary adjective in taking an object.

Thus in the sentence 'I saw a doctor healing his patients,' healing refers to 'doctor,' limiting the application of the name, and at the same time takes an object his patients, just as the verb in its finite forms would take as an object his patients: e.g. 'He healed his patients.'

The Participles play an important part in the Conjugation of the Verb, helping to form many of the tenses. They are used also as ordinary Adjectives, both as epithets and predicatively. The use of the Participle as an Epithet-Adjective is seen in 'The dying man lay there,' 'The general brought back a defeated army,' 'He was found in an exhausted condition.' The use of the Participle as a Predicate-Adjective is seen in 'The man lay dying there,' 'They seemed distressed,' 'He looked vexed and disappointed.'

The Participle in -ing is an active participle. It is usually called the Present Participle, and we shall give it this name, though it would be more properly termed the Imperfect or Continuous Participle, as it denotes not time but unfinished action,—action still in progress: writing, healing.

Our other Participle is the Perfect Participle, denoting action which is completed and no longer in progress. This is usually called the Past Participle, and we shall employ the ordinary though less accurate name for it. It ends in -en, -n, beaten, shown, or -ed, -d, -t, healed, loved, slept. If the verb is a transitive verb, this Participle is passive.

160. Notice these points connected with the Participles:

- (i) The Passive Participle combined with the verb have forms tenses of the active verb: thus, 'He has stolen the watch,' 'I had eaten my dinner.' The explanation of the construction is this: 'He has stolen the watch' was once expressed thus, 'He has (or holds, or possesses) the watch stolen,' stolen being originally in agreement with watch. So, 'I had eaten my dinner' was once 'I had (or held, or possessed) my dinner eaten.' Then came a time when the real force of have in this connexion was lost, its notional meaning disappeared, and it became a mere auxiliary, so that no contradiction was apparent, as it would formerly have been apparent, in saying 'I have lost my watch,' though it is obvious that, if the watch is lost, we cannot correctly say 'I have (or hold, or possess) my watch lost,' as in such a case I hold or possess it no longer.
- (ii) We have adopted this use of have with the Past Participle of intransitive verbs, and we say 'I have been,' 'I have stood,' 'I have dreamt,' 'I have slept,' though we cannot say 'I am been,' 'I am stood,' 'I am dreamt,' 'I am slept.' The Participle of intransitive verbs is Perfect, or Past, but it is not Passive.

161. The results of this discussion of the Verb Infinite may be summarized in a convenient form thus:

162. Illustrations of these forms.

- 'We heard him call: 'Better dwell in the midst of alarms
 'Than reign in this horrible place.'
- 'The sower went forth to sow.' 'Bread to eat,' i.e. 'for eating.' Ears to near,' i.e. 'for hearing.'
- 2. Gorund: 'Seeing is believing.' 'Seeing a conjuror is one thing and believing him is another.' 'I am fond of seeing a conjuror.' 'We were prevented from seeing the conjuror.' 'They asked about seeing the conjuror.' 'They brought flowers for the decorating of the altar.' 'They brought flowers for decorating the altar.' 'The writing of the book was a protracted task.' 'Writing the book was a protracted task.' 'The hunting of the fox is a national pastime.' 'Hunting the fox is a national pastime.'
- 3. The Participle in ing. 'The company sat watching the conjuror performing his tricks.' 'They are watching the conjuror.' Seeing the conjuror there, I went in.'
- 4. The Participle in -en, -d, -t. 'This is stolen.' 'He has stolen it.' 'This is mended.' 'He has mended it.'
- 5. Compound Gerund Forms. It should be noticed that we use combinations of the Gerunds of the verbs have and be with Participles, as we use the simple Gerunds: the following are examples of these compound gerund forms: 'I was afraid of his having gone away.' 'The master charged him with having been wasting his time.' 'My having been struck explains my being exasperated.'

Observe that in compound nouns the form in in frequently gerundive. Thus a walking-stick is a stick for walking, a fishing-rod is a rod for fishing. If these forms in ing were participles, a walking-stick would be a stick that walked and a fishing-rod a rod that fished, just as a talking-fish is a fish that talks and a laughing-hyana a hyana that laughs.

163. III. Tense.

Tense is a form of the verb by which we indicate three things respecting an action, viz.,

- (1) its Time, as Present, I write, Past, I wrote, Future, I shall write:
- (2) its Completeness at that time, as Present Perfect, I have written, Past Perfect, I had written, Future Perfect, I shall have written:
- (3) its Continuousness at that time, as Present Continuous, I am writing, Past Continuous, I was writing, Future Continuous, I shall be writing, Present Perfect Continuous, I have been writing, Past Perfect Continuous, I had been writing, Future Perfect Continuous, I shall have been writing.

To these Tenses we must add—

Future in the Past, (I said) I should write, (I said) he would write, with its Continuous form be writing.

Future Perfect in the Past, (I said) I should have written, (I said) he would have written, with its Continuous form been writing.

Scheme of Tenses in the Indicative Mood Active.

Present	I write
,, Continuous	I am writing
,, Persect	I have written
" Continuous	I have been writing
Past	I wrote
,, Continuous	I was writing
,, Perfect	I had written
,, ,, Continuous	I had been writing
Future	I shall write
,, Continuous	I shall be writing
,, Perfect	I shall have written
", ", Continuous	I shall have been writing
Future in the Past	I should write
,, ,, ,, Continuous	I should be writing
Future Perfect in the Past	I should have written
., ,, ,, Continuous	I should have been writing

164. Remarks on the Tenses.

1. Modes of Tense Form Lion. With the exception of the Present and the Past, all our tenses are formed by the use of Auxiliaries. The Past undergoes inflexion to mark the change of time: 'I wrote,' 'I walked.'

A glance at the Continuous and the Perfect Tenses will enable the reader to see the principles on which these tenses are formed. The Continuous Tenses are formed by combining some part of be with the Present Participle. The Perfect Tenses are formed by combining some part of have with the Pest Participle.

The Future Tenses are formed by the verbs shall and will with the Infinitive Mood.

The tenses of the Passive Voice are formed by combining the moods and tenses of be with the Past Participle.

- 2. Advantages of our Mode of Tense Formation. By the aid of auxiliary verbs, we are able to express distinctions of time and completeness with a minute accuracy to which most other languages are unable to attain. Amat in Latin means both 'he loves' and 'he is loving': a ravi means 'I have loved,' which is Present Perfect, and 'I loved,' which is Past.
- 3. Perfect and Continuous. The student must understand that the word Perfect refers to the character of the action as regards completeness, and not to its time. 'Perfect' means 'finished,' 'done.'

Suppose that a boy walks from one side of the room to the other. How should we describe his action? We should say 'He is walking across the room': the action is in progress: it is going on at this moment and is therefore to be described as Present Continuous. When he has finished walking across the room, we say 'He has reached the other side,' 'He has valked across the room.' Does this necessarily imply that the action is past? As soon as the action is finished, it is certainly past. But in saying 'He has reached the other side,' we are thinking rather that he is there now, than that the action belongs to past time. The action is ended, but it is only just ended, and its consequences continue present with us. If the action and its consequences are over and done with, the Perfect Tense is no longer appropriate. We should not say 'I have written a letter last week,' but 'I wrote a letter': the action took place some time ago. 'I have written a letter' signifies that my letter has just now been completed, and here it is.

The Perfect Continuous Tenses indicate actions which are, were, or will be finished at some definite time, but which, until finished, proceed continuously. A few examples will show how their force differs from

the force of the Perfect tenses. I can say, 'I have written a letter this morning' or 'I have been writing a letter this morning,' but I cannot say, 'I have written a letter all the morning,' as 'all the morning' implies that the writing occupied the whole time. I must therefore use the Perfect Continuous and say, 'I have been writing a letter all the morning.' I can say, 'I shall have been travelling for six hours when I reach Bristol,' because my journey will go on without interruption during that interval. But I cannot say, 'I shall have been reaching Bristol six hours hence,' for my arrival at Bristol is an instantaneous. not a continuous event. I must therefore say, 'I shall have reached Bristol six hours hence.' It often happens however that the continuous character of the action need not be emphasized, and in such cases either the Perfect or the Perfect Continuous tense may be used. Thus it is a matter of indifference whether I say, 'I have called on my lawyer to-day' or 'I have been calling on my lawyer to-day': 'I had waited a week for an opportunity' or 'I had been waiting a week for an opportunity.'

4. Future in the Past and Future Perfect in the Past. These tenses describe an action which at some past time was viewed as future. Thus, 'I tell you I shall resign' (Future) becomes 'I told you I should resign.' The Futures in 'You will be paid to-morrow: he will pay you himself,' become Futures in the Past in 'I said you would be paid to-morrow: I told you he would pay you himself.'

Similarly the Future Perfects in 'I shall have reached home before Easter: I am sure they will have finished my house by then' are converted to Future Perfects in the Past in 'I said I should have reached home before Easter: I was sure they would have finished my house by then.' These Secondary Futures occur only in Subordinate Clauses.

In all these sentences should and would belong to the Indicative mood, not to the Subjunctive.

- 5. Uses of the Present Tense. This tense is used-
- (1) Sometimes of an action going on at the present time: 'How it rains!' 'The kettle boils'; 'He wins in a canter.' But in most cases the Present Continuous would be employed to denote an action now in progress. We say, 'What are you writing?' not 'What do you write?' 'I am writing my exercise,' not 'I write my exercise.'
- (2) Of an action which is habitual, as 'He goes to town every morning,' and
- (3) Of a general truth, as 'Water boils at 212°; 'Ill weeds grow apace.'
- (4) Of past action in graphic narrative. This is called the Historic Present: it gives a vivid representation of an occurrence and is frequently employed in the conversation of persons of lively wits. In

telling the story of the French Revolution, Carlyle makes more use of this tense than of the Past: e.g. 'L'Escuyer lies there,—gives one dumb sob and gasps out his miserable life.'

- (5) Of future action, as 'I go to town to-morrow,' 'We hold our bazaar next week.'
- (6) To introduce quotations: 'The Bible tells us,' 'Shakespeare says,' 'Thucydides describes,' 'Scott remarks.'
 - 6. Uses of the Past Tense. This tense describes-
- (1) An action as simply past: e.g. 'Caesar defeated Pompey,' 'We missed our way and lost the train.'
 - (2) An action in progress in the past: 'He read while I painted.'
- (3) A repeated or habitual action: 'People formerly ained (i.e. used to dine) at noon.'

165. IV. Number.

There are two numbers in verbs. When the subject of the verb is in the singular, the verb is in the singular; when the subject is in the plural, the verb is in the plural.

166. V. Person.

Although we have an inflexion marking the Second Personal Singular, *lov-est*, *loved-st*, these forms occur only in the language of prayer and of poetry, not in ordinary speech.

The form of the Third Person Singular Present Indicative, *lov-eth*, is also obsolete in conversation and is used only when an archaic diction is employed for the purpose of solemnity, real or affected. The suffix-s is the only inflexion of Person which survives in common use.

The Personal endings were originally Personal Pronouns. The suffix of the First Person, -m, is still visible in a-m. This -m is the m of me. Compare the Latin sum, amem.

To trace the Pronouns in their disguises as endings of the Second and Third Persons Singular of the verb would lead us into very obscure by-paths of philology. The reader must pursue this inquiry at a later time.

167. Weak and Strong Verbs. According to their mode of forming the Past Tense, verbs are called Weak or Strong.

A Weak Verb formed its Past Tense by adding -d or -t to the present: e.g. loved, dreamt.

A Strong Verb formed its Past Tense by change of vowel and without the addition of a suffix.

The Past Participle of a Weak Verb is of the same form as the Past Tense: I walked, (I have) walked.

The Past Participle of a Strong Verb (r) sometimes ends in -en, (2) sometimes has a different modification of the vowel from that of the Past Tense, and (3) sometimes is of the same form as the Past Tense: I drove, (I have) driven; I sprang, (I have) sprung; I stood, (1 have) stood.

168. Suppose that a verb forms its Past Tense in d or -t and also changes its vowel: are we to call it Weak or Strong?

In such a case, look at the Past Participle. If this is formed in -en, then probably the verb is Strong. But if it is not formed in -en, we can tell whether the verb is to be classed as Weak or Strong only by tracing it back to an earlier period and discovering how it was originally conjugated. The safest practical guide is the formation of the Past Tense in -d or -t. Verbs with a Past Tense formed in this manner are with very few exceptions Weak Verbs. The following are however Strong Verbs, though their Past Tense ends in -d or -t, for this -d or -t is in these instances a part of the present stem and not an inflexion of the past tense: beat, bid, bind, bite, burst, fight, find, get, grind, hold, let ('to allow'), seethe, shoot, sit, slide, stand, tread, wind.

- 169. The following points connected with these two conjugations deserve notice:
- (a) The verbs which belong to the Strong conjugation are old verbs and of English origin. Their number is just over a hundred and, with the exception of a few to which a prefix has been attached, they are monosyllabic words. All words newly introduced make their Past Tense and Past Participle in -ed, as telegraphed, boycotted. Many verbs once Strong have become wholly or partially Weak: thus climbed, crowed, cleft, helped take the place of clomb, crew, clove, holp as forms of the Past Tense, and shaped, shaved, melted, swelled are used as Past Participles in lieu of or alongside of the forms shapen, shaven, molten,

swollen. For a verb originally Weak to have become wholly or partially Strong is a rare occurrence: dig, hide, wear are examples of this unusual process.

(b) Some of the Strong Verbs originally formed their Past Tense by reduplication: we see this mode of formation at work in Latin perfects like te-tendi, tu-tudi, spo-pondi, fe-felli. Perhaps did, past tense of do, is formed by reduplication. If so, it is the sole surviving example of the process. The obsolete verb hight exhibits reduplication in the recurrence of the h. The Gothic hai-hait, past tense of hait-an, 'to call,' shows the reduplication more clearly. Hight means 'was called': 'This grisly beast, which by name Lion hight,' means 'This grisly beast, which was called Lion.'

Strong Verbs are classified in seven conjugations. Those verbs whose past tenses indicate a process of reduplication at a remote period form one group, and the remaining verbs fall into six groups according to the character of their vowel changes. Taking a verb which is typical of each group, Dr Sweet names the seven conjugations as follows:
(1) Reduplicative or Fall-class: (2) Shake-class: (3) Bind-class:
(4) Bear-class: (5) Give-class: (6) Shine-class: (7) Choose-class. These classes may be easily remembered by Professor Skeat's couplet:

'If e'er thou fall, the shake with patience bear; Give; seldom drink; drive slowly; choose with care.'

Here drink corresponds to bind and drive to shine.

- (c) The -d or -t of Weak Verbs has been regarded by some writers as an abbreviated form of did: thus I walk-ed, thou walk-edst were supposed to be corruptions of I walk-did, thou walk-didst. This conjecture is no longer considered plausible.
- (d) It is interesting to notice how certain Strong Past Participles are still preserved as Adjectives used in particular phrases, though the Participle proper has assumed the Weak form. Thus, we say 'cloven hoof,' not cleft (but 'cleft palate'); 'graven image,' not (cn)graved; 'molten metal,' not melted; 'rotten timber,' not rotted; 'sodden earth,' not seethed.
- (e) In like manner when two forms of the Past Participle exist, both Strong or both Weak, in some cases one form is preferred for use as the Adjective. The following are instances of this:

As Adjectives

A drunken man Ill gotten gains A sunken ship My bounden duty

As Participles

The man is drunk. He has got his gains ill. The ship has sunk. I was bound to do it. The duplicate forms given above belong to verbs of the Strong Conjugation. The following are instances of a similar distinction in Weak Verbs:

A dread foe The foe was dreaded.

A lighted candle The candle was lit.

Roast meat The meat was roaste l.

On bended knee His knees were bent.

- (f) The following orthographical modifications, or changes in spelling, in the inflexion of verbs should be noticed.
- t. An e at the end of the verb is dropped before another vowel: so, love, loving; shape, shaping, shapen. (Notice, however, singeing from singe, to avoid confusion with singing from sing.)
- 2. To verbs ending in a sibilant, ss is added in the 3rd person singular of the present indicative and sounded as a distinct syllable: so pass-es, push-es, touch-es.
- 3. After a consonant, y becomes ie when -s or -d follows: so, rel-ies, rel-ied: but after a vowel, y is kept: so, play-s, play-ed. (Compare the formation of plurals of nouns in -y, e.g. lady, boy; and of comparatives of adjectives in -y, e.g. merry, gay.)
- 4. A final consonant, preceded by an accented short vowel, is doubled before e and i, to mark the pronunciation as short: so, shop-p-ing, bid-d-en, excel-l-ed, prefér-red; but differ-ed, bifer-ed.
- 170. In the following lists of Strong and Weak Verbs. with their Past Tense and Past Participle, the student will find only those about the principal parts of which he is likely to feel any uncertainty. For convenience of reference the arrangement is alphabetical: a distribution of Strong and Weak Verbs in classes, according to their mode of forming their Past Tense and Past Participle, is of no value except to those whose researches carry them back to the earlier stages of our language. In the Questions at the end of this chapter, many of the verbs omitted from these lists will be found. The reader should test his knowledge of their principal parts and mark those in which he makes any mistake. He will get at the Past Participle most easily by thinking of it in its combination with I have to form the Present Perfect tense: thus, supposing that he is asked to give the principal parts of spring, he may blunder in the

principal parts, if he tries mechanically to repeat spring, sprang, sprang, and may say spring, sprang, sprang, but if he thinks of the forms as he is in the habit of using them, I spring, I sprang, I have sprung, it is much less likely that he will go wrong.

The forms given below in brackets are those less frequently used, or used only in special phrases.

The letter W, prefixed to forms in the list of Strong Verbs indicates that those forms are Weak.

171. List of Strong Verbs.

Pres. Past P. Part.	Pres. Past P. Part.
abide abode abode	lade laden
awake awoke awoke	W. laded laded
W. awaked awaked	lie lay lain
bear bore born	mow mown
(carry) bore borne	W. mowed mowed
behold beheld (beholden)	rise rose risen
bid bade, bid bidden, bid	rive W. rived riven
bind bound (bounden)	seethe sod sodden
blow blew blown	W. seethed seethed
chide chid chidden, chid	sew W. sewed sewn, sewed
choose chosen	sow W. sowed sown, sowed
cleave clave cloven	shake shook shaken
W. cleaved cleft	shear (shore) shorn
crow crew	W. sheared sheared
W. crowed crowed	shine shone shone
dig dug dug	shew W.shewed shewn
W. (digged) (digged)	show W. showed shown
draw drew drawn	shrink shrank shrunk(shrunken)
drink drank drunk	sit sat sat
eat ate eaten	slay slew slain
fly flew flown	slide slid slid (slidden)
forbear forbore forborne	sling slung slung
forget forgot forgotten	slink slunk slunk
forsake forsook forsaken	smite smote smitten
get got got (gotten)	stride strode stridden
grow grew grown	strive strove striven
hang hung hung	swear swore sworn
W. (hanged) (hanged)	swell swollen
hew hewed hewn, hewed	W. swelled swelled

Pres.	Past	P. Part.	Pres.	Past	P. Part.
tear	tore (tare)	torn	W	waked	waked
	throve	thriven	wear	wore	worn
	threw	thrown	weave	wove	woven
tread	trod	trodden (trod)	win	won	won
wake	w ok e	woke	wring	wrung	wrung

172. List of Weak Verbs.

The following verbs show a departure from the regular formation of the Past Tense and Past Participle in -d or -t.

Pres.	Past	P. Part.	Pres.	Past	P. Part.
bend	bent	bent	kneel	knelt	knelt
bereave	bereft	bereft	lay	laid	laid
	bereaved	bereaved	lean	leaned	leaned
beseech	besought	besought		leant	leant
betide	betid	betid	learn	learned	learned
bl e ed	bled	bled		learnt	learnt
blend	blend e d	blent	leave	left	left
		blended	light	lighted, lit	lighted, lit
breed	bred	bred	make	made	made
cast	cast	cast	pen (confin	e) penned p	enned, pent
catch	caught	caught	pen (write)	penned	penned
c loth e	clothed	clothed	put	put	put
	clad	clad	read	read	read
dream	dreamed	dreamed	rend	rent	rent
	dreamt	dreamt	rid	rid	rid
dwell	dwelled	dwelled	set	set	set
	dwelt	dwelt	seck	sought	sought
flee	fled	fled	shoe	shod	shod
flow	flowed	flowed	speed.	sped	sped
gird	girded.	girded	weep	wept	wept
	girt	girt	work	wrought	wrought
have	\mathbf{had}	had		worked	worked

Help was a strong verb: the past participle holpen occurs in the A.V. 'He hath holpen his servant Israel.'

Clave, cloven, are from cleave, 'to split': cleaved, cleft, from cleave, 'to cling to.' But clave was sometimes used for cleaved (Ruth, i. 14), and cleft for cloven.

Wrought from work shows transposition of consonants.

Go (P. Part. gone) supplies its Past Tense went from wend, which is now inflected as a Weak verb, wended.

Yelept is from an Old Eng. verb meaning 'to call.' The y is a corruption of the prefix ge-, which occurs in the P. Part. in modern German.

173. Conjugation of the Verb.

The collection of all the forms of a Verb, by which we mark its Voice, Mood, Tense, Number, and Person, is called its Conjugation.

We have already seen that our supply of inflexions is quite insufficient to mark many of the distinctions of voice, mood, and tense, which we wish to express. In conjugating our verbs we therefore make use of other verbs called Auxiliaries. These Auxiliary Verbs will be discussed in the next chapter, but as the reader possesses a practical knowledge of his own language, it will be no embarrassment to him, if we complete our treatment of the verb generally, by inserting at this point illustrations of the conjugation of a verb, although to do this will involve the employment of those Auxiliaries to the treatment of which we are to come later on.

First we will give the conjugation of a Weak and of a Strong Verb containing all their simple forms (that is, those not made by the aid of auxiliary verbs), both inflected and uninflected. The reader should notice (1) that the conjugation when confined to the simple forms is of very limited extent, and (2) that the inflexions of Strong verbs are the same as those of Weak verbs except in the Past Tense and Past Participle. Take as the Weak verb want, and as the Strong verb break.

¹ Low's English Language, pp. 129, 148.

Verb Finite.

PRESENT.

		INDICA	TIVE.	Subju	NCTIVE.
Sing.	1.	want	break	want	br e ak
	2	want-est	break-est	want	break
	3-	want-s	break-s	want	break
Pl. 1, 2,	ĵ.	want	break	want	break

PAST.

Sing. 1.	want-ed	broke	[wanted	broke
2.	want-edst	brok-est	wanted	broke
3∙	want-ed	broke	wanted	broke
Pl. 1, 2, 3.	want-ed	brok e	wanted	broke}

IMPERATIVE.

2 Sing. want, break

Verb Infinite.

INFINITIVE: (to) want, break
GERUND: want-ing, break-ing
PARTICIPLES PART: want-ing, break-ing
PAST: want-ed, brok-en

Next let us take the conjugation of the verb break, making use of Auxiliaries. To bring out, where possible, the distinction between Indicative and Subjunctive forms, the Third Person Singular of each Tense is given, he or it being understood as a subject.

ωĭ
>
Ħ
Ħ
~
$^{\circ}$
<u>a</u>
5
=

SUBJUNCTIVE.

were being broken have been broken nad been broken be being broken PASSIVE. were broken be broken No future tenses have been breaking had been breaking ACTIVE. were breaking have broken be breaking had broken broke would have been broken will have been broken was being broken had been broken PASSIVE. would be broken has been broken is being broken will be broken was broken is broken would have been breaking will have been breaking would have broken would be breaking has been breaking had been breaking ACTIVE. will have broken will be breaking was breaking would break had broken is breaking has broken will break broke Present Pf. Cont. Future Contin. Future Perfect Present Contin. Present Perfect Future Contin. Future Perfect Fut. Pf. Cont. Past Pf. Cont. Fut. Pf. Cont. TENSE. Past Contin. Past Perfect Future Present Future

IMPERATIVE-Present: ACTIVE: Sreak, PASSIVE: be broken

μį
>
Ĭ
-
Z
Ŧ
Z

Present	(to) break	(to) be broken	
Fresent Contin.	be breaking	-	breaking
Perfect	have broken	have been broken	having broken
Perfect Contin.	have been breaking		having been breaking

having been broken

being broken

PARTICIPLES.

QUESTIONS.

- I. Give the Past Tense and Past Participle of the following Strong Verbs:—arise, beat, begin, bite, break, burst, climb, cling, come, do, drwe, falu, fight, find, fling, freeze, give, go, grave, grind, heave, help, hold, know, mell, ride, rise, run, see, shave, shoot, sing, sink, speak, spin, spring, stand, steal, sting, stink, strike, swim, swing, take, wind, write,
- 2. Give the same forms of the following Weak Verbs:—bring, burld, burn, buy, cost, creep, cut, deal, dwell, feed, feel, geld, hit, hurt, keep, knit, lead, leap, let, lose, mean, meet, put, rap, rid, rot, say, sell, send, set, shed, shred, shut, sleep, slit, smell, spell, spend, spill, spit, split, spread, sweat, sweep, teach, tell, think, thrust, wond, wet, whet.
- 3. Give the Present Participle and Past Participle of the Verbs to which the following Preterites belong:—saw, sawed, sewed, sued, sat, set, sod, sold, fell, felled, laid, lay, raised, rose, rang.
- 4. Show from forms still in use that melt, mow, swell, shear, were once of the strong conjugation.

Write the Past Participles of shoe, light, work, knit, speed.

- 5. Give the Past Tense and Past Participle of each of the following verbs:—fall, fell, hoe, sing, knot, ride, know, jump, go, tear, bear, steal, sit, sling, wring.
- 6. Give in two columns the 1st person singular of the Present and of the Past Tense Indicative of the verbs to which the following participles belong:—flown, lain, eaten, forsaken, set, clad, shown.
- 7. Write the Present Participles of die, dye, lie, forget, credit, acquit, sever, differ, infer, stop, hope, worship, marvel, singe, grieve.
- 8. Give in two columns the 1st person singular of the Present Indicative and the Past Participle of the verbs to which the following Past Tenses belong:—chose, swore, lay, cast, sprang, awoke, grew, hid.
- 9. Distinguish the forms of -ing in these sentences:—'I saw him riding yesterday.' 'This is my riding-horse.' 'Riding is pleasanter than walking.' 'The riding of the cavalry was excellent.' 'He is riding his cob.' 'He keeps his health by riding regularly.' 'Riding in the Row, I met the duke.' 'This curb is no good for riding.' 'He goes to the riding school.' 'I like riding.' 'I am very fond of riding.' 'He is gone a-riding.

[In the last example, the a is a corruption of the preposition on.]

- 10. Distinguish by its appropriate name each of the following forms in -ing:
 - (a) 'Writing yesterday he mentioned the matter to me.'
 - (b) 'The writing of impositions sometimes spoils a good hand.'
 - (c) 'Writing impositions sometimes spoils a good hand."
 - (d) 'Lend me your writing-desk.'

11. Parse the words in -ing in the sentence, 'Darkling we went singing on our way, with our walking-sticks in our hands, weary of toiling in town.'

[Darkling is an adverb signifying 'in the dark,' formed from the adjective by the suffix -ling. The suffix in headlong is of the same origin and is unconnected with the adjective long.]

- 12. Write two sentences, each of them containing the word hunting. Use hunting in (1) as a participle, in (2) as a gerund, each followed by an object.
 - 13. What is the origin of the form of expression, 'A house to Let'?
 - 14. Write short notes explaining the use of the words in italics:
 - (1) 'The rose...would smell as sweet.'
 - (2) 'Better dwell in the midst of alarms.'
 - [On (1) see § 148 and for sweet § 194 (c).
- (2) Dwell is the infinitive to dwell used as a subject of is understood; 'To dwell in the midst of alarms is better than to reign in this horrible place.' Macbeth says, 'Better be with the dead' (Macbeth, III. il. 19), i.e. 'To be with the dead would be better.']
- 15. Draw up a scheme of tenses of the indicative mood of the verb to go.
- 16. Give illustrations of the use of the present indefinite tense to express (a) past action in graphic narrative, (b) habitual action, (c) future action.
- 17. In the expressions (1) 'I had to go,' (2) 'I had rather go,' by what mood is had followed, and why?
- 18. Give four verbs which have only one form for present tense, past tense, and past participle; also four which have two forms; and four in which all these three parts are different in form.
- 19. How do you distinguish between transitive and intransitive verbs? To which of these classes does the verb in the following sentence belong?—' Not a drum was heard.'

What are the transitive verbs corresponding to fall, lie, sit, rise?

20. Is any alteration necessary in the following sentence?—'Stand the gun in the corner.'

[If stand can be used transitively, signifying 'make or cause to stand,' the sentence is right. We do use it in this way in conversation, but in the more formal literary language, the transitive set or place would be employed.]

21. What class of verbs may be put into the passive voice? Change the verbs in the following sentence into the passive voice:

'The Persians attacked the Greeks again, but they did not make any impression on the little army.'

How have the subjects and objects been affected by the change?

- 22. Distinguish clearly between the meaning of 'It is destroyed,' 'He is descrived,' on the one hand, and that of 'It is fathen,' 'He is risen,' on the other. [See § 146.]
 - 23. What does the *infinitive* mood express? Parse fully the verbs in the following:—
 - 'It is laughable to see beginners play.'
- 24. State the various ways in which the infinitive mood may be used. Give illustrative sentences.
 - 25. Correct the mistakes in the following sentences:-
 - 'The lion, having laid down, roared loud,'
 - 'As he lay down the weight, it slipped and has broke his arm.'
 - 'A look of immovable endurance underlaid his expression.'
 - 'He lay himself down.'
 - 'Thou dashest him to earth-there let him lay.'
- 'I would not like to say that the pistol laid yesterday as it lies now.'
 - 'Will you lose that knot for me?'
 - 'Will you allow my brother and I to finish what we have began?'
 - 'I had wrote to him the day before.'
 - 'It was sang at the Philharmonic last year.'

Comment on any grammatical peculiarity in the lines-

- 'And while his harp responsive rung,'
 Twas thus the latest minstrel sung.'
- 'The sun had rose and gone to bed Just as if Partridge were not dead.'
- 26. Name the several moods of a verb, and show, with examples, how each mood answers to its name.
- 27. Is any alteration required in the following sentence?—'He says he isn't going to go for it.'

[There is nothing formally wrong in saying 'going to go,' but the use of 'going,' in the sense of 'about,' to signify an action on the point of commencement, is avoided with the verb 'go' itself, though its employment might be defended more logically in this context than in such expressions as 'to be going to sit still,' 'to be going to stay here,' for if we continue to 'sit' and to 'stay,' we do not 'go' at all, and in saying that we do there is a contradiction in terms.]

28. Is it correct to say that the Infinitive Mood does not mark differences in the time of the action? Consider the forms to write, to have written, to be going to write, in answering the question.

[With regard to the expression to be going to write, we may remark that the combination of the verb go with to write does not constitute a tense. Other circumlocutions, or roundabout modes of expression, might be employed to convey the same meaning, and these circumlocutions would have as good a claim to recognition, as forms of the future infinitive, as the phrase to be going to write: e.g. to be about to write, to be on the point of writing, to have the intention of writing.

With regard to the form to have written, the case is different. This is a genuine tense of the infinitive mood. But according to some authorities, the difference of meaning between to write and to have written is a difference of completeness, not of time. If I say, 'He seems to have written the copy correctly,' 'He expects to have written the last chapter by to-morrow evening,' completed action, not past action, is expressed by the tense to have written. According to other authorities to write and to have written indicate, or at any rate may indicate, a difference of time. If I say, 'He seems to write his novels quickly,' to write expresses a habit, without any reference to time. If I say, 'He seems to have written his last novel quickly,' to have written marks the time as past.]

29. What inflexions of nouns and verbs survive in modern English? How is it that there are so few?

Point out traces of some which have been lost.

- 30. Give examples from modern English of traces of inflexions which have fallen into disuse. How has the place of these lost inflexions been supplied?
- 31. Comment on the inflexion of each of the following words:—geese, pence, brethren, vixen, whom, what, worse, eldest, could, did.
- 32. Is the word Subjunctive well chosen to describe one of the Moods?

[The word suggests that the mood is used in *subjoined* or subordinate clauses. So it is, but it is used also in simple sentences and in main clauses (see § 154). On the other hand, in subordinate clauses the indicative more often occurs: e.g. 'I shall stay in if it rains.']

CHAPTER XVII.

AUXILIARY AND DEFECTIVE VERBS.

174. The Auxiliary Verbs, which supply the deficiencies of inflexions and enable us to mark distinctions of Voice, Mood, and Tense, in the conjugation of a verb, are these:—be, have, shall, will, may, and do.

Be is used (1) as a Voice Auxiliary, forming with the Past Participle of transitive verbs the Passive: 'I am beaten,' 'to be beaten': and (2) as a Tense Auxiliary, forming the Continuous Tenses in both voices: 'I am beating,' 'I am being beaten.'

Notice that, with the Past Participle of certain Intransitive verbs, be forms the Perfect Active: 'I am come,' 'He is gone,' 'It is fallen.' See § 146.

Have is a Tense Auxiliary and forms the Perfect Tenses both Active and Passive: 'I have beaten,' 'I have been beaten,' 'I had beaten,' 'I shall have been beaten.'

Shall and will form the Future Tenses of the Indicative Mood, Active and Passive: 'I shall beat,' 'He will be beaten,' 'They will be beating,' 'We shall have been beaten.'

May and might, should and would, are used to form Subjunctive Equivalents: 'Strive that you may succeed,' 'He strove that he might succeed,' 'I should be glad,' 'If you had been here, this would not have happened.'

Do is used as an auxiliary in negative and interrogative sentences: 'I do not believe this,' 'Do you believe this?'

We shall briefly discuss these verbs in turn.

175. Be is a defective verb, and its conjugation contains forms derived from three roots which we see in am, was, be. Am is the only form of a verb in English that retains the sign of the first person, m, which stands for me. The t in art is the sign of the second person, as in shalt, wilt. Is has dropped its ending -t: compare German ist, Latin est. Are is a Northern form which has taken the place of the Wessex sindon for the third person plural. The simple tenses of the indicative and subjunctive moods have been given on p. 146.

Be is used as-

- 1. A Verb with Full Meaning, signifying 'to exist,' when we say, 'God is,' 'Time was, Time is, and Time shall be no more.'
- 2. A Copula, connecting the terms of a proposition: 'The boy is lazy,' 'A griffin is an imaginary beast.' This account of is belongs to logic rather than to grammar however: in the language of grammar we should describe is here as a verb of Incomplete Predication.
- 3. An Auxiliary of Voice and Tense: 'He is beaten,' 'He is beating,' 'He is come.'
- 176. Have shows the loss of a consonant in some of its forms,—hast, has, had. It is used as—
- 1. A Verb with Full Meaning, signifying 'to possess,' and then admits of a passive use: 'This suggestion has long been had in mind.'
- 2. An Auxiliary of Tense to form the Perfects: 'He has written a letter,' 'He will have finished his work,' 'They had missed the train.' On this construction see § 160.

177. Shall was originally a past tense, meaning 'I have owed,' hence, 'I must pay,' 'I am under an obligation, or necessity.' The German word for 'debt,' Schuld, shows the same root. The idea of obligation is still conveyed in such expressions as 'You should do your duty,' 'He should not say so.' Shall acquired the sense of a present, and a weak past was then formed from it, but the absence of the ending -s from the third person singular shall is due to the fact that it was formerly a past tense. The same circumstance explains the forms can, may, will, must, in the third singular, instead of cans, mays, wills, musts. Compare these forms:

		PRESENT.		•
Sing. 1.	shall	will	can	ma y
2.	shal- t	wil-t	can-st	may (e)st
3⋅	shall	will	can	may
Pl. 1, 2, 3.	shall	will	can	may

PAST.

Sing. 1, 3, Pl. 1, 2, 3. should would could might Sing. 2. should(e)st would(e)st could(e)st might(e)st

- 178. Will as an auxiliary contains only the tenses given above. As a verb with Full Meaning it can be conjugated regularly throughout: 'I did this because you willed it so,' 'It has been willed by the authorities.' Old English had a negative form nill, meaning 'will not,' as Latin has volo and nolo. Nill survives in the adverb willy nilly, i.e. will he, nill he,—'whether he will or won't.'
- 179. Shall and will express the contrast between doing a thing under compulsion from outside and doing a thing from one's own inclination. When employed as Auxiliaries to form the future tense, i.e. to predict an action—to mark its futurity and nothing more—shall is used in the first person and will in the second and third. As a general rule, when will occurs with the first person it expresses intention, and when shall occurs with the second or third person it

expresses a command, a promise, or a threat. Now the notion of intention, command, promise, or threat is something more than the notion of simple futurity, and when shall and will suggest more than simple futurity they are Verbs with Full Meaning, not Auxiliaries.

Why was it absurd of the Irishman in the water to say, according to the venerable story, 'I will be drowned and nobody shall save me'? Because 'I will' and 'nobody shall' indicate the resolution, or determination, of the speaker, and not simple futurity.

- 180. May formerly ended in g, which is still written, though not sounded, in might. As a Verb with Full Meaning it expresses permission, 'You may go out for a walk,' or possibility, 'He may pass his examination': in the latter case, emphasis is usually laid upon the word. As an Auxiliary it occurs forming a Subjunctive-Equivalent: 'Give him a book that he may amuse himself,' 'They have locked the door so that he may not get out.'
- 181. Must was a past tense but is now used as a present indicative. It has no inflexions but can be used of all persons. It expresses the idea of necessity: 'You must work,' 'I must get that book,' 'This must be the case.'
- 182. Can was the past tense of a verb meaning 'to know': compare the German können, 'to know,' 'to be able,' and our con, 'to learn,' cunning, originally 'knowing.' What a man has learnt, he is able to do, so can came to signify 'to be able.' Can is always a Verb with Full Meaning, never an Auxiliary.

The *l* in *could* has been inserted owing to a mistaken notion of analogy with *should* and *would*, in which words the *l* is rightly present as part of the roots, *shall* and *will*. *Uncouth*, 'unknown,' and so 'odd,' or 'awkward,' shows the correct spelling without the *L*

183. Dare was originally a past tense which came to be treated as a present, and a past tense durst was then formed from it. The s of durst is part of the stem, and not of the inflexion of the second person singular, which would be durstest. As dare was a past tense, the third singular of the present indicative properly takes no -s.

Dare has two meanings, (1) 'to venture,' (2) 'to challenge.' In the latter sense it is conjugated regularly throughout. The two sets of forms were confused in the Elizabethan period. At the present day, dare ('venture') is used for the third singular of the present tense with a negative, and to is not inserted before the infinitive which follows: thus, 'He dare not say so,' but 'He dares to say so.' For the past tense either durst or dared is employed: 'He durst not (or dared not) say so.'

184. Ought was originally the past tense of the verb owe which meant, first, 'to have,' and then 'to have as a duty,' 'to be under an obligation.' Shakespeare often uses owe in the sense of own, or 'possess.' It seems a little odd that 'I owe a thousand pounds' might signify in the Elizabethan age either 'I possess a thousand pounds,' or 'I am a thousand pounds in debt,' but our modern words own and owe express the same contrast, and the notion of possession is the older meaning of the two.

Ought, must, can, may, shall and will (auxiliary) have no past participle. For want of a past participle we put the dependent verb in the perfect infinitive. Thus, as we cannot say 'I had ought to do it,' 'I had could do it,' 'I had might do it,' we say 'I ought to have done it,' 'I could have done it,' 'I might have done it.'

185. Need also drops the final s in the third singular present, when it means 'to be under the necessity' and is followed by a negative, or used interrogatively: e.g. 'He need not go, need he?' The reason for the omission is not

clear, as need was not originally a past tense which has acquired a present force. Hence we cannot explain the absence of the s from need as we explain its absence from can, may, shall, will, dare.

186. Do has the following important uses:

- r. As a Verb with Full Meaning, signifying 'perform': 'He did his work,' 'Do your duty.'
 - 2. As an Auxiliary—
- (a) in place of the Present or the Past tense: 'I do repent' for 'I repent'; 'He did rejoice' for 'He rejoiced'; 'They did eat' for 'They ate.' The auxiliary do is here unemphatic.
- (b) to emphasize our meaning: 'I do think so'; 'He did try hard'; 'They did eat'; 'Do tell me.'
- (c) in interrogative sentences: 'Do you think so?' 'Did he go?'
- (d) in negative sentences: 'He does not think so'; 'I did not go'; 'Do not move.'

The verb dependent on the auxiliary is in the infinitive mood.

3. As a substitute for other verbs, except 'be': 'He reads more than you do (read)'; 'I said I wouldn't take the money and I didn't (take it)'; 'You play well and so does (play) your brother.'

The forms dost, doth are mainly confined to the auxiliary use: doest, doeth are never auxiliary.

Do forms compounds, don, 'to do on,' 'to put on,' and doff, 'to take off,' of clothes: dout, 'to put out,' of a light or fire: dup, 'to do up,' i.e. lift the latch and so 'open,' of a door.

Do meaning 'to suffice,' 'to be suitable,' occurring in such expressions as 'Will that do?' 'This will never do,'

was formerly supposed to have a different origin from do of common use. But this theory is now abandoned and do, in the sense 'to suffice,' 'to be suitable,' is held to be the same verb as do, 'to perform.'

187. The following verbs are practically obsolete:

Wit, 'to know,' has a Present wot and Past wist, (used to-day only in affectation of archaic style): 'I'll find Romeo to comfort you: I wot well where he is'; 'He wist not what to say.' The old gerund to wit now signifies 'namely.'

Worth is all that remains of an old verb signifying to be or become. 'Woe worth the chase, woe worth the day' means 'Woe be to the chase and the day.'

Quoth is a Past Tense, the Present of which appears in the compound bequeath. It occurs now only in the first and third persons singular and always precedes the pronoun: 'quoth I,' 'quoth he.'

OUESTIONS.

1. Explain the term Copula. Make the copula explicit in the sentence 'The fire burns.'

[The word copula belongs to Logic rather than to Grammar. In Logic, the proposition 'Man is mortal' would be described as consisting of two terms and a copula: the term man is the subject, the term mortal is the predicate, and the word is, which connects the two, is the copula. In Grammar, mortal is not the predicate, but together with is it forms the predicate. To bring the sentence 'The fire burns' into the form of the proposition in Logic we must say 'The fire is burning.' We have then made the copula explicit.]

2. Give in outline the history of the Auxiliary Verbs.

Discuss the following constructions:-

- (1) 'I did come.'
- (2) 'I have come.'
- (3) 'I ought to come.'
- (4) 'I ought to have come.'

3. Make sentences in which the word have is used (a) as a transitive verb in the indicative mood, (b) as a transitive verb in the subjunctive, (c) as an auxiliary.

W. E. G. 12

4. Conjugate the verbs can, shall, will, ought, must, and show how the places of the missing forms are supplied.

[Think, e.g., how we express ourselves instead of saying, 'I shall not can go,' 'They will must stop.']

5. Write short notes on the following italicised words:—'He must go.'—'He need not go.'—'He dare not go.'—'Methinks.'—'I wis.'—'This will never do.'—'So mote it be.'

[I wis is not a verb at all, but an adverb ywis, 'truly,' where y represents an older form ge, as in yelept; compare German gewiss, 'certainly.'

Mote is the subjunctive of mot, 'I can, I may,' (but of different origin from the verb may,) from which must was formed as a past tense, though used also as a present.]

6. Write short notes on the following italicised verbal forms:—
'How do you do?'—'I do you to wit.'—'Woe worth the day!'—'Seeing is believing.'—'He that hath ears to hear, let him hear.'

[In 'How do you do?' the first do is the auxiliary. The second is now considered to be do, Latin facere, not do, Latin valere, as was once supposed. The expression resembles the Old French equivalent, Comment le faites vous? literally, 'How do you make it?' and the German Was machen Sie? literally, 'What make you?'

I do you to wit means 'I cause you to know.']

- 7. As English verbs possess no inflexions to form the future tense, how are the ideas of simple futurity, of intention, and of compulsion respectively expressed?
 - 8. Define mood, tense, auxiliary verb.

Write two sentences, each containing a verb in the subjunctive mood.

Explain the meaning of the word perfect as applied to tense.

Distinguish the various uses of do as an auxiliary verb.

9. Form sentences which illustrate the use of will and shall as Verbs with Full Meaning, and specify in each instance the notion which the verb conveys.

[Intention: 'I will be obeyed.' 'Will you come out?' 'He said he would not pay.' Command: 'Thou shalt not steal.' 'You should speak respectfully.' 'The doctor said she should take more exercise.' Promise: 'You shall be told at once.' 'He shall apologise.' Threat: 'Idle boys shall be kept in.' 'The master told the idle boys that they should be kept in.']

CHAPTER XVIIL

ADVERBS.

188. An Adverb is a word which modifies the meaning of a verb, adjective, or other adverb.

Verbs usually indicate an action, and this action may be performed in various ways and in different circumstances. These variations in the conditions under which the action takes place are expressed by adverbs. Thus the action asserted in the sentence 'He bowled' is described as limited or modified, as regards the time when it occurred, if I say 'yesterday'; as regards the place, if I say 'here'; as regards the manner, if I say 'badly.' The vagueness of the statement 'He bowled' has been in large measure removed when I say 'Yesterday he bowled here badly.' Just as adjectives limit the application of nouns to things, so adverbs limit the application of verbs to actions. Just as the words 'clever boy' are applicable to fewer objects than the word 'boy,' so the words 'bowled.'

Again, Adjectives denote attributes, and these attributes are such as, in many instances, but by no means in all, vary in degree. One way of indicating this variation is by comparison: another is by the use of adverbs which denote degree. If the reader will refer to the chapter on the Inflexion of Adjectives, he will see that the Pronominal

Adjectives, e.g. this, that, each, which, do not admit of Comparison at all; nor do the definite Quantitative Adjectives, or indeed many of the indefinite Quantitative Adjectives; and that even of the Qualitative Adjectives there are several which cannot be compared. Hence it is only to some adjectives that adverbs can be applied. Moreover it is only some adverbs which are applicable to adjectives. Adverbs of time, place, manner, cannot be used to qualify adjectives, though they qualify verbs. The same remarks apply to the qualification of adverbs by other adverbs. We can say 'very bad,' 'very badly,' but there is no meaning in saying 'here bad,' 'hither badly,' 'anyhow bad,' 'then badly,' for though these words may possibly occur together in sentences, reflexion will show that in such cases it is the verb, and not the adjective or adverb, which is modified.

189. Simple and Connective Adverbs. Most adverbs are Simple: e.g. now, here, once, scarcely, well, not, so. Interrogative adverbs also are Simple when they occur in non-dependent sentences: e.g. 'Where has he gone?' 'How many came?' 'Why did you refuse?' But when an Interrogative adverb introduces a Dependent question, the adverb is Connective, uniting the two clauses: thus, 'Tell me where he has gone': 'I ask you how many came': 'Say why you refused,' the meaning being 'Tell me the answer to the question, Whore has he gone?' 'I ask you the question, How many came?' 'Say the answer to the question, Why did you refuse?' (See § 129, p. 127.)

Relative Adverbs again, like Relative Pronouns, are Connective. In the sentence, 'This is the anniversary of the day when he was born,' when is the equivalent of on which, and the clause which it introduces is Adjectival. We might substitute for the clause the adjective natal and say, 'This is the anniversary of his natal day.' So again in the sentence, 'This is the garden where the public are not admitted,' where is the equivalent of to which, and the

clause which it introduces is Adjectival. We might substitute for the clause the adjective *private* and say, 'This is the private garden.'

190. Adverbs classified according to their Meaning.

Time { when? now, to-day, then, yesterday, soon, to-morrow how long? always, ever how often? twice, yearly, rarely
 Place { where? here, near, below whence? hence, thence whither? hither, thither in what order? secondly, lastly

3. Degree, or Quantity how much? scarcely, quite, little, exactly

4. Manner, or Quality how? well, ill, and adverbs in -ly

5. Certainty certainly, not, perhaps

6. Reason and Consequence why, therefore, thus

191. Yes and No. What are we to call the words Yes and No?

They are usually classed as Adverbs of Affirmation and Negation, or, to use the term employed in our table, Adverbs of Certainty. Yet they are not exactly adverbs, for we cannot use them to modify verbs, adjectives, or other adverbs: we cannot say 'He yer did it,' 'He is yes good,' 'He acted yes wisely.' In some respects they resemble Interjections, but they are not, like them, the expression of a sudden feeling. They are really equivalent to sentences: 'Did he say so?' 'Yes,'—that is, 'He said so': 'No,'—that is, 'He did not say so.' In conversation we often compress sentences into single words: 'Are you satisfied?' 'Quite,' that is, 'I am quite satisfied.' 'Glad,' that is, 'I am glad you are quite satisfied.' The student will understand that no, meaning none, is an adjective: 'no money,' 'no friends.'

192. Mode of Formation of Adverbs.

The following are the principal modes in which Adverbs are formed:

- I. Adverbs from Adjectives.
- 2. Adverbs from Nouns in their oblique cases.
- 3. Adverbs from Pronouns.
- 4. Compound Adverbs.

193. Illustrations of these Modes of Formation.

I (a). The usual adverbial suffix is -ly, a corruption of like: so,

'godlike' became 'godly.'

(b). In Old English, adverbs were formed from adjectives by adding -e: fast-e, hard-e. This suffix disappeared along with many of our other inflexions, and adjective and adverb were no longer distinguishable in form. 'A fast rider': 'He rode fast.'

The comparative and superlative forms of such adverbs as are referred to above in 1 (b) are the same as those of the adjectives: 'A

faster rider': 'He rode faster.'

The comparative and superlative forms of several adjectives which have irregular comparison are used adverbially also. See p. 115.

With these exceptions, more and most are generally employed in the comparison of adverbs.

2. Relics of case-inflexions appear in some adverbs:-

- (a) genitive ending -s is present in needs, unawares, and disguised in once, twice.
- (b) dative plural ending -um survives in seldom and in the archaic whilem, 'formerly.'
- (c) instrumental case is seen in why, the (in 'the more the better,' originally thi), and how.
- The survival of inflexions is illustrated by the adverbs which are derived from the pronouns he, who, and the demonstrative adjective the.

Stem	Suffix -ther	Locative case	Genitive case	Accusative case	Instrumental case
he	hither	here	hence		
who	whither	where	whence	when	wh y, how
the	thither	there	thence	then	the, thus

4. In a few cases a compound adverb is formed from two words written in one: meanwhile, straightway, yesterday, thereupon, herein, hitherto, aboard (where a is a corruption of on), perchance, elsewhere, whenseever.

194. The following points deserve attention:

(a) Words belonging to other parts of speech are sometimes used as adverbs:—

Nouns for adverbs: 'He went home,' 'I don't mind a rap,' 'The wound was skin deep.'

Pronouns for adverbs: 'somewhat steep,' 'none the worse.'

Verbs for adverbs: 'It went crash through the window,' 'Smack went the whip.'

- (b) Adverbs are sometimes used with nouns as if the adverbs were adjectives: 'The then prime-minister,' 'The above remarks,' 'My arrival here,' 'His journey abroad.'
- (c) Is there any difference of meaning between 'He arrived safe' and 'He arrived safely'?

The adjective safe marks a quality of the agent he, the adverb safely marks the mode of the action arrived. If his horse ran away, and he narrowly escaped being upset, he might arrive 'safe,' but he certainly would not arrive 'safely,' that is, 'in a safe manner.'

QUESTIONS.

1. What difficulty would arise in conversation, if there were (a) no adjectives, (b) no adverbs?

[Illustrate the difficulty by an example of this sort. By the aid of adjectives we can distinguish different varieties of things, each of which distinctions would require a separate noun, if we had no adjectives. Thus, if we take wine as our noun, and good, old, and red, as its limiting adjectives, with these four words we can mark eight distinctions: viz., (putting initial letters to represent the words) W, GW, OW, RW, GOW, GRW, ORW, GORW, and for these eight distinctions we should need eight nouns. This gives a very inadequate idea however of the economy of words which adjectives enable us to effect. For if we take the same three adjectives good, old, and red, and change the noun from wine to velvet, we shall need another eight nouns to express the varieties of velvet; another eight would be required to express the varieties of velvet; another eight would be required to express the varieties of velvet; another eight would be required to express the varieties of velvet; another eight would be required to express the varieties of velvet; another eight would be required to express the varieties of velvet; another eight would be required to express the varieties of velvet; another eight would need twenty-four words instead of six.

The same point might be illustrated as regards verbs and adverbs. By combining write, ride, walk, with gracefully, slowly, well, we express by means of six words twenty-four distinctions. If we had no adverbs and wished to mark these distinctions, we should do so either (1) by using phrases composed of a preposition and a noun, e.g. 'with grace,' in a slow manner,' in a good style,' or (2) by adding twenty-one verbs to our vocabulary.]

2. Express by adverbs the adverbial phrases in the following sentence:—'To tell the truth I want the money in the course of the next few hours, and if you will let me have it at the present moment, without asking for what purpose it is required or in what manner I am going to spend it, I shall feel obliged to an extraordinary extent.'

- 3. Express by adverbial phrases the following adverbs:—lastly, pleasantly, once, occasionally, there.
- 4. Explain the use of right in—'Right against the stream they pulled.'
- 5. Apply your definition of adverb to the adverb in the phrase 'Quite within my recollection.'

[It appears at first sight as if the adverb quite qualified the preposition within. But this is not necessarily the case. It may be regarded as qualifying the whole phrase within-my-recollection. What sort of phrase is 'within-my-recollection'?]

- 6. Mention two adverbs of place, two of time, and two of degree; and form adverbs from the words holy, whole, true, side, board, one, need, north, here, day, other, three.
- 7. Refer to its class each of the following adverbs:—weekly, weakly, possibly, enough, anyhow, hence, hardly, certainly, aloft, presently.
- 8. Parse the word above in the following sentence:—'The above remarks, as we noticed above, apply above all to the third class.'
 - q. What parts of speech may an adverb modify?

Parse fully the words alone, almost, in each of the following sentences:—

- (a) 'He almost succeeded alone.'
- (b) 'He succeeded almost alone.'
- (c) 'He, alone, almost succeeded.'

[As explained at the beginning of the chapter, adverbs limit, or modify, verbs and adjectives, words expressing actions and attributes: they also qualify other adverbs. As participles are verbal adjectives, participles admit of adverbial modification: 'much disappointed,' 'twice blessed.' The following uses of the adverb are only seemingly exceptional. In 'Yours faithfully' the possessive pronoun is really adjectival, expressing a quality. In 'He was fully master of the language' a noun appears to be modified, but the noun is used like a verb to express an attribute: 'He was fully master of the language' means 'He had fully mastered the language.' In 'I am entirely at your disposal,' 'He died far from his native land,' the adverb looks as if it modified a preposition, but it really modifies the whole adverbial phrase.]

- 10. Give examples of adverbs formed from nouns, pronouns, adjectives, and by combining various parts of speech.
- 11. What are the adverbs corresponding to the adjectives shy, far, sly, fast, kindly, gay?

Explain the forms betimes, whilom, piecemeal, ashore.

[With -meal in fiecemeal compare German -mal in einmal. The suffix represents an old English word signifying 'piece,' 'measure,' which was used in the dative plural to form adverbs.]

12. Point out any difference in the adverbial use of very and much. [Very qualifies adjectives in the positive and the superlative degree: e.g. 'a very steep hill,' 'the very steepest hill.' Much qualifies adjectives in the comparative degree: e.g. 'a much steeper hill.' Again, very should not be used to qualify participles when used as participles. Thus we cannot say 'He is very amusing the company,' and we ought not to say 'The company seemed very amused.' Participles used as adjectives may, however, be qualified by very: e.g. 'He is a very amusing fellow'; 'The company wore a very amused expression.']

CHAPTER XIX.

PREPOSITIONS.

195. A Preposition is a word which is used with a noun or pronoun to show its relation to some other word in the sentence.

Case was defined as the form of a noun or pronoun by which we show its relation to some other word in the sentence. But the relations in which a noun may stand are far more numerous than those which the supply of cases, even in an inflexional language like Latin, will enable us to represent. And in a non-inflexional language like our own, we are almost entirely dependent on Prepositions for the means of expressing these relations. Thus the Romans, like ourselves, had recourse to prepositions when they said 'before the town,' 'against the town,' 'through the town,' 'across the town,' ante urbem, contra urbem, fer urbem, trans urbem, although case-endings served their purpose in some instances in which we have to fall back on prepositions, and they could say moenia urbis, 'the walls of the town,' dat agros urbi, 'he gives lands to the town.'

196. A preposition and neun together form a phrase which is equivalent to either an adjective or an adverb. So, 'a statesman of eminence' is 'an eminent statesman'; 'a town in Holland' is 'a Dutch town'; 'a man without education' is 'an uneducated man.' The combination here is adjectival. In the following examples it is adverbial by force, in a

curious fashion, with courage, at the present time, from this spot: for these phrases we might substitute the adverbs forcibly, curiously, courageously, now, hence.

197. In the language of grammar we speak of the preposition as 'governing' the noun or pronoun to which it is attached. In Greek, or Latin, or German, the student finds it a serious business to learn the cases which follow the various prepositions, but in modern English, owing to the loss of inflexions, we are spared any trouble of this kind. The noun governed by the preposition is 'in the accusative case,' and the form of the accusative is identical with the form of the nominative. In the pronouns the differences of form are limited to the Pronouns of the First, Second, and Third Persons, and to the Relative who.

Notice however that, though we speak of the noun as governed by the preposition, it is not necessary that the noun should come after the preposition. The preposition is often put at the end of the sentence. So, we may say 'This is the boy whom I gave it to,' 'What are you talking about?' and the construction is the same as if we had said 'This is the boy to whom I gave it,' 'About what are you talking?'

198. Prepositions might be classified, as Adverbs were classified in the preceding chapter, according to their meaning. But such a classification would be out of place in an elementary book. The relations in which things stand to other things are so various that the prepositions expressing these relations would require a large number of classes for their arrangement. Or, if the number of classes were small, the names of the classes would necessarily be so vague that the student would attach to them no clear and distinct meaning. Then again, the classification would be complicated by the fact that the same preposition is used in widely different senses and would therefore have its place in

several groups. As an illustration of this, let us notice some of the relations indicated by the preposition by. We can use it to mark time, 'by day'; or instrument, 'stunned by a blow'; or agency, 'stabbed by Brutus'; or manner, 'hung by the neck'; or measure, 'sold by the pound'; or place, 'he lives by the river'; or as an appeal, 'I beg you by whatever you hold dear'; and these are not all of its meanings.

- 199. We may also classify Prepositions according to their Origin.
 - (1) Some are Simple: at, by, to, up, on.
- (2) Others are Compound: throughout, within, upon, into.
- (3) A few are Participles: considering, regarding, concerning, during, pending.

200. The use of considering, or regarding, as a true participle may be seen in such sentences as these: 'Considering the temptation, they let him off,' i.e. 'They, considering the temptation, let him off': 'Regarding your conduct, I am shocked,' i.e. 'I, regarding your conduct, am shocked.' But when we say, 'Considering the temptation, he was allowed to get off,' considering means 'in consideration of' and has become a preposition: when we say, 'Regarding your statement, you have been misinformed,' regarding means 'with regard to' and has become a preposition. The use of concerning as a preposition occurs in the A.V. in the passage, 'Now concerning the collection ... even so do ye,' (I Cor. xvi. I): its participial origin is seen in such an expression as this: 'Your remarks concerning me are unfounded.' Commercial men are quite needlessly pressing the participle 'referring to' into their service as a preposition, and their letters begin in this objectionable fashion: 'Referring to yours of yesterday lard has gone up.' Here referring to is used as a preposition signifying 'with reference to' and is no longer a participle: if it were, the construction would be 'lard referring to your letter,' which is absurd.

Some of these forms may be explained as originally Absolute constructions of the participle: 'during the day' arose from 'the day during,' or 'lasting': 'pending the verdict,' from 'the verdict pending,' or 'being in suspense': 'notwithstanding the storm,' from 'the storm not withstanding,' or 'obstructing.' A similar explanation applies to except, which springs from the Latin past participle: 'all except John' was

originally 'all, John having been excepted.' Save, as a preposition, exhibits the same absolute construction: the word is here an adjective equivalent to safe. So, 'all, save one' was 'all, one being safe.'

201. The beginner will find little difficulty in distinguishing between the functions of the same word as Preposition and as Adverb, if he remembers that a Preposition is used with a noun or its substitute and governs it: where there is no noun thus governed, the word in question is not a preposition. A few examples will make this clear: the following words are used as

Prepositions

Adverbs

He is on the roof. Take it off the table. He is gone down the town. It lies beyond the river. We went along the bank. Put it on.
Take it off.
He is gone down.
It lies beyond.
Go along.

QUESTIONS.

1. Give the definition and derivation of (a) pronoun, (b) preposition. Shew how your answers apply to the words printed in stalics in the following:—

'To be, or not to be,—that is the question.'
'They had nothing to amuse themselves with.'

['Pronoun' from Latin, pro, 'for,' nomen, 'name'. 'Preposition' from prae, 'in front', positus, 'placed', not because prepositions are usually placed before nouns, for they often come after them, but because in Greek and Latin they were prefixed to verbs to form compounds. The derivation of the name is only a source of embarrassment to beginners, as it suggests order in a sentence, with which it has nothing to do.

Remember that the infinitive is equivalent to a noun. What nouns can we substitute for 'to be,' 'not to be'?]

2. Specify the notions expressed by the preposition on in the following examples of its use:—'It rests on the earth'—'Weston is on the sea'—'Ite lectures on medicine'—'We returned on Saturday'—'The dew descended on the parched earth'—'He made an attack on the enemy'—'He started on receiving the telegram'—'He gave up business on account of his health.' [See Bain's Higher English Grammar, pp. 90—1.]

- 3. Construct sentences illustrating some of the principal uses of for and of.
- 4. In the following quotations from Shakespeare substitute prepositions in accordance with modern idiom¹:—
 - 'Have we eaten on the insane root?'
 - 'Steal forth thy father's house.'
 - 'From out the fiery portal of the East.'
 - 'Sounds of music creep in our ears.'
 - 'Our fears in Banquo stick deep.'
 - 'We'll deliver you of your great danger.'
 - 'A proper man of mine honour.'
 - 'A plague of all cowards!'
 - 'I stay here on my bond.'
 - 'Prepare yourself to death.'
 - 'The lady Beatrice hath a quarrel to you.'
 - 'I live with bread like you.'
- 5. Express with the aid of a preposition the idea represented by the first part of these compound nouns:—gravy-qoon, steam-ship, warship, land-breeze, sea-capiain, Convalescent-Home, ground-swell, playground, life-preserver, wheel-barrow.
- 6. What idea was originally represented by prepositions in English? [Relations in space. These purely local meanings were then extended to express relations of time and of cause. So, of and off were once the same word; by meant 'close to'; for meant 'before.' See Mason's English Grammar, pp. 116--9.]
- 7. In the following phrases, is the use of the preposition inconsistent with its definition?—(a) in short, after all, at last, for better, for worse:
 (b) till now, for ever, since then, from here.
- [In (a) the preposition is joined to adjectives which are used without the noun which they limit. In (b) the preposition is joined to adverbs employed as nouns: now is equivalent to 'the present time,' ever, to 'all time.' When these words are parsed, the adjectives should be described as adjectives used for nouns, or as adjectives with the ellipsis of nouns, and the adverbs as adverbs used for nouns.]
- 8. Write down the prepositions in the following lines and make short sentences to illustrate different uses of each:—
 - 'As when upon a tranced summer night, Those green-robed senators of mighty woods, Tall oaks, branch-charmed by the earnest stars, Dream, and so dream all night without a stir,'
 - 1 Selected from Abbott's Shakespearian Grammar.

- 9. Paraphrase the meanings of the prepositions in-
- (a) 'Have it ready by to-morrow.'
- (b) 'I shall do my duty by him.'
- (c) 'It lies south by west.'
- (d) 'He married for love.'
- (e) 'For all his efforts, he remained poor.'
- (f) 'The soldiers were under arms: at the word of command they stood at attention.'
- 10. Give four examples to show that the meaning of a verb may be differently modified by a preposition or an adverb according as the preposition or adverb is attached to the verb as a prefix or written after it.

[As, e.g. understand and stand under.]

- 11. Interpret the following pairs of sentences and comment on the idiomatic use of but which they exemplify:—
 - 1. (a) 'This specimen is all but perfect.'
 - (b) 'This specimen is anything but perfect.'
 - 2. (c) 'I can but feel sorry.'
 - (d) 'I cannot but feel sorry.'

[The idiomatic uses of but are full of difficulty. From its literal sense 'outside of' (by-out) the preposition but came to mean 'without,' 'except.' In the first pair of sentences substitute except for but. We can understand how the phrases all but and anything but arose, but it is curious that their meanings should be diametrically opposite. See Abbott's How to Parse, p. 259.

In the second pair of sentences the presence or absence of the *not* leaves the meaning unaffected. In (c) we may substitute *only* for *but*, and in (d) we may supply an ellipsis: '1 cannot *do anything* but (*i.e.* except) feel sorry.' See Mason's *English Grammar*, § 538, where however it is maintained that in (c) a negative is improperly omitted.]

CHAPTER XX.

CONJUNCTIONS AND INTERJECTIONS.

202. A Conjunction is a word, other than a relative pronoun or adverb, which joins words and sentences.

All conjunctions can join sentences together, but all words which join sentences are not conjunctions. 'I saw the king, who was staying at Ems' contains two clauses, 'I saw the king; (he) was staying at Ems.' The relative pronoun who joins the two clauses so that they form one sentence. It has the force of a conjunction, but is not itself a conjunction. Again, 'I saw the king at Ems where he was staying' contains two clauses, 'I saw the king at Ems; he was staying there.' The relative adverb where joins the two clauses so that they form one sentence. It has the force of a conjunction, but is not itself a conjunction.

203. What do Conjunctions join,—Sentences, or Words, or both?

Conjunctions usually connect sentences even when they appear to connect only words. 'John and Mary are good players' is an elliptical or abbreviated way of saying 'John is a good player,' 'Mary is a good player.' But in some cases and connects words only, and there is no contraction or abridgement of two separate sentences. 'John and Mary are a handsome couple' cannot be resolved into 'John is a

handsome couple,' 'Mary is a handsome couple.' 'Two and two make four' is not a compact way of saying 'Two makes four,' 'Two makes four.' With the exception however of the occasional use of and to join words, conjunctions join sentences. Thus 'He was poor but honest' contains two statements; 'He was poor: he was honest.' 'He is neither a knave nor a fool' means 'He is not a knave: he is not a fool.' 'He is either a knave or a fool' means 'He is either a knave, or he is a fool.'

- 204. Conjunctions are classified as (1) Coordinating and (2) Subordinating.
- (1) Co-ordinating Conjunctions join co-ordinate or independent clauses: viz. and, but, or, nor, for.
- (2) Subordinating Conjunctions join a subordinate clause to the main clause: e.g. that, after, whence, because, lest, if, though, as, than.
- 205. Before going further, we must explain the meaning of the terms co-ordinate, subordinate, clause, which have been introduced into the definitions of conjunctions and classes of conjunctions. The discussion of these words belongs indeed to syntax rather than to etymology. But we have reached the threshold of syntax and may cross the threshold without straying far beyond the strict limits of our present subject; for it is only by saying now some of the things which would more properly be said in the concluding chapters of the book, that we can hope to make the treatment of conjunctions intelligible.

A Sentence is a collection of words by which we say something about a thing.

The Subject of a sentence is the word or group of words which denotes the thing about which something is said by means of the Predicate.

W. E. G.

The Predicate is that which is said about the thing denoted by the Subject.

There are two kinds of sentences, Simple and Complex.

A Simple Sentence contains only one predication.

A Complex Sentence contains a Subordinate Clause.

A Clause is a group of words containing a Subject and Predicate of its own and forming part of a larger sentence.

The following are Simple sentences: 'The general was knighted.' 'He told me this,' 'He gave me a contribution.' But a sentence may contain several clauses without becoming a Complex sentence, provided that none of the clauses are subordinate. 'Fools build houses and wise men buy them' is not a Complex sentence, as its two parts are co-ordinate. It is called a Double sentence. When the co-ordinate parts are more than two in number, the sentence is described as Multiple. Thus, 'Men may come and men may go, but I go on for ever' is a Multiple sentence. 'Cæsar came, he saw and he conquered' is a Multiple sentence. 'He, his wife, his cousin and his aunt each gave me a contribution' is a sentence with a Multiple Subject. 'He told me neither this nor that, neither fact nor fiction' is a sentence with a Multiple Object. 'The general was knighted, presented with the freedom of the city and entertained at the Mansion House' is a sentence with a Multiple Predicate. student can make his own examples of sentences which contain Double or Multiple Predicative Adjectives or Adverbial Qualifications.

But if a sentence contains two or more clauses, one of which is dependent on the other, it is a Complex sentence: 'The general who won the victory was knighted,' 'He told me that the prisoner had escaped,' 'He gave me a contribution because he approved of the object,' are complex sentences. The groups of words in italics contain, it is true, their own

subjects and finite verbs. But they are not independent sentences: they occupy the place of an adjective, a noun, or an adverb, in relation to the rest of the sentence of which they form a part. Hence they are called Subordinate Clauses.

Thus in the sentence 'The general who won the victory was knighted,' the clause 'who won the victory' is equivalent to *victorious* and limits the application of the noun 'general.' It is an Adjective clause.

In the sentence 'He told me that the prisoner had escaped,' the clause 'that the prisoner had escaped' occupies the same position as might be occupied by such words as 'the fact,' or 'the rumour.' The fact or the rumour is a noun. Hence the clause, as it takes the place of a noun, is a Noun clause.

In the sentence 'He gave me a contribution because he approved of the object,' the clause 'because he approved of the object' modifies the application of the verb gave, stating why he gave it. The words by which we limit the application of verbs are adverbs: 'He gave me a contribution approvingly,' would express, approximately, the same thing as 'He gave me a contribution because he approved of the object.' Such a clause as this, since it takes the place of an adverb, is an Adverb clause.

The clause not subordinate is called the Main Clause.

206. No rule of thumb can be supplied which shall enable the student to determine whether a subordinate clause is an adjective-clause, noun-clause, or adverb-clause, without the exercise of his wits. The same collection of words may be adjectival, substantival, or adverbial, in three different complex sentences. Take the words, 'where the battle was fought.' A beginner, recognising an adverb in the first word 'where,' might jump to the conclusion that a clause which begins with an adverb must be an adverbial clause. But the nature of the clause is not to be settled in this way: we must look at the clause in its relation to the main clause and see what sort of work it does,—whether it does the work of an adjective, of a noun, or of an adverb. Observe its different functions in these three complex sentences:

- 1. 'The spot where-the-battle-was-fought is unknown.'
- 2. 'Where-the-battle-was-fought is unknown.'
- 3. 'I live where-the-battle-was-fought.'
- In (1), where-the-battle-was-fought is adjectival, limiting 'spot'; in like manner we might say 'the exact spot is unknown.'
- In (2), it is a noun-clause, equivalent to 'The spot is unknown,' 'The fact is unknown,' 'It is unknown.'
- In (3), it is adverbial, modifying the verb 'live,' just as an adverb would modify it in the sentence 'I live there.'

When the reader has mastered the distinction between simple and complex sentences and between the three kinds of subordinate clauses, one or other of which every complex sentence contains, analysis will present very few difficulties to him. But his analysis of complex sentences will generally be wrong, if he attempts the task without an intelligent grasp of the principles which have been stated above. From this digression into syntax we must now return to the subject of conjunctions from which we may seem to have wandered far.

207. The reader should now be able readily to grasp our meaning when we say that co-ordinating conjunctions are those which unite co-ordinate clauses; and that subordinating conjunctions are those which join subordinate clauses to the main clause of a complex sentence.

The subordinate clauses which a subordinating conjunction introduces are noun-clauses or adverbial clauses. Adjective-clauses are attached to the main clause by a relative pronoun or by a relative adverb; as, 'The general who won the victory was knighted,' which is equivalent to 'The victorious general was knighted'; 'The house where nobody lives is to be pulled down,' which is equivalent to 'The empty house is to be pulled down.' Noun-clauses are generally introduced by that, and occur especially after verbs of saying, thinking, believing, asking, hoping, seeing, and others of similar import: 'I say that he did it,' 'I think that this is so.' But that is not essential to a noun-clause: thus the following clauses in italics are noun-clauses; 'I see how you did it,' 'When he did it is not clear,' 'He asked if I did it,' 'We heard you had gone.'

Subordinating Conjunctions may be classified as follows:

1. Time: when, while, before, till, after, since Time: when, while, be 2. Place: where, whithe 3. Cause: because, since 4. Purpose: that, lest 5. Result: that 6. Condition: if, unless 7. Concession: though 8. Comparison: as that 2. Place: where, whither, whence

Comparison: as, than

and introducing Noun Clauses

that. g.

209. Conjunctions have grown out of other parts of speech.

The conjunction that was originally the neuter demonstrative pronoun. 'I know that you did it' represents 'You did it: I know that, the order of the clauses being reversed. Both, used with and, is the same word as the adjective; either, used with or, is the same word as the distributive pronoun. Than was formerly an adverb. Before, after, since, were once prepositions and were followed by 'that.' To distinguish Conjunctions from Prepositions is easy: Conjunctions never govern a case. To distinguish Conjunctions from Adverbs is often difficult, and our remarks on the distinction shall be reserved till we are dealing with the Syntax of Adverbs and Conjunctions.

210. Conjunctions which are used in pairs with other conjunctions or adverbs are called Correlatives: for example, both...and, not only...but also, either...or, as...as, so...that; thus, 'Loan oft loses both itself and friend,' 'Not only was he idle but also vicious,' 'This is either true or false,' 'There live we as merry as the day is long,' 'Write so that I may hear next week.'

211. Interjections.

An Interjection is a sound which expresses an emotion but which seldom affects the construction of the sentence.

As Interjections are very rarely connected with the grammatical structure of the sentence, they have but a slight claim to recognition among the Parts of Speech. O/ ah! pooh! psha! like the barking of a dog or the lowing of a calf, are noises, not words. If there were any advantage in classifying these sounds, we might group them according to the feelings which they express, as Interjections denoting joy, disgust, surprise, vexation, and so forth.

Interjections which are corruptions or contractions of words, or elliptical forms of expression, may be referred to the parts of speech to which they originally belong. So, adieu is 'to God (I commend you),' goodbye is 'God be with you,' hail / is 'be thou hale' or 'healthy,' law / or lawks / is a corruption of 'Lord,' and marry / of 'Mary!'

By means of a preposition or the conjunction that an Interjection is occasionally brought into grammatical construction: e.g. 'Fie on ambition!' 'Alas for those who never sing!' 'Oh for a lodge in some vast wilderness!' 'Oh that mine enemy had written a book!' Without the Interjections these expressions would be meaningless.

QUESTIONS.

- 1. What are Correlative Conjunctions? Give the correlatives of either, though, both, and of such and so with different senses.
- 2. What, since, well. Illustrate by short sentences the various grammatical uses of each of these words, and mention in every instance its part of speech in your sentence.
- 3. Construct three Complex sentences, each containing as its subordinate clause the words when the accident happened. In the first sentence the subordinate clause is to be a noun clause, in the second an adjective clause, and in the third an adverb clause.

CHAPTER XXI.

COMPOUNDS AND DERIVATIVES.

212. If we were to read down a column of words on a page of an English dictionary, we should find that the great majority of these words have been formed from other words, either by joining two words together, or by adding to a word a sound which by itself is without meaning. Thus from man in combination with other words there have been made freeman, mankind, midshipman, footman, while, by the addition of an element which has no significance alone, manly, unman, mannikin, have come into existence. The former process is called Composition, the latter Derivation: words made by the former process are called Compounds. by the latter, Derivatives. The terms 'Derivation' and 'Derivative' are not well chosen, as their meaning is here narrowed down from the sense in which they are generally used. When we speak of the derivation of a word we usually signify the source from which it comes: thus we say that phenomenon is of Greek 'derivation' and vertex of Latin 'derivation,' though as these words have been transferred ready-made from foreign languages they are not, in this special sense, English derivatives at all. But the employment of the terms derivation and derivative, in contrast with the terms composition and compound, is too well established to allow of our making a change, and the student must therefore bear in mind that when used in this connexion

they indicate an important distinction in the mode of the formation of words.

Composition is the formation of a word by joining words together.

Derivation is the formation of a word-

(1) by adding a part not significant by itself, or, (2) by modifying an existing sound.

The part not significant by itself when attached at the beginning of a word is called a Prefix; when attached at the end, a Suffix.

A Hybrid is a compound or derivative containing elements which come from different languages.

213. Unlike Greek and German, modern English does not lend itself readily to the formation of long compounds. If the reader cares to turn to his Greek lexicon and to look up the word beginning $\partial\rho\theta\rho\phi\rho\sigma\sigma$ or the still more formidable $\lambda\epsilon\pi\alpha\delta\sigma\epsilon\mu\alpha\chi\sigma$, he will see this facility for making compounds burlesqued by Aristophanes. A humourist of our own day, Mark Twain, deals with German compounds in a like playful fashion.

In compound words, the first word usually modifies the meaning of the second. A ring-finger is a particular kind of finger; a finger-ring a particular kind of ring. In true grammatical compounds there is usually a change of form or of accent. So spoonful is a true grammatical compound of spoon full. Poorhouse and Newport carry an accent on the first syllable as compounds: as separate words each of the two is accented equally. Compare 'a poor house by the new port' with 'the poorhouse at Néwport.' Words joined by a hyphen with no change of form or of accent are merely printers' compounds.

214. Words disguised in form.

The appearance of some words is deceptive, suggesting as it does that they are compounds when they are not, or

that they contain elements which do not really belong to them. Examples of this are seen in cray-fish, really from ecrevisse, 'a crab,' and quite unconnected with 'fish': causeway, the same word as chaussle, and nothing to do with 'way': kickshaws from quelques-choses, goodbye from God be with you! shame-faced for shamefast, 'fast' or 'firm in shame,' i.e. in modesty, formed like steadfast.

215. Derivatives are generally formed by means of prefixes or suffixes: a few however are formed without the addition of a new sound by the change of an existing sound. Thus from glass we get glaze; from sit, set; from fall, fell; from drink, drench; from gold, gild; from tale, tell. In these cases we have modification but not addition.

Prefixes and Suffixes once possessed a meaning and existed as separate words. Thus the ending ly represents the word like: godlike and godly contain elements originally the same, but godlike is now described as a compound, and godly as a derivative.

216. A few of the more important Suffixes are given here for the purpose of illustration. They are distinguished according to (1) their force, (2) their origin. In the following list, Suffixes derived from the Romance languages are described as of Classical origin.

NOUN SUFFIXES.

Diminutives:

(a) of English origin: maid-en, cock-e-rel, kern-el (from corn), lass-ie or bab-y, farth-ing (small fourth part), duck-l-ing, lamb-kin, bund-le (from bind), hill-ock.

(b) Of Classical origin: glob-ule, animal-cule, parti-cle, mors-el, violon-cello, vermi-celli, rivu-let, lanc-et, cigar-ette.

Notice that some of the latter group are not English formations: the words are diminutives in the foreign language from which we borrowed them, but they are not English diminutives any more than testatrix is an English feminine.

Diminutives sometimes express not smallness but (1) endearment, darling, Charlie, or (2) contempt, mannikin, worldling, wastrel.

Augmentatives express the opposite idea to that expressed by Diminutives:

Classical: drunk-ard, wiz-ard. The suffix -ard, though ultimately of Teutonic origin, comes to us from Old French -ard. Sweetheart is a compound of sweet heart, and not, as has been thought, an augmentative, sweet-ard. Other augmentative endings appear in ball-oon, tromb-one (a big trumpet), milli-on (a big thousand).

Denoting agent:

- (a) English: law-yer, garden-er, sail-or, li-ar.
- (b) Classical: act-or, preach-er, bombard-ier, engin-eer, secret-ary; (Greek) crit-ic, anarch-ist, enthusi-ast, patri-ot.

Marking feminine gender:

- (a) English: spin-ster, vix-en.
- (b) Classical: govern-ess, testatr-ix, (Greek) hero-ins.

Act, state, quality, are denoted by many suffixes:

- (a) English: free-dom, brother-hood, god-head, dark-ness, friend-ship, tru-th, gif-t, hat-red, slaugh-ter.
- (b) Classical: bond-age, infam-y, matri-mony, just-ice, opin-ion, forti-tude, cruel-ty, cult-ure, prud-ence, brilli-ance.

ADJECTIVE SUFFIXES.

Denoting the possession of a quality:

- (a) English: quarrel-some, god-ly, wood-en, north-ern, thirst-y, wretch-ed.
- (b) Classical: leg-al, mund-ane, instant-aneous, lun-ar, div-ine, tim-id, sens-ible, frag-ile, nat-ive, test-y, brilli-ant.

The -ed in 'wretched' is the ending of the past participle, but it is attached to nouns as well as to verbs to form adjectives, as in 'horn-ed,' 'feather-ed,' 'kind-heart-ed.' A great outery was raised some years ago against the words gifted, talented, moneyed, and a few similar adjectives, on the ground that they are formed like participles, but that there are no verbs from which they come. If however we can talk of a 'wretch-ed beggar,' there seems no reason why we should not talk of a 'gift-ed poet.' The further objection was brought against talented and moneyed that they are hybrids, since talent comes from the Greek and money from the Latin. But the same objection might be urged against the past participle of every weak verb of foreign origin in the language, from preached down to telegraphed.

Possession of a quality in a high degree is indicated by (English) care-ful, (Classical) verb-ose, glori-ous: in a low degree by (English) black-ish; and the absence of a quality by (English) fear-less, hope-less, where-less stands for loss, meaning 'free from,' and is not connected with the comparative adjective less.

VERR SUFFIXES.

Causative:

(a) English: sweet-en; (b) Classical: magni-fy (Latin facto).

Other verbal suffixes, of English origin, are seen in clean-se, start-le; and of Latin origin in flour-ish (floresco), facilit-ate. The common ending -ize, or -ise, is of Greek origin: critic-ize, theor-ise.

Prequentative:

(a) English: flut-t-er (from float), sput-t-er (from spout), scut-t-le (from scud).

Hybrids. As our vocabulary is composed of words from Latin, Greek, and native sources, hybrids are naturally numerous. Indeed, as the grammatical forms of our language are almost entirely of English origin, any word from a Latin or Greek source which takes our English inflexions might in strictness be called a hybrid.

The term is usually reserved however for words which obtrusively present a combination of different elements: such are bi-gamy and bi-cycle, because bi(s) is Latin and the remainder is Greek. Journal-ist combines Latin and Greek, mon-ocular Greek and Latin; shepherd-ess English and French, grand-father, French and English; false-hood, Latin and English; un-fortunate, English and Latin.

217. The following are a few of the principal Prefixes, classified as English, Latin, or Greek, according to their origin¹.

ENGLISH:

a-, usual meaning 'on': a-foot, a-bed.

be-, from preposition 'by': (i) changes the meaning of a transitive verb, be-hold, be-set: (ii) converts an intransitive to a transitive, be-moan, be-wail: (iii) has an intensive force, be-daub, be-praise.

for-, not the preposition 'for': (i) intensive force, for-bear: (ii) privative, for-get, for-swear. Notice that fore-go ('to go without'), fore-do, should be for-go, for-do: the verb fore-go means 'to go before.'

fore-, as in 'be-fore': fore-tell, fore-see.

mis-, with sense of 'a-miss': mis-deed, mis-take.

un-, (i) meaning 'not': un-wise, un-belief: (ii) marking the reversal of an action; un-fasten, un-wind, un-lock.

with-, meaning 'against': with-stand, with-draw.

LATIN:

a-, ab-, abs-, 'from': a-vert, ab-rupt, abs-tain.

ad-, 'to': ad-jective; variously modified, e.g. ab-breviate, ac-cuse, af-fable, ag-gravate, al-ly, an-nex, ap-pear, ar-rears, as-size, at-tain, a-vow.

¹ For complete list see Skeat's Concise Etymological Dictionary, pp. 624-630, or Nesfield's English Grammar Past and Present, pp. 378-417.

```
ante-, 'before,' ante-chamber.
   bi-, bis-, 'twice,' bi-ped, bis-cuit.
   contra-, 'against,' contra-dict, counter-march.
   in-, (i) 'in': in-fuse, im-pel, en-rol: (ii) 'not': in-sensible, im-
possible, ir-responsible.
   minus-, 'mis-chief,' with meaning of English prefix mis-, but of
different origin.
   non-, 'not': non-conformity.
   per-, 'through': per-secute, pur-sue, per-jure, (compare 'for-swear').
   re-, 'again,' 'back': re-cur, re-turn.
   super-, 'over': super-fine, sur-vive, str-loin.
   vice-, 'instead of': vice-roy, vis-count.
   GREEK:
   an-, a-, 'not': an-archy, a-theist.
   ana-, 'again,' 'back': ana-logy, ana-lyse.
   anti-, 'against': anti-pathy, ant-agonist. In anti-cipate however
we have Latin ante.
   archi-, 'chief': archi-tect, arche-type, arch-bishop.
   auto., 'self': auto-biography, auto-maton,
   ek-, ex-, 'out of': ec-logue, ex-odus.
   ou-, 'well': eu-logy, ev-angelical.
   hyper-, 'beyond': hyper-bolical.
   meta-, 'change': meta-phor.
   mono-, 'single': mono-poly, mon-arch.
   pan-, panto-, 'all': pan-acea, panto-mime.
   para-, 'beside': para-graph.
   syn-, 'with': syn-od, syl-lable, sym-bol.
```

218. Division of Words into Syllables.

A Syllable consists of a single vowel sound with or without accompanying consonants. It is pronounced by a single effort of the voice. Through is a single syllable, though it contains seven letters: ideality with eight letters has five syllables. In through there is one vowel sound, the long o of cool, here represented by ou: in ideality there are five distinct vowel sounds, with three consonants dispersed amongst them.

There are no hard and fast rules for the division of words into syllables, when a division is necessary in writing. In this matter, as also in the matter of punctuation, writers are very much at the mercy of the printers. From the nature

of the case, no division can be made in words of one syllable however long. Straight, scratch, drought, contain only one vowel-sound and must be written and printed entire. Two principles should regulate the separation of words of more than one syllable into parts: as far as possible we ought to follow (1) the etymology, (2) the pronunciation.

Hence the hyphen is placed between the prefix or suffix and the root of derivatives, and between the constituent parts of compounds: en-large, duch-ess, free-man. But sometimes the division according to pronunciation is at variance with the division according to etymology. For example, orthography, geology, would be divided thus, if we follow the pronunciation as our guide,—orthog-raphy, geology, whereas their etymology would direct us to divide them thus,—ortho-graphy, geo-logy. In cases of conflict of this kind it is generally best to place the hyphen consistently with the etymology.

QUESTIONS.

- 1. Add to each of the following words the prefix which reverses the meaning:—contented, proper, visible, pleasant, ingenuous, natural.
- 2. Define the terms prefix and suffix. Illustrate your answer by analysing the following words into their component parts:—believing, darling, forlorn, islet, nethermost, requital, spinster, uncouth.
 - 3. Does the phrase 'Ærated bread' require correction?
- ['Ærated bread' would be bread made of bronze (Latin aes, aeris). What sort of bread is sold at the ABC shops, and whence does it get its name?]
- 4. Break up the words mistrustfully, unwholesomeness, into syllables, and explain how each syllable contributes to the meaning of the words.
- 5. Why are the following words hybrids?—forbear-ance, duke-dom, somnambul-ist, un-just, social-ism, master-ship.
- ¹ In practice the division is usually made so as to begin a new syllable with a consonant, if possible, and when two consonants come together, to put the former into one syllable and the latter into another. Accordingly we find such divisions as solilo-quy, peru-sal, fes-tive, particle. See Skeat's Student's Pastime, p. 119.

- 6. Give the derivation and history of the following words; and mention any case of double meaning, or of change of meaning:—alderman, defeat, drake, idiot, invalid, involve, kaleidoscope, middle, megrim, monk, orchard, pilgrim, scholar, uncouth.
- 7. What are the chief constituent elements of the English language?
- Give the derivation of the following words, pointing out any change of meaning:—ally, agony, dyspeptic, girl, journal, lord, person, ornithologist, poison, rival, sherry, silly, somersault, telegraph, villain, volume.
- 8. Give the derivation of the following words, showing from what languages they were taken:—caitiff, cardinal, frenzy, seraph, nightingale, welkin.
- 9. Give the etymology of the following words:—gazette, tinsel, blame, loyal, archbishop, sheriff.
- Which is the right place for the hyphen in the following words? Why?—sui-cide or suic-ide; locom-otive or loco-motive; viad-uct or viaduct; apo-tile or apos-tile; epig-ram or epi-gram; dec-line or de-cline; sus-pect or susp-ect; hindred or kind-red; lanc-et or lan-cet; morning or morn-ing; hil-lock or hill-ock; univers-ity or univer-sity; semin-ary or semi-nary; calas-trophe or cata-strophe.
- 11. What is the force of each of the following prefixes, and from what language does it come? Give a word in illustration:—with, contra-, dia-, sub-, per-, sym-, arch-, un-, ab-.
- 12. Point out the prefixes in the following words and give their force:—extract, misuse, retrograde, antecedent, antipathy, outdo, besmear, accede, ignoble, immense.
- 13. What is the force of the -en in each of the following words?—gold-en, sweet-en, vix-en, childr-en, maid-en,
- 14. Give the force of the suffixes in these words:—black-ish, yeoman-ry, spin-ster, malt-ster, young-ster, doct-or, dar-ling, man-hood, god-head, free-dom, lanc-et, ring-let.
- 15. Give the Primary Derivatives from the following words:—hale, sit, weave, deep, lie, bath, love.

[By a Primary Derivative is here meant a word formed from another word as its root, by the addition of a sound not significant alone, or by the modification of an existing sound. Thus from strong is formed strength, where we have both addition and modification; from glass is formed glaze, where we have modification only. Now if, from the Primary Derivatives, fresh words are formed by similar processes, these words are called Secondary Derivatives. So, from the Primary Derivative strength we form strengthen; from glaze, glazier. Strengthen and glazier are Secondary Derivatives.]

16. Distinguish derivatives and compounds.

Comment on the following formations: -witticism, oddity, wondrous, honeyed.

[Some of the words are hybrids. For honeyed read p. 202.]

17. Reverse the meaning of each of the following words by adding a prefix: happy, possible, rational, contented, valid, noble, sense.

Give four examples of diminutive forms in English nouns.

What is meant by saying that the word bicycle is a hybrid?

- 18. Write three derivatives with English suffixes, three with Latin, three with Greek, and three with French.
- 19. What suffixes are used in English to express diminutiveness (a) in nouns, (b) in adjectives, (c) in verbs?

Mention suffixes which indicate (1) agency, (2) state.

[With reference to (c) observe that the frequentative endings have also in some instances a diminutive force: glim-m-er (from gleam), gam-b-le (from game), dazz-le (from daze), wadd-le (from wade) are examples.]

- 20. In the following words what is the force of the parts printed in italics?—around, numerous, governosses, recite, English.
- 21. Why is it important which part of a compound word is placed first?
- 22. State the meaning of the following prefixes and suffixes:—forlorn, misuse, abstract, bespeak, livelihood, whiten, swinish, satchel.
- 23. Mention the force of the following suffixes and the language from which each is derived: -fy, -ness, -ion, -ible, -en, -isk, -ly, -tude.
- 24. What is the force of the following prefixes and suffixes?—fore-, in-, meta-, -en, -le, -er.

Explain and derive the words umpire, icicle, jovial, tawdry, sirloin, squirrel, trivial, utopian, solecism, boycott.

- 25. By the addition of prefixes or suffixes convert the following adjectives into verbs:—large, just, strong, wide, dim, clean, dear.
- 26. Mention some suffixes by the addition of which we form (1) adjectives from nouns, (2) nouns from adjectives, (3) verbs from nouns or adjectives, (4) adverbs from pronouns.
- 27. With what familiar English root-words can you connect the following derivatives?—ditch, wander, gift, month, husband, length, woof, seed, burden, forlorn, vixen.
- 28. Give the meaning and account for the form of each of the following words:—kine, riches, rather, naught, hillock, surname.
- 29. Comment on the forms of the words empress, alms, nearer, none, atheism, surface.
- 30. By the use of a suffix change each of the following nouns into an adjective:—sister, fame, quarrel, slave, silver.

- 31. Distinguish the meanings of older and elder; latest and last; masterly and masterful; virtuous and virtual; stationary and stationery; idiotic and idiomatic; idol and idyll; politic and political; confident and confident; expedient and expeditious; credible and creditable.
- 32. Write short notes on the etymology of the following words:—cambric, dandelion, drawing-room, laconic, nostril, posthumous, tantalise, profusely, bicycle, surgeon.
- 33. What is the force of the suffix in darkness, hillock, friendship, drunkard, farthing? Can you explain the vowel in the first syllable of elder, thimble, vixen? [See § 54, (3).]
- 34. Explain the force of the adjectival endings -ish, -ine, -en, -y, -al, -ie, -ous, -less, -some, adding an example of each.
- 35. Comment on the structure of the following words and state whether any of them are anomalous in form:—kine, shepherdess, spinster, unjust, mineralogy, deodorize, children, sovereign, talkative, laughable.
- 36. What ideas are indicated by the following suffixes and prefixes?— Jew-ry, duck-ling, wit-ness, trump-et, tromb-one, a-board, Arch-duke.
- 37. Mention English words containing prefixes and suffixes of Latin and Greek origin corresponding in their force to the following of native origin:—al-mighty, ill-starred, thorough-fare, with-stand, wood-en, black-en, learn-ed.
- 38. 'In word-building, Prefixes alter the meanings of words and Suffixes alter their functions.' Illustrate this statement.

[The term 'functions' is explained in § 64. The antithesis in the passage quoted above is scarcely accurate, for a word which changes its function must change to some extent its meaning. The writer wishes to call attention however to a distinction which is sometimes real and important. Take, for instance, kind. Unkind reverses its meaning: kindly and kindness change its functions. Treat the roots man, trust, dress, truth, in a similar way.]

39. Mention some of the chief ways in which the vocabulary of a language may be increased. Give illustrations.

[See the note to Q. 11, p. 20, and think what processes there are, besides importation from foreign sources, by which fresh words may be added to an existing stock.]

40. Mention other English words cognate with hospital, vision, tenant, victor, sequel.

[As an example of what is required, let us take the word frail and give some of its cognates. Frail is a derivative from Latin frango, fractum, and from the same ultimate source we obtain fragile, fracture, fragment, fraction, refraction, &c. These are called 'cognates.']

CHAPTER XXII.

Analysis of Sentences and Parsing.

219. Syntax deals with the combination of words in sentences, their government, agreement, and order.

In the course of our treatment of Etymology, many points belonging strictly to the province of Syntax have been already touched upon. The remaining chapters will contain a brief recapitulation of these points, with the addition of such details as are required to complete the information, on the subject of Syntax, which may fairly be looked for in an elementary text-book.

When, in dealing with Conjunctions, we explained the difference between a Simple and a Complex Sentence, our transition from Etymology to Syntax was complete. The student is recommended to read again the remarks on this difference which were made in Chapter xx., as they form a suitable introduction to the concluding section of this book. Syntax has to do with the relations of words to each other in sentences. To enable us intelligently to discuss these relations, it is essential that we should clearly understand the nature of a sentence, the elements of which it is composed, and the varieties of form which it assumes.

W. E. G. 14

220. A Sentence was defined as a collection of words by which we say something about a thing. We might define it also as a collection of words so arranged as to make complete sense.

Sentences may be classified as follows:

- (a) STATEMENTS: e.g. 'Birds fly,' 'The Bill will not pass,' 'Brutus killed Caesar,' 'This is so.'
 - (b) QUESTIONS: e.g. 'Is it so?' 'Did he go?'
- (c) Desires, including Commands, Requests, Entreaties, Prayers, Wishes: e.g. 'So be it,' 'Speak the truth,' 'Lend me a shilling,' 'God save the King,' 'May you grow wiser.'
- (d) EXCLAMATIONS: e.g. 'What shadows we are!' 'How true it is!'

The Subject and the Predicate of a sentence were defined as follows:

The Subject is the word or group of words which denotes the thing about which something is said by means of the Predicate.

The Predicate is that which is said about the thing denoted by the Subject.

Two points require attention here:

- (1) What is said in the Predicate is said not about the subject but about the thing. When we say 'The sun shines,' the word sun is the subject of the sentence, but we do not assert that the word shines.
- (2) A sentence is sometimes represented by a single word, the Subject, or the Predicate, or a part of either being suppressed. Thus, 'Go' is equivalent to 'Go you,' 'Please' to 'May it please you,' 'Thanks' to 'I give you thanks,' 'Absurd!' to 'Your statement is absurd.'

In the following sentences, the Subjects are printed in ordinary type and the Predicates in italics:

- 'Time is money.' 'Go to the ant, thou sluggard.'
- 'When beggars die there are no comets seen.'
- 'To be wise and love exceeds man's might.'
- 'Westward the course of empire takes its way.'
- 'For forms of government let fools contest.'
- 'If you have tears, prepare to shed them now.'
- 'Who ever loved that loved not at first sight?'
- 'How ill white hairs become a fool and jester!'
- 'Blessed be he who first invented sleep. It covers a man all over like a cloak.'
 - 'Have I not earned my cake in baking of it?'
 - 'Perish discretion when it interferes with duty !'
 - 'Love laughs at locksmiths.'
 - 'A man's a man jor a' that.'

Observe the omission of Subject you in two sentences.

When the Subject is formed by a collection of words, the Noun (or its equivalent) round which the other words are grouped is called the Subject Word to distinguish it from the Complete Subject.

221. The Predicate is a verb or contains a verb. The sentence 'Birds fly' contains a complete predicate 'fly.' But in 'They are,' 'I shall be,' 'You became,' 'Canaan was called,' 'The king seems,' 'It is,' something is wanting to make sense; the verbs are incomplete predicates and require a complement to produce a meaning: 'They are happy,' 'I shall be a soldier,' 'You became delirious,' 'You became secretary,' 'Canaan was called the Land of Promise,' 'The king seems mad,' 'The king seems a lunatic,' 'It is she.' That part of the predicate which, taken in connexion with the verb, indicates what the thing denoted by the Subject is, or becomes, or is named, or seems, is called the Predicative Adjective, Noun or Pronoun.

Again, some verbs need another verb in the Infinitive mood to complete their construction. Thus, 'I wish,' 'You must,' 'He can,' 'She ought,' 'They will begin,' are meaning-

less unless we supply, in thought or expression, some complement: 'I wish to go,' 'You must remain,' 'He can pay,' 'She ought to leave,' 'They will begin to quarrel.' These Infinitives are Objects of the verbs.

- 222. Different kinds of Subjects. As the subject of a sentence is the name of the thing about which we make an assertion, the subject of a sentence must be a noun or the equivalent of a noun. The following sentences illustrate different kinds of subjects:
 - I. Noun: 'Birds fly.'
 - 2. Pronoun:—'They were defeated.'
- 3. Infinitive or Gerund:—'To read good books improves the mind,' 'Reading good books improves the mind.'
 - 4. Adjective :- 'Rich and poor live together.'
 - 5. Noun-clause:—'That he did it is certain.'

Noun-Clauses fall into the same classes as non-dependent Sentences. (See § 220.)

- (a) DEPENDENT STATEMENTS: '1 think this is so,' 'I hope that the Bill will not pass.'
- (b) DEPENDENT QUESTIONS: 'Tell me what it is,' 'They asked what it was.'
- (c) DEPENDENT DESIRES (Commands, Requests, Wishes): 'I demand (request, desire) that this be done,' 'I wish he were here.'
- (d) DEPENDENT EXCLAMATIONS: 'See what shadows we are!' 'He said how true it was.'
- 223. When we join an adjective to a noun, we increase the meaning of the name and consequently limit its application. White horse suggests to our minds more attributes than horse, but is applicable as a name to only a smaller number of objects. The significance of white horse is greater than the significance of horse: horse denotes more things, but white horse implies more qualities.

The Subject-Word is qualified by Adjectives and their Equivalents:

- I. Adjective: 'Good wine needs no bush.'
- 2. Noun in Apposition:—'Brunel, the engineer, designed the bridge.'
- 3. Noun in the Genitive case:—'Lucy's love restrained him,' or its equivalent with of, 'The love of Lucy restrained him.'
- 4. Adjective-clause:—'The man who stole the money was arrested.'
- 5. Adjective-phrase:—'The man, unsuspicious of any charge against him, left the town.'
- 6. Participle:—'The candidate, gesticulating and excited, addressed the crowd.'

Adjectives and Nouns used thus are called Epithets to distinguish them from Adjectives and Nouns which are Predicative. (See § 221.)

The student must notice the precise meaning which is to be attached to the term Phrase. Our vocabulary provides us with three words, Sentence, Clause, and Phrase, of which we avail ourselves in this book in the following manner. A Sentence we have already defined and have distinguished two varieties,—Simple and Complex. A Clause is a part of a sentence containing a finite verb of its own. A Complex sentence must contain at least two clauses, one main, the other subordinate: 'We stayed, after he left.' A collection of words forming an equivalent for some other part of speech but without a finite verb we call a Phrase. In the sentence 'The boy got the prize,' we may qualify the subject 'boy' by an adjective, 'the industrious boy'; by an adjective-clause, 'the boy who was industrious'; or by an adjective-phrase, 'the boy, possessed of industrious habits.' Similarly we may qualify the verb by an adverb and say 'The boy got the prize easily'; by an

adverb-clause, 'because nobody else went in for it'; or by an adverb-phrase, 'in a very easy fashion.'

225. The Noun or Noun-Equivalent governed by a verb is called the Object. There are the same possible substitutes for a noun as Object as there are for a noun as Subject in a sentence, and the Object can be qualified in the same ways as the Subject-Word. The reader should find no difficulty in making illustrative sentences for himself.

Certain Transitive verbs require a complement as well as an Object to make sense: e.g. 'They made him chairman,' 'They made him angry,' 'I thought her a genius,' 'I thought her clever.' (Compare § 221.)

Many verbs take two Objects, one the Direct, the other the Indirect or Dative-like Object. The verbs teach, tell, give, lend, show, provide, refuse, get, are examples. The noun which represents the Indirect Object might be construed with a preposition: thus, 'Give (to) me the book,' 'Show (to) us the way,' 'Provide (for) him accommodation,' 'Get (for) me a cab.' See p. 97.

226. By attaching an adjective to a noun, we increase the meaning of the noun and limit its application. In like manner by attaching an adverb to a verb, we increase the meaning of the verb and limit its application. 'Sings sweetly' cannot be affirmed of as many individuals as simply 'sings,' but it signifies more.

The principal Adverbial Qualifications of the Verb are these:

- 1. Adverb: 'She dances beautifully.'
- 2. Adverbial clause:—'He left when I arrived.'
- 3. Adverbial phrase:—'She dances in a beautiful style.'
- 4. Nominative Absolute:—'The door being open, the steed was stolen.'

This last is a particular kind of Adverbial Phrase.

Adverb Clauses are classified according to their adverbial force as Clauses of—

- (1) Time: 'When the cat's away, the mice will play.'
- (2) Place: 'I found it where I looked for it.'
- (3) Cause: 'We trust him, because we know him.'
- (4) Purpose: 'Thousands die that one may reign.'
- (5) Result: 'He worked so hard that he fell ill.'
- (6) Condition: 'If the sky fall, we shall catch larks.'
- (7) Concession: 'Though much is taken, much abides.'
- (8) Comparison:
 - (a) Manner: 'Do as I do.'
 - (b) Degree: 'It is as long as it is broad.'

 'It is longer than it is broad.'
- 227. Elliptical Sentences. In our ordinary use of language we save ourselves the trouble of making two sentences when one will express our meaning, and effect this economy by the use of conjunctions. Thus 'John and James preached in Jerusalem and Judaea' contains four sentences in one: 'John preached in Jerusalem,' 'John preached in Judaea,' 'James preached in Judaea,' 'He is either a knave or a fool' is equivalent to 'Either he is a knave, or he is a fool.' 'He writes fast and well' means 'He writes fast, and he writes well.'

Again, we frequently contract our sentences, not by leaving out precisely the part which has been expressed already, but by leaving out a part which is naturally suggested by what has gone before, though different from it. So, we say 'I like you better than he,' which means 'I like you better than he likes you,' whereas 'I like you better than him' would mean 'I like you better than I like him.' 'He is sharper than you' is an abbreviated form of 'He is sharper than you are sharp.' 'I am not so silly as to trust him' is an abbreviated form of 'I am not so silly as I should be silly to trust him.'

In all such instances there is an omission of a word or words necessary to the complete grammatical structure of the sentence. This omission is called Ellipsis, and in analysing sentences of this elliptical character it is necessary to make them complete by supplying the missing words.

228. The student is now in possession of all the information which is requisite to enable him to attack a sentence and break it up into its component parts. Analysis is a capital exercise for the wits, as it cannot be effected by the use of a set of rules mechanically applied. Nor is it to be learnt by merely reading a book on the subject, any more than by reading a treatise on swimming or cricket one could become proficient in the side-stroke or the cut. Books may furnish useful directions, but practice is the only way of acquiring these arts. And so, after giving a few suggestions to the reader as to how he should set to work, and supplying examples of analysis to guide him on points of form, we shall pass on to the treatment of other questions of Syntax.

229. Hints for the Analysis of a Sentence.

I. There are various forms in which the analysis of a sentence may be displayed. If you choose the Tabular Form, which is commonly preferred, take a large sheet of paper and divide it into five columns by ruling vertical lines. At the head of these columns write Kind of Sentence, SUBJECT, Verb, Object, Adverbial Adjuncts. Connect the last three columns with a horizontal bracket, and over the bracket write PREDICATE: thus—

Kind of Sentence	Subject		Predic.	ATE
		Verb	Object	Adverbial Adjuncts

The Noun which forms the Subject-Word may be distinguished from its Adjectival qualifications by a line drawn underneath it, or a fresh column may be made for the Adjectival qualifications. In the latter case the two columns should be connected with a bracket and SUBJECT written above. The Object may be separated in a similar way from

its Adjectival qualifications. Another column might be assigned to the Predicative Noun or Adjective, but the Predicative Noun or Adjective will go quite as well in the same column as the Verb, with the addition of the words Subjective Complement (S. C.) or Objective Complement (O. C.) to indicate its relation. Elaboration of detail, involving any considerable increase in the number of columns, should be avoided. The Indirect Object may be placed among the Adverbal qualifications or in the column headed 'Object,' the abbreviation Ind. being added.

- 2. Now read the passage carefully and decide whether you are dealing with a Simple or a Complex Sentence. If the sentence is Complex, be sure that you pick out the Main Clause correctly, for a mistake here will make nonsense of your analysis. Then determine the relation of the different Subordinate Clauses to the parts of the Main Clause. This general outline is the element of real value in the entire product. An analysis brimful of details, crowded with subdivisions, and elegantly executed, is absolutely worthless, if it starts wrong and represents Subordinate clauses as Main clauses.
- 3. Find the Subject and Predicate Verb of the Main Clause. Then, if this verb is transitive, set down its Object.
- 4. Next look for the various Adjuncts. The Adjuncts of the Verb will be Adverbial. Those of the Subject-Word or Object will generally be Adjectival, but not necessarily so: they may be Nouns or Nounclauses in apposition. Thus in each of these sentences, 'The statement that he has resigned is not true,' 'I don't believe the statement that he has resigned,' the subordinate clause is a Nounclause in apposition with the subject and object respectively.

Subordinate clauses must be dealt with in the same fashion as the Main clause,—Subject-Word, Verb, Object, and Qualifications, being placed in their proper columns.

- 5. Observe that a clause introduced by who or which, though usually Adjectival, is not necessarily so. In the sentence 'The man who stole the money was arrested' the subordinate clause is Adjectival, describing the man; but in 'I know who stole the money' it is a Nounclause: it takes the place of the noun or pronoun, 'the man' or 'him,' and refers to no other noun or pronoun as an antecedent. Compare 'I know the place where he is living' (adjective-clause), and 'I know where he is living' (noun-clause).
- 6. Observe also that these relative words sometimes introduce what is really not a Subordinate but a Co-ordinate clause. 'I met John who gave me your message' is equivalent to 'I met John and he gave me your message': 'I saw him in London where he was living' is equivalent to 'I saw him in London: he was living there.' The clauses in italics make fresh statements and are not limitations of John and of London. They may therefore be analysed as independent sentences.

- 7. Noun-clauses need careful handling. They usually occur as Subject or Object of the verb in the Main Clause. Thus, in the Complex Sentence 'How he did it is not certain,' the words How he did it are the Subject of the predicate 'is not certain.' In the Complex Sentence 'I know how he did it,' the words how he did it are the Object of the verb 'know.' In the sentence 'I don't know what we have to learn by heart,' the words in italics are a Noun-clause, if what is interrogative and the meaning is, 'I don't know the answer to the question, "What have we to learn by heart?" But if what stands for that which and the meaning is, 'I haven't learnt my lesson,' what we have to learn by heart is an Adjective-clause.
- 8. Pure Conjunctions have no place in the analysis, because they serve merely to join sentences or clauses. Interjections are excluded, because they do not enter into the construction of the sentence. The same remark applies usually to Vocatives.
- 9. Interrogative pronouns may be treated as Demonstratives, and may form the subject or object of a sentence. In 'Who struck him?' who is the subject: in 'Whom did he strike?' whom is the object. The analysis is similar to that of the sentences 'He struck him,' 'Him did he strike.' i.e. 'He did strike him.'
- Io. Complete the structure of sentences in which there is an Ellipsis before you analyse them. Supply the Subject to sentences containing a verb in the Imperative mood. 'Come' must be treated as if it were 'Thou come' or 'You come.' A Relative pronoun is often omitted when it represents the Object: it must be inserted in the analysis. So, 'Here is the book I want' requires which as the object of want: 'The man I saw yesterday' requires whom as the object of saw. Bear in mind that Elliptical sentences expressing a comparison by means of than or as are Complex: the clause in which the ellipsis occurs is a Subordinate clause. Thus 'I am stronger than you' in full is 'I am stronger than you are strong'; 'I am as strong as you' in full is 'I am as strong as you are strong.' The clauses in italics are Adverbial Adjuncts.
- 11. An Absolute phrase is to be treated as an Adverbial Adjunct. Do not mistake its noun or pronoun for the Subject of the sentence. In 'The door being open, the steed was stolen,' the words in italics give the reason why the stealing was possible: the subject of the sentence is steed, not door.
- volume 12. Notice that, when the verb comes before the Real subject, the word It or There often stands at the beginning of the sentence: thus, 'It is hard to earn a living,' 'It is true that he did this.' These assertions are equivalent to saying 'To earn a living is hard,' 'That he did this is true.' The it comes first as an indication that the Real subject is to follow. In analysing such a sentence, 'It' may be set

down as the Formal subject, with the Real subject underneath. There is only the adverb without its full force as marking place. 'There are many pickpockets about' is grammatically the same as 'Many pickpockets are about there': there is an Adverbial Adjunct of the verb.

230. Examples of Analysis in tabular form.

The Analysis of the following Simple sentences is given on the next two pages. In Nos. 1 and 2 the verbs require no Complement. Nos. 3, 4, 6, 12, 13, 14, 15 have a Predicative Noun or Adjective which forms a Subjective Complement. No. 5 has a Predicative Noun as an Objective Complement which becomes a Subjective Complement in No. 6 when the construction is changed to the Passive. In No. 9 the verb takes a Double Object. The construction is changed to the Passive in Nos. 10 and 11, one or other of the Objects being Retained after the Passive verb. In No. 13 the Subject has to be supplied.

When the Subject or Object consists of several words, underline the Noun to distinguish it from its qualifications.

- 1. The Bill will not pass.
- 2. Charles the First, King of England, was executed in 1649.
- 3. We manufacturers grew rich during the war.
- 4. The chairman's son was appointed secretary last year.
- 5. The King made Walpole a peer.
- 6. Walpole was made a peer by the King.
- 7. Nature hath framed strange fellows in her time.
- 8. The prisoner told a plausible tale to the magistrate.
- 9. A man in the crowd asked the candidate a question.
- The candidate was asked a question by a man in the crowd.
 A question was asked the candidate by a man in the crowd.
- 12. Who is she?
- 13. Be wise to-day.
- 14. 'Tis madness to defer.
- 15. It is excellent to have a giant's strength.
- 16. To climb steep hills requires slow pace at first.

A. Go, lovely Rose!
Tell her, that wastes her time and me,
That now she knows,
When I resemble her to thee,
How sweet and fair she seems to be.

B. When a horseman, who had been sent to reconnoitre, reported that the Greeks were amusing themselves outside the walls, Xerxes asked what this madness might mean.

will pass will pass of England, was executed grew Pred. Adjr., Subj. Compl. son was appointed Pred. Noun, Subj. Compl. secretary made Pred. Noun, Obj. Compl. a peer was made Pred. Noun, Subj. Compl. a peer hath fiamed told a plausible tale told			đ.	Predicate	
The Bill Charles I., King of England, was executed We manufacturers The chairman's son The chairman's son Subj. Compl. Subj. Compl. The King Walpole Was made Prad. Noun, Obj. Compl. a peer Walpole Compl. a peer Mature The prisoner told a plausible tale		Subject	Verb	Object	Adverbial Adjuncts
Charles I., King of England, was executed We manufacturers rich The chairman's son was appointed Pred. Noun, Subj. Compl. secretary The King made Pred. Noun, Obj. Compl. Walpole a peer Walpole Compl. a peer Nature hath framed The prisoner told The prisoner told a plausible tale	-:	The Bill	will pass		not.
We manufacturers grew Prd. Adj., Subj. Compl. The chairman's son was appointed Prd. Noun, Subj. Compl. The King made Prd. Noun, Obj. Compl. Walpole a peer Walpole Compl. a peer Nature hath fiamed The prisoner told a plausible tale	4	Charles I., King of England,	was executed		in 1649.
The chairman's son Subj. Compl. secretary The King made Prai. Noun, Obj. Compl. a peer was made Prai. Noun, Subj. Compl. a peer Nature hath framed told a plausible tale	÷	We manufacturers	grew Pred. Adj., Subj. Compl.		during the war.
The King made Prat. Noun, Obj. Compl. Walpole. a peer was made Prat. Noun, Sulj Compl. a peer hath framed strange fellows The prisoner told a plausible tale	÷	The chairman's son	was appointed Pred. Noun, Subj. Compl. secretary		last year.
Walpole was made Pred. Noun, Suly Compl. a peer Nature hath fiamed strange fellows The prisoner told a plausible tale	ŵ	The King	made Pred. Noun, Obj. Compl. a peer	Walpole.	
Nature hath framed strange fellows The prisoner told a plausible tale	9.		was made Pred. Noun, Subj Compl. a peer		by the King.
The prisoner told a plausible tale		Nature	hath fiamed	strange fellows	in her time.
	∞		told	a plausible tale	to the magistrate.

	ı		PREDICATE	
	Subject	Verb	Object	Adverbial Adjuncts
6	9. A man in the crowd	asked	I. the candidate 2. a question.	
ŏ	The candidate	was asked	a question	by a man in the crowd.
ï	11. A question	was asked	the candidate	by a man in the crowd.
12.	12. She	is Pr. Pron., S. C. who.		
13.	13. (You)	be Pr. Adj., S. C. wise		to-day.
<u></u>	14. It Formal Subj. To defer	is Pr. N., S. C. madness.		
15.	15. It Formal Subj. 1 To have a giant's strength	is Pr. Adj., S. C. excellent.		
16.	16. To climb steep hills	requires	slow steps at first.	
				٧

A. Contains two Sentences: (I) Simple, (II) Complex.

Sentence or Clause	Kind of Sentence	Subject
(I.) Go, lovely Rose	Simple	(Thou) lovely Rose
(II.) Tell her seems to be	Complex	(Thou)
that wastes her time	Adj. Cl. qualif. <i>her</i>	that
that wastes me	Adj. Cl. qualif. <i>her</i>	that
that now she knows	Noun Cl.	she
how sweet and fair she seems to be	Noun Cl.	she
when I resemble her to thee	Adv. Cl. qualif. <i>knows</i>	1
When a horseman might mean	Complex	Xerxes
when a horseman outside the walls	Adv. Cl. qualif. asked	a horseman who
who had been sent to reconnoitre	Adj. Cl. qualifying horseman	who
that the Greeks outside the walls	Noun Cl.	the Greeks
what this madness might mean	Noun Cl.	this madness

B. A Complex Sentence.

PREDICATE			
Verb	Object	Adverbial Adjuncts	
go			
tell	her thattime and me Indir.		
	That now she knowsseems to be		
waste s	her time		
wastes	me		
knows	how sweet and fair she seems to be	1. now 2. when I resemble her to thee	
seems Incomplete to be how (1) sweet (2) fair Compl.			
resemble	her	1. when 2. to thee	
asked	what this madness might mean	when a horseman walls	
reported	that the Greeksthe	when	
had been sent		to reconnoitre	
were amusing	themselves	outside the walls	
might mean	what		

231. Directions for Parsing.

In analysing a sentence, we break it up in such a manner as to show how it contains the essential constituents of every sentence, Noun (or Noun-equivalent) and Verb, and round these elements we group the remaining words, phrases, or clauses, as adjuncts: to one of these adjuncts of the verb we assign a place apart from the other adverbial adjuncts and call it the Object.

In parsing, we deal with every word in a sentence separately, stating its Part of Speech, its inflexion, if it has any, and its syntactical relations with other words in the sentence. Thus parsing is concerned with both the etymology and syntax of words, whilst analysis takes no cognisance of etymology.

Unless the student is directed to give a history of the forms of the words, in addition to stating their parts of speech and particulars connected with their accidence and syntax, he may feel satisfied that he is carrying out his instructions to "parse fully" when he furnishes the following information:—

- r. Noun and Pronoun. State the number and case of noun or pronoun, and why the word is in that case. Specify the kind of pronoun. Nouns need not be distinguished as Abstract, Concrete, Common, Proper.
- 2. Adjective. State the kind of adjective and its degree, and what word it limits. As adjectives (except this and that) undergo no inflexions of number, gender, or case, it is better to speak of them as 'limiting' nouns than as 'agreeing' with nouns. 'Agreement' suggests inflexion.
- 3. Verb. State the kind of verb, its voice, mood, tense, number, person; the subject with which it agrees, and its object, if it has one.

Participle. State the kind of verb of which it is a participle, its voice and tense, and show which word it limits; also mention its object, if it has one. The participle used in combination with auxiliaries to form a compound tense need not be parsed separately, though it may be parsed in this way. So, shall have been beaten, were beating, may have been beating, are adequately parsed as compound tenses, but the student should understand the construction of the separate words.

- 4. Adverb. State the kind of adverb; its degree, if it is an adverb of quality admitting of this modification: name the word which it limits, or 'qualifies.'
- 5. Preposition. Name the noun which it 'governs,' that is to say, the noun whose relation to other words it shows.
- 6. Conjunction. Say whether it is co-ordinate or subordinate, and point out what it joins.

Abbreviations may be used with advantage, but not in such a way as to cause ambiguity. The particulars should be given in uniform order and as concisely as possible. The following examples of parsing illustrate these directions.

I.

Can I forget the dismal night that gave
My soul's best part for ever to the grave!
How silent did his old companions tread,
By midnight lamps, the mansions of the dead.

Can verb defect. trs. of incompl. predication,—act. indic. pres. sing. 1st.—agreeing with I: has for object infin. forget.

I pers. pron. of 1st pers.—sing. nom.—subj. of can.

forget verb, strong, trans.—act. infin. pres.—infin. governed by can: has for object night.

that pronoun relat.—referring to anteced. night, subj. of gave.

soul's noun-sing. genit.-dependent on part.

ever adv. of time, used here as substitute for noun: 'for ever'='for all time.'

how adv. of degree-qualif. silent.

silent adj. of quality, posit.—used as adv. of manner qualif. tread, or as adj. limiting companions.

midnight noun—sing.—used as adj. limiting lamps.
mansions noun—plur.—object of tread.

II.

For who, to dumb forgetfulness a prey,

This pleasing anxious being e'er resigned,

Left the warm precincts of the cheerful day,

Nor cast one longing, lingering look behind?

For conj. co-ord.

who pron. interrog.—sing.—subj. of resigned.

forgetfulness noun—sing. accus.—gov. by to.

a indef. adj. (or indef. art.)—limiting prey.

prey noun—sing. nom.—in appos. with who.

this pronom. demonst, adj.—sing.—limiting being.

being noun-sing .- object of resigned.

e'er adv. of time--qualif. resigned.

veft verb, weak, trans.—act. indic. past sing. 3rd,—agreeing with who; has for object precincts.

precincts noun-plur.-object of left.

one adj. quant. cardinal numeral-limiting look.

longing pres. part. act. of verb long, -used as adj. limiting look.

look noun-sing.-object of cast.

behind adv. of place-qualif. cast.

III.

He had laid him low.

It were best let alone.

Choose whom you will, we will pay him respect.

laid participle past, passive, of trans. verb lay, limiting him. (If had laid were parsed in combination, it would be described as verb, weak, trans.—act. indic. past perf. sing. 3rd,—agreeing with he.)

low adj. of qual. used as adv.—qualif. laid.

were verb defect. of incompl. predication,—act. subj. past, sing. 3rd,—agreeing with it.

best adj. of qual.—superl.—predic. adj. subj. complement of were and limiting it.

let participle past passive, of trans. verb let, -limiting it.

alone adj. of qual .- limiting it.

choose verb, strong, trans.—act. imperat. plur. 2nd,—agreeing with you understood: has for obj. him understood.

whom pronoun relat.—referring to suppressed anteced. him, masc. sing. object of will (choose), the full constr. being choose you him whom you will choose.

him pronoun demonstr. of 3rd pers.—masc. sing. dative,—indir. obj. of pay.

QUESTIONS.

1. What is a sentence? What are the necessary parts of every sentence? Write down the shortest sentence you can compose, and show that these necessary parts are comprised therein. Give examples showing how each part may be expanded.

- 2. What is the subject in the following sentence?—'It makes no part of my present subject, to detail how the success of a few ballads had the effect of changing all the purpose and tenour of my life.'
- 3. Are the following exclamations sentences?—'Go.'—'Hence.'—

[How much may be left out of a sentence without its ceasing to be a sentence? Make use of your answer to this question as a principle to determine your answers about 'Go' and 'Hence.' As regards 'Yes,' the matter is different. Here we get beyond mere ellipsis. 'Yes' is a substitute for a sentence rather than a sentence from which part has been omitted.]

4. Explain the meaning of subject, predicate, and copula, and point out each of them and their expansions in the following sentence:—

'Is this a dagger that I see before me?'

[For copula see p. 177, Question 1.]

5. What is the subject in each of the following sentences?

'Who is this?'

'Give me your hand.'

'There is said to have been a battle.'

'His horse being killed, he was taken prisoner.'

- 6. Define the subject of a sentence, and give one example of each of five different kinds of subjects.
 - 7. In what cases may the subject be omitted in English?

Explain the construction of *methought* in the sentence—' Methought the billows spoke and told me of it.'

[The subject may be omitted (1) With verbs expressing a command: 'Go' (you); or (2) a wish, '(1) Would it were so 1' (3) The antecedent to the relative is sometimes omitted: '(He) Who breaks, pays.' (4) Impersonal verbs of course have no subject.]

8. Point out the subject, verb, and object, with their qualifications, in the following:—

'At once his trusty sword the warlike chiestain drew.'

9. Make use of the words horse, kick, man, as subject, verb, object, respectively, to form one sentence in which (a) the subject is qualified by an adjective clause, (b) the verb is qualified by an adverbial clause relating to cause.

10. Distinguish between a phrase and a sentence.

'The Saxons invaded England.' Write out this sentence (a) with the verb qualified by a prepositional phrase, (b) with the verb qualified by an adverbial clause relating to time.

[A 'prepositional phrase is a phrase composed of a preposition and a noun. As the prepositional phrase here is to qualify the verb, it must have an adverbial force, describing how, why, when, or where,

the Saxons invaded England: e.g. 'in pirate-boats,' 'with a fair wind,' 'from a desire for pillage,' 'after the departure of the Romans,' 'on the coast of Sussex.']

- 11. Write a sentence containing two qualifications of the verb, and let one of these contain an object with two qualifications of different kinds.
- 12. Name the three kinds of subordinate clauses. Explain why an adjective clause is so called. State to which kind each of the subordinate clauses in the three following sentences belongs, and give your reason:—
 - 'I asked where he lived.'
 - 'I have often seen the house where he was born.'
 - 'I shall sit where you wish.'
- 13. State and explain the various terms used in the Analysis of Sentences.
- 14. Write three sentences, introducing in the first a clause equivalent to a noun, in the second a clause equivalent to an adjective, in the third a clause equivalent to an adverb.
- 15. Construct a complex sentence with two subordinate clauses of different kinds, and state the relation of each subordinate clause to the main clause.
 - 16. 'The thief avoided the policeman.'

Rewrite the above sentence, qualifying-

- (1) the subject with a noun in apposition,
- (2) the object with an adjectival clause,
- (3) the verb with an absolute phrase.
- 17. Rewrite the subjoined sentences, supplying in full the words required to make the construction of the subordinate clauses complete, and describe each such clause:—
 - (a) 'She sings worse than ever.'
 - (b) 'Better late,
 Our proverb says, than never to do well.'
 - 'Things happened precisely as you guessed.'
- 18. To what Parts of Speech do the following words belong?—fifty, few, kill, cavalry, their, those, sheer, pell-mell, as, why, bravo.
 - 19. Parse the following italicised words:
- (i) 'When you are established in the house where you intend to reside, I will call on you, if I may.'
- (ii) 'I had but one house, as you know: since then I have bought another.'

- 20. Parse the italicised words in the following sentences:—'Have you any?' 'No, I have none.' 'When did you come?' 'Why is he here?' 'He went away rejoicing.' 'This is talking at random.' 'It is not true that he said that.' 'I saw the same as he did.'
- 21. Parse the italicised words:—'Which is which?' 'He was forgiven the fault.' 'The lady protests too much, methinks.' 'Perish the thought?' 'The ship is building.' 'Sit thee down.' 'I saw him taken.' 'So be it.'
- 22. Parse these sentences:—'In the front of the eye is a clear transparent window, exactly like the glass of a watch.'
 - 'When a man falls from his horse, he is often seriously hurt.'
 - "He rushed into the field, and foremost fighting fell."

'Life has passed

- With me but roughly since I heard thee last.'
- 23. To what parts of speech would you refer the following words?—next, no, the, together, past, else, but, ere.

[Else is an adverb signifying 'besides.' In the compound phrases anybody else or somebody else it takes the possessive inflexion, anybody else's, somebody else's.]

24. Parse the italicised words in the following sentences:—'Please write clearly.' 'Thank you.' 'Thanks.' 'You can if you like.' 'Get you gone.' 'He was accused of cheating.' 'He was accused of having cheated.'

[The construction of please was formerly impersonal, but 'It pleases me' has become 'I please,' as 'It likes me' has become 'I like.' We may regard write as infinitive dependent on Please,—'May you please (i.e. May it please you) to write clearly,'—or we may regard it as an imperative,—'Write clearly, if you please, (i.e. if it please you').

The construction in the last sentence, though in common use, has been condemned by some writers as grammatically indefensible, on the ground that of should be followed by the gerund, whilst having cheated is the past participle. The objection would be valid if having cheated were indeed a past participle here, but it is not: it is a compound gerund form. (See § 162, 5.) Just as we say 'He was supposed to have (Infinitive) cheated,' so we may say 'He was accused of having (Gerund) cheated,' 'He was rejected for having cheated.']

CHAPTER XXIIL

SYNTAX OF NOUNS.

232. Syntax deals with the relations of words when they are arranged so as to form sentences. Most of these relations come under the heads of Concord and Government. By Concord we mean the agreement of two or more connected words, as regards their gender, number, case, or person. By Government we mean the influence exercised upon the case of a noun or pronoun by another word: thus a transitive verb or a preposition is said to 'govern' a noun. Owing to the scanty supply of inflexions in modern English, the relation of a word to other words in the sentence is often indicated by its position. Hence we may say that syntax has to do with the Order or Arrangement of words, as well as with their Concord and Government.

The principles of Syntax might be enumerated under these three heads, but the student will obtain a clearer view of the subject, if we deal with the Syntax of the different parts of speech in succession, as we have already dealt with their Etymology. In our treatment of the meaning and use of words, we discussed many points which belong strictly to Syntax. What remains to be done in this section of the book is to give a short summary of these and to supply others which have been omitted.

Syntax of Nouns. Our remarks on the Syntax of Nouns may be grouped most conveniently under the different cases.

Nominative Case.

233. The Nominative Case is used-

- (r) When a noun stands as the Subject of a sentence, whether the verb of which it is the subject be active or passive: 'He works,' 'I have been wounded.' The concord of the verb with its subject is discussed under the Syntax of Verbs.
- (2) When a noun is in apposition with another noun in the nominative.
- (3) When a Predicative Noun is employed as a Subjective Complement after certain intransitive verbs of incomplete predication: such verbs as to be, become, continue, seem, feel, often require a complement: 'He became prime minister,' 'I continued secretary,' 'He seemed and felt a hero.' Hence the colloquialism 'It's me' is grammatically indefensible.
- (4) When a Predicative Noun is employed as a Subjective Complement with certain transitive verbs in the passive: 'He was made secretary,' 'I was appointed treasurer,' 'You were called John.'
- (5) When the noun or pronoun, combined with a participle, is in the absolute construction. Thus, 'The door being open, the steed was stolen,' 'My partner having returned, I shall go for my holiday.'

(Absolutus means in Latin 'set free' or 'untied': an absolute phrase can be detached without affecting the construction of the sentence.)

It has been disputed whether the case of the noun in the absolute construction is the nominative in modern English. In old English it was the dative. As the dative ending has disappeared from our nouns, it is only when one of the personal pronouns is used that we can still see what the case actually is. Should we say 'He excepted' or 'Him excepted'? 'I returning' or 'Me returning'? Undoubtedly the nominative would be preferred as the absolute case at the present day.

234. The following sentences illustrate a very common blunder in connexion with the use of the participle in a construction which is meant to be absolute but is not.

'Walking across the common, my hat was knocked off by a cricket-ball.'

As the sentence stands, walking is a participial adjunct of hat, and the construction is therefore 'My hat walking across the common was knocked off by a cricket-ball,' which is absurd. The required correction may be made in various ways: (1) By completing the absolute phrase. Add the missing pronoun and say 'I walking across the common, my hat was knocked off.' This makes the syntax regular, but the expression would be unusual. (2) By substituting 'I had my hat knocked off' for 'my hat was knocked off.' I is then the subject, and walking across the common is quite rightly the adjunct of I, instead of being the adjunct of my hat as before. (3) By converting the participle into a past imperfect tense indicative. Say 'As I was walking across the common, my hat was knocked off.'

'Going into the garden, the grass wetted my feet.' We may correct this by substituting (1) 'I going into the garden (absolute phrase), the grass wetted my feet,' or (2) 'Going into the garden, I wetted my feet in the grass,' or (3) 'On my going (gerund) into the garden, the grass wetted my feet.' The first expression is one which nobody would ever employ, but it is grammatically correct.

Our idiom allows us to omit the subject in an Absolute construction when the unexpressed subject is indefinite, e.g. one, people. Thus, 'They are an industrious nation generally speaking,' i.e. 'one speaking generally,' 'if one speaks generally': 'These prayers are to be said kneeling,' i.e. 'people kneeling': 'Including me there will be ten players,' i.e. 'one including,' 'if one includes!'

235. Apposition. A noun sometimes has attached to it a second noun referring to the same thing. The two nouns are then put in the same case and ordinarily in the same number, and are said to be in Apposition. The following sentences contain nouns in apposition:

'Turner, the baker, lives here': Turner is the subject, the baker is in the nominative case in apposition.

'I saw Turner, the baker': both nouns are in the accusative case.

'This is Turner's, the baker's, shop': both nouns are in the genitive case.

In practice we rarely employ the last form of expression. Instead of saying 'This is Turner's, the baker's, shop,' we should say 'This is Turner the baker's shop.' Here the true grammatical apposition is dropped and Turner-the-baker is treated as a compound noun. Identity of case is essential to apposition.

The noun in apposition usually agrees in number, but not necessarily: a collective noun in the singular may be used in apposition with a noun in the plural, and vice versā: 'Four hundred boys, the whole school, turned out to receive him': 'This year's team, eleven well-tried men, will give a good account of themselves.'

Owing to the lack of any word distinctive of sex, it is often impossible to mark a concord in this respect between the noun in apposition and the noun which it explains.

¹ See Advanced English Syntax by C. T. Onions, p. 67.

Thus we have to say 'Scott the novelist,' or 'writer,' and 'Jane Austen the novelist,' or 'writer.' But we should say 'Scott the author' and 'Jane Austen the authoress,' making the noun in apposition agree as regards indication of sex when it is practicable to do so.

- 236. Order of the Noun in the Nominative Case. The subject precedes the verb, as a general rule, but comes after it—
 - 1. In questions: 'Did you say so?'
 - 2. In commands: 'See thou to that.'
- 3. In certain uses of the subjunctive mood: 'Were he here, you would not say this,' 'Would I could find him!' 'May you prosper!'
- 4. When nor precedes the verb: 'I said I would not do it, nor will I,' 'He wanted only a pretext, nor was he long in finding one.'
 - 5. In the phrases 'said I,' 'quoth he,' 'answered he,' etc.
- 6. When the sentence is introduced by there, as 'There are some who deny this.'
 - 7. For emphasis: 'Great is Diana,' 'Indeed will I, quoth Findlay.'

Genitive Case.

237. Possession is only one of the relations indicated by nouns in the genitive case: 'John's hat' means 'the hat possessed by John'; 'the master's cane' means 'the cane possessed by the master.' But 'Byron's poems' does not mean 'the poems possessed by Byron'; 'Peel's Act' does not mean 'the Act possessed by Peel'; 'Cade's insurrection' does not mean 'the insurrection possessed by Cade'; 'an hour's detention' does not mean 'the detention possessed by an hour.' The term possessive is therefore inadequate as a description of the functions performed by this case.

What feature is common to all these examples of the use of the genitive case? The common feature is this: the noun in the genitive has the limiting force of an adjective. Just as 'John's hat' is a particular kind of hat, so 'Byron's poems' are a particular kind of poems,

'Cade's insurrection' is a particular kind of insurrection, and 'an hour's detention' a particular kind of detention.

The Latin word for 'kind' is genus, and we might therefore call the case which marks the kind the generic case. Perhaps this is what the Roman grammarians thought they were doing when they called it the genitive case. But 'genitive' in its proper sense has a much narrower meaning and signifies 'belonging to birth or origin.' It is appropriate to describe the case of father's when we speak of 'the father's son,' because the son derives his birth or origin from the father; but it is not appropriate to describe the case of son's when we speak of 'the son's father,' because the father did not derive his birth or origin from the son1. Now the term 'generic' would describe the case equally well in both instances: 'the father's son' is a particular kind of son, 'the son's father' is a particular kind of father. The name Genitive however enjoys a prescriptive right conferred by many centuries of use. most people it conveys no definite meaning, whereas Possessive conveys a meaning which is sometimes inappropriate. There is an advantage therefore in continuing to use the traditional term to denote a particular case form.

238. The Substitute for the Inflected Genitive Case.

The preposition of, followed by a noun in the accusative, forms a Case-Phrase which serves as a Genitive-Equivalent. The case-phrase can usually take the place of the inflected genitive. Instead of saying 'Byron's poems,' 'Cade's insurrection,' we can say 'the poems of Byron,' 'the insurrection of Cade.' This substitution cannot however always be made. Thus we should seldom say 'a hat of a man' for 'a man's hat.' But although the case-phrase can usually take the place of the inflected genitive, the inflected genitive cannot usually take the place of the case-phrase. For in ordinary speech the inflected form is commonly confined to names of living things or of personified objects and to nouns in certain phrases denoting periods of time, e.g. 'an hour's journey.' No one would speak of 'butter's price' or 'a house's door.' Expressions such as 'Insurance Bill's

¹ See Max Müller's Lectures on the Science of Language, 1st series, p. 105.

Progress,' 'Money Market's Buoyancy' belong only to the literature of newspaper head-lines. Constructions of this type are not to be imitated.

- Subjective and Objective Genitive. The genitive case is described as subjective or objective according as the noun in the genitive stands for the subject or for the object of the action denoted by the word on which it depends. Thus 'Carlyle's praises' may signify either (1) 'Carlyle praised somebody': here Carlyle is the subject of the proposition, and the genitive is subjective: or (2) 'Somebody praised Carlyle': here Carlyle is the object of the proposition, and the genitive is objective. The expression is used in the former way when we say 'Carlyle's praises were rarely bestowed': it is used in the latter way when we say 'Carlyle's praises were loudly sung.' 'Ravaillac's murder' is subjective, 'Henry IV.'s murder' is objective. Not that we can combine the two inflected forms in the same sentence and say 'Ravaillac's Henry IV.'s murder.' We should have to employ the preposition of to denote the objective relation and say 'Ravaillac's murder of Henry IV., 'Ruskin's praises of Carlyle.' Speaking generally, we may say that the inflected form is subjective in modern English. The form made by combination with the preposition of admits of the same double use: 'the persecution of the Puritans' is objective when we say 'The persecution of the Puritans drove them to Massachusetts': it is subjective when we say 'The Quakers of New England suffered from the persecution of the Puritans.'
- 240. How are we to explain such expressions as 'a novel of Scott's,' 'a play of Shakespeare's'?

They are not pleonastic, that is to say, they do not contain any redundancy or excess of expression. On the contrary they are elliptical, a noun being left out on which the noun in the genitive case depends. The complete expression would be 'a novel of Scott's novels,' 'a play of Shakespeare's plays.' Hence we cannot properly say 'a father of John's,' though we can say 'a brother of John's,' for 'a father of John's fathers'

would be absurd. As a fact however we do employ this elliptical construction for purposes of disparagement, real or pretended, in cases where it is logically indefensible. Thus we say 'that disreputable old father of John's,' 'this sweet wee wife of mine.'

There are other ellipses, or omissions, of the noun which ought to follow the noun in the genitive case, and these we have to supply according to the sense required by the context. 'He goes to St Paul's may signify in different connexions 'St Paul's cathedral,' 'St Paul's school,' or 'St Paul's station.' 'A picture of Agnew's' and 'a picture of Gainsborough's' alike require the word 'pictures' to supply the ellipsis, but in the former case the meaning is 'belonging to Agnew,' in the latter 'painted by Gainsborough.'

241. How are we to explain such expressions as 'the city of Rome,' 'the month of June'?

When we bear in mind that the function of the noun in the genitive case is to limit the application of the noun on which it depends, the explanation of such phrases as 'the city of Rome,' 'the month of June,' seems fairly simple. 'The city of Rome' is a particular city, 'the month of June' a particular month, just as 'the top of the mountain' is a particular top. We do not say 'the river of Rhone' but we might have done so: we use river and Rhone in apposition. It is merely a matter of idiom, or form of expression peculiar to our language. The Latin idiom was to say 'city Rome,' Urbs Roma; our idiom is to say 'city of Rome.'

The construction of two nouns in apposition in the genitive case has been already discussed.

242. Order. The inflected genitive always stands before the noun on which it depends; 'Carlyle's praise,' 'the master's cane.' The preposition of and its noun in the accusative usually come after the governing noun: 'the praise of Carlyle,' 'the cane of the master.' But for emphasis this order may be inverted: 'Of the spoil each man received a share,' 'Of virtue a great part consists in this.'

Accusative Case.

243. The Accusative case in modern English is identical in form with the Dative, but it differs in function, the Accusative being the case of the Direct Object and the Dative the case of the Indirect Object. The following are its chief uses.

The Accusative is the case—

- (1) of the Direct Object of a transitive verb: 'Brutus killed him.'
- (2) of the Predicate noun as Objective Complement: 'They made him consul,' 'He called her Mary,' 'We thought him a lunatic.'
- (3) of the noun of kindred meaning which sometimes follows intransitive verbs: 'I dreamt a dream,' 'He slept a sound sleep.' This is called the Cognate Accusative. See p. 137.

(4) of the noun in Apposition to another in the Accusative: 'They slew him, their archbishop.'

(5) of the Adverbial Adjunct of the verb, marking limitations as regards time, space, or manner: 'We stayed a year,' 'The ditch is three yards wide,' 'The train went full speed.'

(6) of nouns governed by Prepositions: 'He plays for money.'

The Retained Accusative.

The reader will remember that many transitive verbs which take a direct and an indirect object in the active voice may retain either of these as its object in the passive. Thus 'He taught me music' converted into the passive becomes either 'I was taught music by him,' or 'Music was taught me by him.' In the first form, music is the Retained Accusative after the passive verb. In the second form, the dative me, signifying to me, is an adverbial adjunct of taught. The verb ask is the only verb in modern English which takes two direct objects in the accusative. The sentence 'He asked me this question' converted into the passive becomes either 'I was asked this question by him,' or 'This question was asked me by him.' In the first form, this question, in the second form, me, is a Retained Accusative.

Dative Case.

The Dative is the case-

- (1) of the Indirect Object: the noun in this case stands for the thing to or on behalf of which the action is done. The verb 'to give' may be taken as the type of verbs which are followed by an indirect object: 'Give me (Indirect Object) the book' (Direct Object).
- (2) of the pronoun in the two surviving Impersonals, methinks, messems,
- (3) after the adjectives or adverbs like, unlike, near and opposite: 'like me,' 'near him,' 'opposite her.'
- (4) of the personal pronouns *me*, you, in their use, mainly archaic, to give a lively touch to a narrative or speech. E.g. 'Just as I was at hand, he whips *me* out his dagger': 'A tanner will last you nine year.' This is called the Ethical Dative.

- 244. Order. The noun in the accusative case usually follows the verb or the preposition by which it is governed. But—
- (1) When the word in the accusative case is a relative or interrogative pronoun, it comes before the verb: 'The book which you gave me,' 'Which book did you give me?'
- (2) When that is used as a relative and governed by a preposition, the preposition comes at the end of the sentence: 'This is the book that you told me of.' When who or which are used as relatives and governed by prepositions, they may stand before or after the prepositions: 'This is the man of whom and that is the book of which you told me,' or 'This is the man whom you told me of, and that is the book which you told me of.'
- (3) For emphasis the noun in the accusative case is sometimes placed before the verb: 'Jesus I know, and Paul I know, but who are ye?' 'Silver and gold have I none.'
- (4) When a verb takes a Direct and an Indirect Object, the noun in the Dative is placed before the noun in the Accusative, but if to or for is substituted for the Dative, the order of the nouns is reversed: 'He gave the boy a book,' 'He gave a book to the boy.'
- 245. Correction of Sentences. In his school exercises the student is sometimes required to alter the construction of faulty sentences. His aim should be to make them formally correct by the introduction of the smallest changes which are necessary for the removal of obscurity or error. A free paraphrase of an ungrammatical passage suggests an evasion of the difficulty. Thus 'I went into the garden and wetted my feet in the grass' expresses grammatically the meaning which the sentence 'Going into the garden, the grass wetted my feet' was intended to convey. But this new version raises a doubt whether the nature of the mistake has been grasped by the pupil. To take another illustration;

the sentence 'Shakespeare is greater than any dramatist' is corrected, if we say 'Shakespeare is the greatest dramatist,' but this correction might be made by one who had failed to see anything amiss with the sentence in its original form. If, on the other hand, we insert the word other and write 'Shakespeare is greater than any other dramatist,' we introduce the minimum of alteration and put our finger on the faulty spot.

- 246. Examples of wrong construction with the participle are furnished in the following sentences. Rewrite them correctly.
 - Being a fine day, I went out for a walk.'

[To correct this sentence we may either-

- (1) Complete the absolute phrase and say 'It being,' or-
- (2) Substitute an adverbial clause for being and say 'As it was.']
- 'Sailing in a yacht, the coast seems to move faster than we.'
- 'Courting the favour of neither rich nor poor, success attended his career.'
 - 'Foiled and disgraced, his candidature was abandoned.'
- 'Louis was in some respects a good man, but being a bad ruler his subjects rebelled.'
 - 'Being early killed, I sent a party in search of his mangled body.'
 - 'Having failed in this attempt, no further trial was made.'
 - 'Travelling along the line, the tower of the castle came in sight.'
- 'Judging from the time taken, the race was rowed quicker than in all previous years.'
- 'Having perceived the weakness of his poems, they now reappear to us under new titles.'
- 'Vainly endeavouring to suppress his emotion, the service was abruptly brought to an end.'
- 'Left for dead upon the ground, his companions rushed to his assistance.'
 - 'Arrived at the spot, a scene of horror presented itself to their eyes.'
- 'Hastily discussing the position of affairs, prompt measures were adopted and a telegram was sent to the police station.'
- 'Not having had the accounts of the company properly audited for some years, it was resolved by the directors that the services of an accountant should be secured.'

CHAPTER XXIV.

SYNTAX OF ADJECTIVES AND PRONOUNS.

I. Adjectives.

247. Adjectives limit nouns as epithets and predicatively. When we say 'a clever boy,' the adjective is employed as an epithet: when we say 'The boy is clever,' it is predicative. With certain transitive verbs of incomplete predication, such as make, think, call, consider, a predicative adjective is used to complete the statement: 'They made, or thought, or called, or considered, him clever.'

Some adjectives can be used only predicatively. We can say 'The man is afraid, or awake, or well, or ill,' but not 'the afraid man,' 'the awake man,' 'the well or ill man.' Sometimes an adjective changes its meaning when it is used as an epithet: 'He is a sorry fool' does not signify the same thing as 'The fool is sorry.' 'Glad' can be used as an epithet in only a few connexions: 'glad tidings,' 'glad heart.'

248. Concord. To speak of the agreement of the Adjective with its Noun in modern English is to use a term which seems scarcely appropriate, for the inflexions marking gender and case have disappeared entirely from English adjectives; and the demonstratives this and that are the only adjectives which admit of the inflexion of number.

Collective nouns in the singular are often followed by verbs in the plural, but they must not be preceded by *these* or *those*. It is a common error to say 'those sort,' 'these kind.' Thus:

'Those sort of things do not affect me at all.' The best way of correcting this is to say 'Things of that sort do not.' There is a harshness which should be avoided whether we say 'That sort of things do not,' or 'That sort of things does not,' though either expression admits of defence as grammatical, if sort is taken as a collective noun signifying 'class.'

249. The uses of many and few.

We may say (1) 'many roses,' using many as an Indefinite numeral adjective; or (2) 'many a rose,' using many as a multiplicative numeral adjective, so that the expression is equivalent to 'a rose manifold,' or 'many times one rose'; or (3) 'a many roses,' a construction which survives in poetry, but occurs in ordinary use only with great prefixed to many, 'a great many roses.' Here we may regard many as a collective noun and suppose that there is an ellipsis of a following of: 'a great many of roses.'

Notice the difference of meaning between 'few,' 'a few,' and 'the few.' Few means 'not many': a few means 'some': the few means 'not many, but all there are.' Thus, 'I gave him the few shillings I had' signifies (1) 'I had not many shillings,' (2) 'I gave him all.' Similar distinctions are expressed by little, a little, the little. Less is often wrongly used where fewer would be the right word. Less denotes quantity, fewer denotes number. Hence we ought not to say 'No less than twenty persons were present.'

250. Each, every, either, neither, are distributives, and their construction is therefore singular. Hence the following are wrong:

'Each of the boys read in their turn.' We may alter each of to all, making turn plural, or we may alter their to his.

'They followed each in their turn.'

This sentence is not on precisely the same footing as the last, for if we substitute his for their, we may be making a mistake, as they may mean women, or both men and women. Supposing that 'they' refers to both men and women, are we to say 'his or her turn respectively'? This phraseology is suggestive of a legal document rather than of

ordinary diction. In such a case two courses are open to us,—to say simply 'in turn,' or to dispense with the each and say all.

The use of adjectives as adverbs has been dealt with on p. 182, and of adjectives as nouns on p. 106.

- 251. Errors in connexion with the use of the comparative and the superlative degree are illustrated in the following passages:
- 1. Use of the superlative when fewer than three things are compared—
 - Of London and Paris the former is the wealthiest.'
 - 'Which is the most learned of the two scholars?'

and of the comparative when more than two things are compared—

'The town consists of three distinct quarters, of which the western one is by far the larger.'

To object to speaking of the division of a town into three quarters would be hypercritical: when used of a town, 'quarter' means 'a part,' not necessarily 'a fourth part.' In like manner we may speak of 'a weekly journal,' though originally a journal must have been a publication issued every jour or 'day.'

- 2. Confusion of the comparative and superlative forms of expression—
 - 'Of all other nations England is the greatest.'

Unless we have already specified one nation as the greatest and are making a comparison between all the remaining nations, this sentence is faulty. To say 'America is the greatest nation, and of all other nations England is the greatest' is correct. But if this is not our meaning, we must say either (1) 'England is the greatest of all nations,' or (2) 'England is greater than all other nations.' To blend the two expressions produces an illogical result, for England is not one of the other nations and therefore cannot be the greatest of the other nations. 'All other nations' signifies all the nations except England.

Milton, imitating a Greek construction, speaks of-

'Adam the goodliest man of men since born

'His sons; the fairest of her daughters Eve.'

But how could Adam be one 'of men since born,' or Eve one of her own daughters?

An analogous mistake is illustrated in this sentence:

'Tennyson was greater than all the poets of his age.' 'All the poets' includes Tennyson. He could not be greater than himself. Say therefore 'all the other poets.'

3. Pleonasm or excess of expression. Double comparatives and superlatives were common in Shakespeare's time: 'more better,' 'most unkindest,' 'most straitest.' We avoid such obvious redundancies of form now-a-days, but sometimes employ expressions which really are pleonastic: 'more perfect,' 'most universal.'

'He advised me to choose the smallest of the two, a...d which certainly appears to be the most preferable.' Note here (1) 'smallest and most preferable of two'; (2) 'preferable' is already comparative in meaning; 'most preferable' is therefore pleonastic; (3) ana' is redundant. Say, 'Of the two he advised me to choose the smaller, which certainly appears to be preferable.'

Observe however that although 'most preferable,' or even 'more preferable,' is pleonastic in this context, since only two things are compared, a case might occur in which the use of 'more preferable' and 'most preferable' would be legitimate. Suppose that four things, A, B, C, and D, are set before us, and a choice is allowed. Then, if we like B better than A, C better than B, and D better than C, we may say that in our opinion B is preferable to A, but C is more preferable, and D the most preferable of all.

252. Should we say, 'The two first' or 'The first two'?

Strictly speaking there can be only one first, but 'first' and 'last' are often used to signify 'in front' and 'towards the end' respectively: so we say 'the first remarks I have to make,' 'the first days of the year,' 'the last lines of the play.' Now if we talk of 'the first' or 'the last days of the year,' we may talk of 'the two first' or 'the two last days of the year.' 'The first two' is free from this objection, but it is open to another. It suggests a 'second two,' whereas there may be only three in the entire series.

253. The uses of the so-called Definite and Indefinite Articles are given on p. 109.

Some care is necessary in the use of the Articles to avoid ambiguity in those cases in which ambiguity is possible. 'A black and a white horse' means two horses, one black, the other white; 'a black and white

horse' means one piebald horse. 'The secretary and the treasurer' means two officials; 'the secretary and treasurer' means one man who holds both offices. But when no misunderstanding is possible, the article is frequently repeated for the purpose of emphasis: 'A dark and a distant unknown,' 'This machine is the cheapest and the best.'

The following are clearly wrong:

- 'I saw the secretary and treasurer, and they examined my accounts.'
- 'He could not distinguish between the red and green signal.'
- 'A statesman and politician are two very different persons.'

When there is no chance of ambiguity, because the adjectives cannot be taken as descriptive of a single thing, English idiom allows us either to repeat the article with the noun in the singular, or to use it only once with the noun in the plural. So we may say 'The Old and the New Testament,' or 'The Old and New Testaments;' 'the singular and the plural number,' or 'the singular and plural numbers;' 'the primary and the secondary meaning,' or 'the primary and secondary meaning,' or 'the primary and secondary meaning,' or those horses' might signify either the piebald horses, or those horses which are all black and those which are all white. When the latter meaning is intended, there are two forms of expression free from all risk of misinter-pretation, namely, 'the black and the white horses,' or 'the black horses and the white ones.'

Correct:—'It is sometimes said that the Nile is longer than all the rivers of the eastern and of the western hemispheres. During the past week it has overflown its right and left banks.'

The following points require consideration: (1) As the Nile is one of the rivers of the eastern hemisphere, can it be longer than 'all the rivers of the eastern hemisphere?' (2) 'The eastern and the western hemispheres,'—'the eastern and the western hemispheres.' which of these forms may we use? why? Apply the same principle to 'right and left banks.' (3) From what verb does overflown come?

254. Government. The adjectives like and near govern the dative case: 'I met a man like him.' 'The

boy near me ade a disturbance.' Like is used also as an adverb; 'E is a father pitieth his children,' meaning 'in like manne and But it should never be used as a conjunction, followed by a nominative case and a finite verb. Such solecisms as 'like you said,' 'like I told you,' though in common use, are peculiarly grating and offensive.

'These sort of men are sure not to speak true like we do.'

Here we have (1) 'these sort,' already commented on: (2) 'speak true' instead of 'truly' (or 'the truth'): 'true' can be defended however on the ground that the adjective is used as an adverb, p. 183. (3) 'like' used as a conjunction instead of 'as.' Like would require us after it, but we cannot make us the subject of do, therefore like must be discarded, unless we say 'like as we do,' employing like as an adverb. But such an expression is out of date,

255. Order. A single adjective used attributively generally stands before the noun, but in poetry sometimes comes after it, e.g. 'tempests fierce,' 'shadows dark,' and in certain phrases it always occupies this position owing to Norman French influence: e.g. 'knight errant,' 'heir apparent,' 'malice prepense,' 'sign manual.' When several adjectives are attached to one noun they are sometimes placed after it for emphasis: 'We reached the town, dull, dismal, and deserted.'

II. Pronouns.

256. Concord. In so far as Pronouns possess inflexions, they may be said to agree with the Nouns for which they are substitutes in Number and Person: their Case is regulated by their relation to their own clause. Thus we say 'Your sister borrowed my dictionary yesterday: I met her this morning, and she gave it back to me': 'Let us divide the books: you take these and I will keep those.'

¹ By a solecism is signified a violation of syntax or of idiom. The people of the Athenian colony of Soli in Asia Minor spoke Greek with many blunders. Hence an error in grammar or pronunciation was called σολοικισμός, from which we borrowed the word solecism.

The anticipatory *It* is used however of nouns denoting males and females, and of nouns both singular and plural: 'It is the prince and princess.' *You*, the pronoun of ordinary address, though applied to single individuals, is followed by a verb in the plural: 'You are old, father William.'

257. Great care is needed in the employment of pronouns: the promiscuous use of them is frequently a source of obscurity. The historian Clarendon is a notorious transgressor against clearness in the use of the pronouns. In the following extract from Goldsmith's *History of Greece*, the numbers 1, 2, 3, inserted after the pronouns of the Third Person, refer respectively to Philip, Aristotle, and Alexander:

'He [1] wrote to that distinguished philosopher...begging of him [2] to undertake his [3] education, and to bestow upon him [3] those useful lessons which his [1] numerous avocations would not allow him [1] to bestow.'

In Indirect Narrative the dangers of ambiguity from this cause are naturally great. Thus—

'A father who brought his boy to the police court complained that he got up and ran away before he was out of bed.'

'He told his friend that, if he did not feel better in half-an-hour, he thought that he had better go home.'

258. Construction of the Relative Pronoun. In marking the distinction of sex the relative pronoun may be said to agree with its antecedent to this extent: Who is used only of persons, which (in modern English) of the lower animals and sexless things. That is used in reference to antecedents of all kinds. The concord of the relative with the antecedent in number and person can be seen only in the inflexion of the verb which agrees with the relative. Thus, in the following sentences—

¹ See Angus's *Handhook of the English Tongue*, p. 289, and Salmon's *School Composition*, pp. 181-3.

'I, who am here, see this,'

'Thou, who art here, seest this,'

'He, who is here, sees this,'

'We, you, they, who are here, see this,'

the change in the person or number of the relative who is seen in the change in the verb which agrees with it. Am, art, is, are not in agreement with I, thou, he; they are in agreement with who. I, thou, he, are nominatives to see, seest, sees, respectively: who is the nominative to am, art, is, and the person of who is determined according as it refers to I, thou, he.

The following sentence is wrong. Probably most students would correct it, but only a few would give the right reason.

'Thou art he who hast commanded us.' Hast should be has. Why? Not, as five people out of six would say, "Because it must agree with its subject he," for he is not its subject; but because it must agree with its subject who, and who is here of the 3rd person, since it refers to an antecedent he, which is the pronoun of the 3rd person.

Ought we to say 'It is I, your master, who command you,' or 'It is

I, your master, who commands you'?

Either construction admits of defence. In the former case who refers to I as its antecedent; in the latter to master, the noun in apposition with I.

The following examples are wrong because the relative does not agree with its antecedent in number: the mistake is due to attraction of one.

'It is one of the most valuable books that has appeared in any language.'

Has should be have, because its subject that refers to a plural antecedent, books.

'Johnson's Lives of the Poets are now published in six octavo volumes, forming one of the most elegant editions that was ever offered

to the public.'

Here (1) are should be is: (2) was should be plural, as that, its nominative, refers to a plural antecedent, editions; (3) was should be have been, as the statement covers all editions up to the time of writing the notice.

The case of the relative is determined by its construction in its own clause. Thus in the sentences 'This is the man who lost his money,' 'This is the man

whose money was lost,' 'This is the man whom they robbed,' the antecedent man is in the nominative case, but the case of the relative varies according to the requirements of the clause in which it occurs.

Errors in the case of the relative occur in the following sentences:

- 'He picked up the man who he had knocked down.' Who should be whom, object of knocked down.
- 'I offer a prize of six pairs of gloves to whomsoever will tell me what thought is passing through my mind.' Whomsoever cannot stand as subject of will tell. The error arises from the suppression of the antecedent, which would be in the objective case, governed by to. The full expression is 'to him whosoever will tell.' Whosoever is wrongly attracted to agree in case with the antecedent, which is omitted.
- 259. Government. Errors of case sometimes occur in the use of the personal and relative pronouns. Such expressions as the following are often to be heard: 'Ask him to let you and I go,' 'Between you and I it stands in this way,' 'You are taller than me,' 'Whom do men say that I am?' Two common forms of faulty construction of the interrogative pronoun are commented on in the following paragraphs.

'Who did you ask to come?'

What are we to say about the grammar of this sentence? Clearly the who is indefensible on formal grounds, as we see by throwing the sentence into the shape of an assertion, 'You asked him (not he) to come.' And in deliberate or dignified speech or writing, whom is the word which we should employ. But in ordinary conversation who is often used in sentences of this sort by people who are quite aware that whom is grammatically the correct form. Expressions of this type have indeed been defended on the assumption that there is an ellipsis of the words is it that after Who:—'Who is it that you did ask to come?' in which expanded sentence the relative pronoun that is the object required. But this ingenious assumption rests on no valid foundation, and the slovenly constructions in question must be avoided by those who wish to speak correct English.

'Who do you believe he is?'

To test this construction, let us once more change the form from that of a question to that of a statement. We may say (1) 'I believe

(that) it is he, or (2) 'I believe it to be him.' Both are right. In (1) we have a subordinate noun clause, 'It is he': in (2) we have a construction which corresponds to the Latin accusative and infinitive. Restoring the parallel interrogative forms, we get (1) 'Do you believe (that) he is who?' i.e. 'Who do you believe (that) he is?' and (2) 'Do you believe it to be whom?' i.e. 'Whom do you believe it to be?'

But the two constructions must not be confused in the same sentence. To say, 'Whom did you suppose was going?' is as wrong as it would be to say, 'Did you suppose him was going?' We may correct the error by saying (1) 'Who did you suppose was going?' or (2) 'Whom did you suppose to be going?'

QUESTIONS.

- 1. Distinguish between the meaning of 'He had few followers,' and 'He had a few followers'; 'I got little credit for it,' and 'I got a little credit for it'; 'She has a black and white pony,' and 'She has a black and a white pony.'
 - 2. Correct and give reasons for your corrections:
 - 'He pays no regard to those kind of things.'
- 'He is good-looking and good-mannered, but one of those impulsive men that says just what he thinks.'
 - 'The son walks exactly like the father did.'
 - 'I had more rather he be neither a soldier or lawyer.'
 - 'Neither of these persons consider themselves competent.'
- 'The master told every boy to do their work and said he would punish whoever he saw idle.'
 - 3. Write short notes explaining the use of the words in Italics:
 - (1) I could a tale unfold whose lightest word ...
 - (2) As who should say ...
 - (3) Smite me him quickly.

[These sentences contain no grammatical error.

- (1) Whose was originally of all genders and served as the genitive case of both who and its neuter what Its use in reference to things is now mainly confined to the diction of poetry: this is rather a drawback, as of which is a more cumbrous expression.
- (2) Who is here an indefinite pronoun meaning 'any one,' 'some one,' not the relative who with antecedent one suppressed. The neuter what survives as an indefinite pronoun in the expression 'I can tell you what,' that is, 'I can tell you something.' 'As who should say' is archaic, but Dickens frequently employs it, e.g. in Our Mutual Friend.
- (3) Me is the Indirect Object, signifying 'for me,' and is in the dative. Compare 'Sing us a song,' 'Write me forty lines.'

4. What is to be noticed in this passage from Coriolanus?

'Him I accuse

The city ports by this hath entered.'

[Complete the construction by supplying the suppressed antecedent. The sentence then reads 'He whom I accuse...hath entered.' Now we may omit the antecedent and say 'Whom I accuse,' or omit the relative and say 'He I accuse'; but Shakespeare omits the relative and allows the antecedent to be attracted to the accusative case of the relative.]

5. State the laws which determine the use of the words 'who' and 'that' in a relative sentence. Give a sentence showing how the sense is affected according as the one or the other of these two words is used.

[Respecting the first part of the question, see p. 128. If who and which were used purely as co-ordinating relatives, and that as the restrictive or limiting relative, ambiguity would sometimes be avoided. Thus 'His friends who lived in London missed him greatly,' in the mouth of the ordinary speaker, may signify either (1) His friends missed him greatly and his friends lived in London, or (2) Those particular friends living in London missed him though his friends in other towns may not have done so. In this latter sense the use of the restrictive that instead of who is recommended, but the distinction is not carried out in modern practice. Similarly, 'I will give you my books which are at my lodgings' may signify either 'all my books, and my books are at my lodgings,' or 'those particular books at my lodgings out of my entire stock.' If that were reserved for the latter meaning, the expression would be free from risk of a wrong interpretation.]

6. Explain the term Attribute, and give instances of five different ways of qualifying the subject of a sentence.

[An attribute is a quality attributed to a thing: when we say 'The horse is white,' we explicitly assert the presence of the attribute or quality whiteness. When we speak of 'the white horse,' we implicitly affirm the presence of the attribute or quality. As the adjective marks the presence of the attribute or quality in a thing, the adjective attached to a noun is sometimes called the attribute of the noun, but this misuse of terms should be avoided.

For the enlargement of the subject, see p. 213.]

7. State the rule for the agreement of the relative with its antecedent. When may the relative be omitted? Give an example.

Correct:- 'Let him and I settle who we will invite.'

8. When the words either, such, one, as, are used as pronouns, to what classes do they severally belong?

Write down one example of the pronominal use of as.

Parse the italicised words in :-- 'Go, get you to your house;' 'He did it himself;' 'Such a lovely day!'

- 9. Correct the following sentences. Each sentence contains more than one error; some contain several.
- 'Somebody called, I could not firstly tell whom, but, after, I found it was her.'
- 'Three courses suggest themselves to me; but neither of these, or indeed any other seem acceptable to the President, whom people think is one of the most incompetent men that has ever occupied the Chair.'
- 'My niece, whom it was supposed had been murdered, is a girl of ten years old.'
- Do you remember my cousin whom we thought had settled in Australia? There is some talk of him returning.
- 10. Is any correction required in the following sentence?—'I, he, and you can go.'

[In this sentence there is nothing formally wrong, but usage enjoins a different arrangement of the pronouns. From motives of politeness the first place is given to the person addressed: from feelings of modesty the speaker mentions himself last. Hence we should say 'You, he, and I can go.' When a speaker joins others with himself and uses the plural number, considerations of courtesy and modesty are no longer applicable, and the pronouns occupy their natural positions, we standing first, you second, and they third: 'We, you and they can go.']

- 11. Correct the following sentences, and give a reason for every change:
 - 'For ever in this humble cell Let thee and I, my fair one, dwell.'
 - 'Who did you see at the regatta?'
 - 'The latter of the three solutions is more preferable.'
 - 'If this be him we mean, let him beware.'
- 'I saw the pickpocket and policeman on opposite sides of the street.'
 - 'These kinds of birds are found in Africa.'
 - 'It is unfair to argue like you do.'
 - 'This principle is of all others the most important.'
 - 'The logical and historical analysis of a language often coincides.'
 - 'Who can it be for.'
 - 'Government sells arms to whomsoever wishes to buy.'
 - 'They show marks who they come from.'
 - 'I am one of those who cannot describe what I do not see.'
- 'It was the most amiable, although the least dignified, of all the party squabbles by which it had been preceded.'

CHAPTER XXV.

SYNTAX OF VERBS.

260. Concord. The Verb agrees with its Subject in Number and Person.

Thus we say 'He is,' 'They are,' 'Men work,' not 'He are,' 'They is,' 'Men works.' Observe, however, that—

- r. Collective nouns in the singular may be followed by a verb in the singular or plural, according as we are thinking of the aggregate, or of the individuals composing it. We may say 'The Committee were divided in opinion,' or 'The Committee was unanimous.'
- 2. Several nouns which are plural in form are usually construed as singular, since their meaning is singular or collective: thus, 'The news is true.' Other examples are given on p. 89, (3).

The same explanation applies to our employment of a singular verb with a plural noun which forms the title of a book: the book is singular though the title is plural. We say therefore 'Johnson's Lives of the Poets has been edited afresh'; 'Macaulay's Biographies is a reprint from the Encyclopædia Britannica.'

Two or more nouns in the singular joined by and require a verb in the plural: 'He and I were astonished.' But if

the nouns are names of the same thing, the verb is singular: so we say 'The secretary and treasurer has absconded,' when one man holds the two offices. And on similar grounds, when the different nouns together express one idea, the verb is frequently in the singular: 'Two and two is four':

'Early to bed and early to rise

'Makes a man healthy, wealthy, and wise.'

But if we employ 'with' or 'as well as' in the place of 'and,' the verb is not plural, unless indeed it would be plural without the addition of these words and the noun which follows them. Thus, 'The minister, with his private secretaries, was present'; as with is a preposition, it is impossible that secretaries should be a nominative to the verb, for secretaries is in the accusative case governed by with. Again, 'Veracity, as well as justice, is to be our rule,' not are, for the elliptical clause 'as well as justice' is introduced as a parenthesis.

Nouns in the singular joined by or or nor require a verb in the singular: the force of these conjunctions is to present the subjects as alternatives, not jointly.

Hence the following are wrong:

'Nor want nor cold his course delay.'

'Death or banishment were the alternatives placed before him.'

If or or nor connects two Pronouns of different persons, it is doubtful what the construction of the verb should be. Perhaps the safest rule would be to make the verb agree with the pronoun which immediately precedes it, but even this arrangement produces very harsh effects. Should we say—

'Either he or I are going,'

'Either he or I am going,'

'Either he or I is going'?

The usage of different people may vary. A good many would say are, although as or is an alternative conjunction

and indicates that the subjects are to be taken separately, the verb must at any rate be singular. If we say am, the verb agrees with the subject I but not with the subject he: if we say is, it agrees with he but not with I. In practice it is easy, and also desirable, to avoid this difficulty by modifying the sentence thus: 'Either he is going or I am.'

Grammatical blunders often arise through mistaking for the subject a dependent noun of a different number from that of the subject, owing to its position immediately before the verb. The following are illustrations of this error:

'To Marat, and Danton, and Robespierre, are due the honour of having made it universal.' The subject of the verb is honour, and the verb should be singular.

'His knowledge of French and English literature were far beyond the common.' The writer is misled by the words 'French and English literature' which come next the verb, and forgets that the noun 'knowledge' in the singular is subject of the verb.

When words take irregular constructions owing to the influence of other words, they are said to be attracted.

- 261. Government. The Direct Object and the Indirect Object are dealt with on p. 97, the Cognate Object on p. 137, the Retained Object in the Passive construction with verbs which take a Direct and an Indirect Object on pp. 143, 238. Note that, when both Objects follow the verb, the Indirect Object precedes the Direct Object. For if this order is reversed, a preposition is required before the Indirect Object, and the noun or pronoun is then the object of the preposition and no longer the Indirect Object of the verb. So, 'Get me a cab' becomes 'Get a cab for me': 'I gave him a book' becomes 'I gave a book to him.'
- 262. Moods. The uses of the Subjunctive are set out on p. 148. The constructions of the different parts of the Verb Infinite, Noun and Adjective, are given on pp. 149—154. The student is advised to read these passages

again and then to consider carefully Questions 6 to 20 at the end of this Chapter.

263. Uses of Shall and Will.

In the Chapter on Auxiliary Verbs, it was pointed out that *Shall* and *Will*, when employed as auxiliaries, express futurity. A more detailed statement of their different uses is given in a convenient form in the following table:

To express	1st pers.	2nd & 3rd pers.	Examples.
1. Futurity	shall	will	{ I shall come to-morrow. You will get back late. { Ile will arrive first.
2. Question	shall	shall, will	Shall I pass? Shall you pass? Will he pass?
3. Determina- tion	will	will	I will have my own way. You will have your own way. He will have his own way.
4. Promise	will	shall	(I will pay you to-morrow. You shall be paid to-morrow. He shall be paid to-morrow.
5. Compulsion	shall	shall	(He says I <i>shall</i> do it. { You <i>shall</i> obey me. [He <i>shall</i> surely die.

¹ Adapted from Sir E. B. Head's Shall and Will, p. 119.

The student should learn the first column of this table containing the list of different notions under which our uses of shall and will are classified. Then, if he grasps the meaning of the terms employed, he can easily make his own examples and, by the exercise of his intelligence, write down either shall or will as appropriate to the different persons. This will be a much better course for him than burdening his mind with a table of details mechanically got by heart.

264. In a similar manner we can make a table of the Uses of Should and Would.

To express	rst pers.	and & 31d pers.	kaampies.
1. Contingent Futurity	should	would	I should be surprised, if it rains. You would be surprised, if it rains. He would be surprised, if it rains.
1. Hypothesis	should	should	(If I should see him, I will tell him. (If you should see him, tell him. (If he should see you, tell him.
3 Determina- tion	would	would	(I would go, if I could. You would go, if you could. He would go, if he could.

Sequence of Tenses. The Tense of a verb in a Subordinate clause is usually in accordance with the following rules:

In the Principal Clause

In the Subordinate Clause

(Present) | Future |

may be followed by Any Tense.

II. Past must be followed by Past.

Illustrations of Kule I.

He says that he works hard.

He says that he will work hard.

He says that he was working hard.

He says that he had worked hard

He will tell you that he had been working hard.

He is working hard so that he may pass.

He has worked hard so that he may pass.

He will pass if he work hard.

He will see that he was wrong

He acts as if he were mad.

He has promised that you shall be paid.

Illustrations of Eule II.

He said that he would come.

He hoped that he might pass. He thought that he had passed.

He acted as if he were mad.

He could do it if he liked.

He would do it if he were able.

If however the dependent clause affirms a proposition which is true for all time, the present tense is generally used, though the principal clause contain a past tense: so, 'Shakespeare affirmed that cowards die many times,' 'Carlyle asked if virtue is a gas.' But the past also would be quite admissible.

What is the difference of meaning between 'I intended to write' and 'I intended to have written,' 'He hoped to get the prize'?

By our English idiom a peculiar meaning is attached to the Perfect Infinitive when it follows the Past tense of verbs of future import, such as intend, hope, expect, wish. If I say, 'I intended to write,' there is nothing in the use of the Indefinite Infinitive, to write, to show whether or not my intention was carried out. Thus, in reply to the thanks of a friend for an unexpected letter from me, I may say, 'I always intended to write,' or in reply to his complaint that he has not heard from me, I may say, 'I quite intended to write.' If, on the other hand, I say, 'I intended to have written,' the use of the Perfect Infinitive implies that my intention was never executed. Perhaps something prevented, or I forgot. In like manner, if a person says of a boy, 'He hoped to have got the prize,' we should conclude that the prize had gone to somebody else, whilst the statement, 'He hoped to get the prize,' is consistent with the possibility that he succeeded or failed.

A similar distinction is made when the idea of duty is expressed. If we say, 'It was his duty to do it,' we do not imply either that he did his duty or that he failed to do it. If we say, 'It was his duty to have done it,' or 'He ought to have done it,' we imply that his duty was left undone.

266. Reported Speech. In reproducing the precise words used by a speaker we quote his speech directly. But if we introduce his remarks with 'He said that,' or an equivalent expression, it is necessary to alter the pronouns and tenses, and the speech is then reported indirectly, or in 'oblique narrative.' This distinction was denoted in Latin by the terms Oratio Recta and Oratio Obliqua. As an illustration, take the following passage:

"I wish you would play up," said the captain: "why are you all so slack? Do keep the ball low. They will get another goal directly, if you don't look out."

Here we have the speaker's own words given in direct narrative. They may be indirectly reported in three ways:

- (1) by the speaker himself;
- (2) by one of the team;
- (3) by an outsider.

Captalo's original speech.	Captain reports blinself.	
I wish you would play up. Why are you all so slack? 100 keep the ball low. They will get another goal directly, if you don't look out.	(I said) I wished they would play up. Why were they all so stack? They must keep the ball low. The other fellows would get another goal directly, if they didn't look out.	
One of the team reports Captain.	Outsider reports Captalu.	
(He said) He wishet we would play up. Why were we all so slack? We were to keep the ball low. They would get another goal directly, if we didn't look out.	(He said) He wished they would play up. Why were they all so slack t They must keep the ball low. The other fellows would get another goal directly, if they didn't look out.	

After a present tense of the principal verb, (He says), the tenses of the reported speech will be different from those given above. In the absence of directions to the contrary, a passage for conversion to indirect narrative is supposed to be introduced by a verb in the past tense, (He said), and the reporter is supposed not to form one of the persons addressed.

As a further exercise let us write in the third person the following speech of King Richard, avoiding ambiguity, and beginning King Richard said that—

'I wish I may forget my brother John's injuries as soon as he will forget my pardon of them.'

This becomes—'He wished he might forget his brother John's injuries as soon as John would forget the King's pardon of them.'

Copious examples for practice in the conversion from the direct to the indirect form, and from the indirect to the direct form of narrative, are furnished by the daily newspapers in their parliamentary reports.

QUESTIONS.

- 1. What rules about Concord are still observed in English?
- [Concord occurs in the following instances:
- (1) The verb and its subject in number and person.
- (2) The adjectives this and that in number.
- (3) The noun in apposition in case.
- (4) The pronouns in gender, number, and person.]
- 2. Give rules respecting the concord of verbs with their subjects when subjects differing in number, or person, or both, are connected by a conjunctive or alternative conjunction.
- 3. Comment on the following constructions from Milton and Shakespeare:
 - 'Bitter complaint and sad occasion dear
 - "Compels me to disturb your season due."
 - 'No ceremony that to great ones 'longs,
 - 'Not the King's crown, nor the deputed sword,
 - 'The marshal's truncheon, nor the judge's robe
 - Become them with one half so good a grace
 - 'As mercy does.'
 - 4. Correct the following sentences :-
 - 'This and that man was born there.'
 - 'Honour as well as profit are to be gained by this.'
 - 'Homer as well as Virgil were studied by him.'
- 'But the temper as well as knowledge of a modern historian require a more sober and accurate language.'
- 'The happiness or misery of men's lives depend very much on his early training.'
 - 'Neither Thomas nor John were there.'
 - 'I, whom nor avarice nor pleasure move.'
 - 'Neither you or me are invited.'
- 'The diligent study of classics and mathematics prepare the mind for any pursuit in which it may engage.'
 - 'Nothing but misfortunes have been the result.'

Bacon's Essays are the most important of these two works.

'Three spoonsfull of water to one of wine is not near sufficient,'

[Notice here, (1) the compound noun in the plural is spoonfuls: in spoons full we have two words, spoons, a noun, and full, an adjective limiting the noun. We may say either 'three spoonfuls' or 'three spoons full.' Here the former is more suitable, as it is a quantity of liquid that is spoken of, not a number of spoons. (2) The singular to may be defended, as the subject, though plural in form, represents a whole. Similarly we say 'Twice two is four,' 'Twenty years is a long time,' 'Two-thirds has been lost.' (3) Near? Can this be justified?]

5. Explain the term *Indirect Object*. Write two short sentences in illustration of its use after verbs, and one of its use after an adjective.

Give instances, one of each kind, of words (1) governed by, (2) agreeing with, (3) qualifying, other words.

[Like, unlike, near, will furnish the construction of the Indirect Object required after adjectives.]

6. Explain with full examples the uses of the different moods of the verb.

Notice especially the cases when if can be followed by the indicative, and when it must have a subjunctive.

[For an answer to the former part of the question see p. 145. The latter part is dealt with below, Q. 8.]

7. Give a definition of the Subjunctive Mood, distinguishing it from the Indicative.

State the Mood of the word may in-

(a) You may go.

(b) I give that you may give.

(c) May good digestion wait on appetite.

Give reasons for your answer in each case.

[In (a) may has its own meaning as a notional verb: "You may go" signifies "You are at liberty to go." In (b) it has parted with its own meaning and become a mere auxiliary of gire, marking the subjunctive mood. The same is true of its use in (c) where, as an auxiliary of wait, it serves to express a wish.]

8. What is the general rule for the use of the indicative or the subjunctive mood in dependent sentences? Illustrate this rule by an example.

[If the condition expressed by the verb in the dependent sentence is assumed as a fact, but without our wishing to imply that we think it likely or unlikely to be fulfilled, the indicative should be used: but if the condition is stated as something conceived by the speaker either as unlikely or as actually impossible, the subjunctive should be used. As we remarked before however (p. 147), the indicative has very largely

taken the place of the subjunctive where the use of the latter would be more appropriate.

This distinction may be illustrated thus:

- 'If he is in the garden, k will find him,' (He may be or he may not for anything that I know, but assuming that he is, I will find him.)
- 'If he be in the garden, I will find him,' (I am doubtful: it is unlikely that he is.)
- 'If he were in the garden, I would find him,' (I deny that he is.) Hence the subjunctive is the right mood in which to express a wish, 'I wish he were less idle,' which he is not; and a purpose, 'Mind that you be ready by one o'clock,' for as the event is future, it must be regarded only as conjectured, not realised.)
- 9. Give examples of the different ways in which is can be altered into the subjunctive mood in English. Give a classification of the various uses of the subjunctive mood.

[Take the sentence 'He is idle.' We may convert this from indicative to subjunctive in these ways: (1) Though he be idle, he will pass his examination: (2) Though he man be idle, he will pass: (3) Though he should be idle, he would pass: (4) Though he were idle, he would pass. The answer to the latter part of the question is given on p. 148.]

10. Write out the past tense of the subjunctive mood of the verb w be, and give an example of the use of the ard person singular of this tense after the conjunction: if, that, though, respectively

[For the conjugation see p. 146.

'If he were here, you would not say so,'

· I wish that he were here.'

*Though he were here, I should say just the same.']

How is future time indicated in the subjunctive mood?

As the subjunctive has no future tenses, the present tense is used.

'We shall be sailing up the Channel to-morrow if the wind keep 'We shall have passed Dover to-morrow

favourable.']

'We shall reach the Nore to-morrow 12. Correct:—'If he don't know, I am sure I don't.'

[Consider what don't is a contraction of. Don't is 'do not,' so the sentence is 'If he do not know, I am sure I do not.' On a suitable occasion 'If he do not' is correct English, the verb being in the subjunctive mood. But this is not a suitable occasion on which to employ the subjunctive. 'If' is not used in this sentence with its ordinary conditional sense. On the contrary it signifies rather 'assuming as a fact.' Hence the indicative should be used both in the antecedent and in the consequent clause, and we ought to say 'If he doesn't know, I am sure I don't,' our meaning being this, - Seeing that he is certainly ignorant, I am certainly ignorant too.'1

- 13. What parts of the Verb may be used as (a) nouns, (b) adjectives? Apply your answer to the verb sp_eak , by making short sentences in which this verb is used in the different ways you have mentioned.
- 14. What is the subject in the following: To perform is better than to promise? Write this with a verb-noun for subject.

Give not more than three examples of noun sentences as objects to I remember, and show how to express the same ideas with verb-nouns instead of verbs, using as far as possible the same words.

Comment on any peculiarity of grammar in -4 He cannot choose but hear.

[What part of speech is but? Think what word would be substituted for it. What mood is hear? What might we expect to find with it?]

15. Parse the infinitives in the following sentences:

'To tell the truth I think you are to blame for going to sleep to kill time.'

'To think that any one, who can help it, should be content to live with nothing to do!

[Consider carefully the uses of the gerundial infinitive specified on p. 151 and the examples in illustration of them.]

16. Give the derivation and definition of the term Participle.

Shew how your answer applies to the participles in the following sentence —

'In playing tennis he was always forgetting that a ball returned by his opponent, if it touched the top of the net dividing the courts, was likely to twist.'

['Participle,' from the Latin fars, 'part,' capio, 'take'; Participles are so called because they participate in the character of both adjective and verb. Like adjectives they limit the application of nouns; like verbs (when formed from transitive verbs) they are followed by an object.]

17. Carefully parse the words ending in ing in the following sentence—'Fearing that the load was injuring the horses I felt no more pleasure in travelling through that entrancing scenery.'

[Notice that entrancing, though originally a participle describing an act, has here become an adjective describing a quality. Like an adjective, therefore, it precedes the noun which it limits: as a participle, its position would naturally be after the noun, as in the phrase 'the scenery entrancing' our eyes,' As an adjective it can be qualified by very, but our English idiom does not allow us to qualify participles by very. We can say 'very entrancing scenery,' but not 'the scenery entrancing our eyes very.' There are indeed a few past participles which usage permits us to qualify by the use of very,—participles of such common occurrence that they are treated as adjectives; 'very pleased,' 'very tured.' But much is used with past participles regarded as past participles:

we say 'much hurt,' 'much applanded,' 'much abused,' not 'very hurt,' 'very applauded,' 'very abused.']

18. What is a Gerund? and how is it different from the Present Participle? Give examples.

Write down three sentences, in which the word 'walking' is used as a perticiple, an adjective, and a verb-noun, respectively.

19. i 'Seeing is believing.'

What is the origin of this idiom?

ii. 'I heard of him running away.'

Is there any error in this sentence? If so, correct it, stating your reasons for the change you make.

- [i. In Old English -ung or -ing was a noun-ending, just as -th is a noun-ending in steal-th (from steal) or -m in doo-m (from do). The suffix was attached to form nouns from other parts of speech besides verbs. The noun thus formed was a noun pure and simple, and could be used in the plural. When -nd, the ending of the present participle, became -ing, participles and nouns in -ing were confused and gerunds or verb-nouns arose, i.e. nouns which took the construction of verbs (Nessield's English Grammar, p. 352).
- ii. This sentence is not necessarily ungrammatical, but it conveys a meaning which is different from what was probably intended. As it stands, running is a participial adjunct of him, and the meaning is 'I heard of him, when he was running away.' But the speaker's intention was doubtless to state that he heard, not of him, but of the running away. In that case the sentence ought to be 'I heard of his running away,' where running is not a participle but a gerund.]
- 20. In how many different ways may the word judging be parsed? Illustrate each of them by a sentence.
 - 21. Correct the following sentences:
 - 'I heard of him saying as you were ill.'
 - 'I soon expect to hear of it being done.'

[Notice the position of the adverb soon. Which word should it qualify? Put it next to that word.]

'The forgiving injuries is a Christian duty.'

'His friends were very alarmed to find that he had weakened instead of strengthened his position.'

[Can we say 'very alarmed'? A finite part of the verb cannot follow a preposition: of requires the gerund. Or we can correct the sentence without altering strengthened, if we substitute for instead of either and not or rather than.]

'If I had only ran the last few yards instead of walked, I should have caught the train easy enough.'

'If I had not broke your stick instead of hit you with it, you would never have ran home nor begun to tell those kind of lies which nobody but foolish men believe.'

- 22. Give a short rule for the proper use of shall and will. Why are the phrases, 'I will be under the necessity,' 'We will be compelled,' incorrect?
- 23. Distinguish between the use of would and should, giving examples original or quoted.

Correct: - 'If I was to run quick, I would fall.'

- 'How will we know whether is the greatest of the two?'
- 'Directly we fight we will be beaten, unless you support us.'
- 24. Errors of sequence of tenses occur in some of the following sentences: correct them.
 - 'He said he won't give me any.'
 - 'I said that I will try again.'
 - 'She told you and me that she will come.'
- 'As soon as he has gone away, he wrote and told you and me to come directly.'
- 'I intended to have bought a moderate-sized microscope, but was told that these minute organisms can be seen only under the best instruments.'
 - 'I was going to have written him a letter.'
 - 'They all hoped to have succeeded.'
- 'Swift, but a few months before, was willing to have hazarded all the horrors of a civil war.'

[In what circumstances would to hazard and to have hazarded be respectively appropriate?]

'Each of the three last were expected to have stopped and voted.'

'I had hoped never to have seen the statues again.'

25. State what changes in the mode of expression are made when a speech is reported in the indirect form.

Deduce from the following report the words used originally by the speaker:—'He urged them to tell him of a single enterprise in which they had succeeded, and, if they could not, to give him some better reason than their own word for believing that they were blameless. He would inquire into the facts and judge for himself.'

26. Convert the following speech into Indirect Narrative, introducing your report with the words He said that:

'You cannot conquer America. If I were an American, as I am an Englishman, while a foreign troop was landed in my country, I never would lay down my arms,—never, never, never!'

27. Rewrite the following passage in Indirect Narrative, introducing the report with the words *He said that*:

'People have not been, I am told, quite as calm as sensible men should be. Bear in mind the advice of Lord Stanley. Do not let

your newspapers bring you into that frame of mind under which your Government, if it desires war, may be driven to engage in it.'

28. Point out the ambiguities in the following sentence:—'Ethel told Mary that it would not be her fault if she did not succeed.'

[This report in oblique narrative may represent four different statements of Ethel's in the direct form. Give them.]

20. Correct the following sentences:

'Snapping at whomsoever laid in its way, the police slezed the dog, on account of it not only being dangerous, but also unmuzled according to law.'

[This sentence teems with errors of various kinds. First, there are two words misspelt. Secondly, there are grammaneal blunders, vohom-soever, laid, and it. Thirdly, there are mistakes of a rangement, whereby nonsense is made. Put the participal phrase 'snapping at whomsoever laid in its way' next to the word of which it is the adjunct: at present it appears as if the police were snapping. The order of the words not only being must be changed. And was it 'according to law' that the dog had its mouth open, or that the police captured it?]

'Bicycling down a hill, a stone tripped him up and his leg was broke. He laid there insensible some time, and when they had awakened him with some spoons full of brandy, he couldn't hardly recognise whom his friend was.'

'I hope to thoroughly master the subject in a week.'

[The separation of to from the verb,—a solecism called 'the split infinitive,'—should be avoided. Alter the position of the adverb in more ways than one.]

'Whom do you think I met to-day? Your two cousins! The eldest had on a new and a most fashionable pair of boots, just like you saw Henry wearing yesterday, and the other was nearly dressed the same.'

30. A confusion of two constructions is called Anacoluthon, from a Greek word which means 'not following along,' 'not in sequence with' something else. Show that the following sentences furnish illustrations of this error.

'They had awoke him, he learned, to be told that the river had overflown its banks.'

[I'wo constructions are blended here: 'They had awoke him to tell him,' and 'He had awoke (or been awaked) to be told.'

'He had two sisters, the one a wealthy spinister, the other a married sister is the wife of a farmer.'

'I cannot write any more now and believe me, yours sincerely.'

[To join a verb in the indicative mood to a verb in the imperative makes nonsense. Put both coordinate clauses in the indicative, changing

- 'believe me' for some other expression, or cancel the former clause and substitute one which contains a verb in the imperative mood.]
 - 'My lawyer is a man whom I know is trustworthy.'
- 'When Nelson was ill he complained of "the servants letting me lay as if a log, and take no notice."'
- 'Should any one not receive the goods ordered in ten days, kindly write to the advertiser.'
 - 'This is the man whom I perceived was in fault.'
- 'I think it may assist the reader by placing them before him in chronological order.'
- 'Mrs Jones presents her compliments to Miss Robinson and will be much obliged if she will prevent her dog from coming into my garden.'
- 'More than one swimming-prize is to be given for boys of thirteen years old.'
 - 31. Criticise the following expressions:-
 - (1) 'Our mutual friend.'
 - (2) 'A reliable statement.'
 - (3) 'A phenomenal success.'
 - (4) 'I sha'n't do more than I can help.'
 - (5) 'If I am not mistaken.'
 - (6) 'Send a written message, not a verbal one.'
 - (7) 'Important events have transpired.'
- (In (1) common should be substituted for mutual, which implies reciprocal relationship. If A likes B and B likes A their friendship is mutual. In (2) 'trustworthy' might take the place of 'reliable.' Just as penetrable means 'what can be penetrated' and eatable 'what can be eaten,' so strictly reliable must mean 'what can be relied,' which is nonsense. 'What can be relied on' would be rely-on-able, as 'what can be got at' is colloquially said to be get-at-able. The words laughable, available and indispensable are open to a similar somewhat pedantic criticism. (3) Phenomenal is a word misapplied by journalists in the sense 'remarkable.' Give the true meaning. (4) Help means 'avoid' in this context. One who wishes to do as bittle as possible does no more than he cannot avoid. (5) Why passive? The expression is always used to signify 'If I do not misunderstand,' not 'If I am not misunderstood.' (6) Verbal means 'in words,' so 'a written message' is 'a verbal one.' What adjective signifies 'by word of mouth'? (7) What does transpire mean? Events do not transpire except in journalese.]
- 32. Quote four examples of common errors of speech, and show wherein the faultiness consists.
- 33. Show that the number of rules of concord and government in any language depends on the variety and extent of its inflexions.

- 34. Illustrate the different kinds of grammatical concord, and show that the following septences are faulty:
 - (a) 'Neither of these men are patriots at heart.'
 - (b) 'This is one of those things that is managed better abroad.'
 - (c) 'The number of failures were very great.'
 (d) 'Thou great First Cause, least understood,
 - Who all my sense confined.
- 35. Comment on the construction of the verb in each of the following sentences:—
 - 'Is the news true?'
 - 'The people are divided.'
 - 'Every limb and every feature appears with its appropriate grace.'
 - 'Justice as well as benevolence is our rule.'
- 36. How can you distinguish the accusative case from the nominative in English?

State the case and government (if any) of each of the italicised words in the following sentences,

- (a) 'Prize me no prizes, for my prize is death.'
- (b) 'She let concealment, like a worm i' the bud, Feed on her damask cheek.'
- (c) 'For my brethren and companions' sakes.'
- (d) 'That is not for such as you.'
- 37. Correct the following sentences where necessary:
- 'Thou lovest, but never knew love's sad satiety.'
- 'Nothing but grave and serious studies delight him.'
- 'The ship with all the passengers were lost.'
- 'He knows not what spleen, langour, or listlessness are.'
- 'The king with the lords and commons form the legislature.'
- 'The posture of your blows are get unknown.'
- 'There is sometimes more than one auxiliary to a verb.'
- 'He objects to me having the book.'
- 'If I were old enough to be married, I am old enough to manage my father's house.'

[See the note to Q. 12, p. 262.]

- 'And so was also James and John, the sons of Zebedee, which were partners with Simon.'
- 'The steam-engine as well as the telegraph were at that time undiscovered.'

[Is undiscovered the right word?]

- 'I have not wept this forty years.'
- 'It must be confessed that a lampoon or a satire do not carry in them robbery and murder.'
- 'He must decide between you and I going to him or him coming to us.'

CHAPTER XXVI.

SYNTAX OF ADVERBS, CONJUNCTIONS, AND PREPOSITIONS.

267. THERE are some words which are variously used as Prepositions, as Adverbs, and as Conjunctions. The following sentences illustrate this threefold use of but, before, since.

	PREPOSITIONS.	Adverbs.	Conjunctions.	
1	saw nobody but	I have but one.	I saw him but not	
	him.		you.	
I	started before	He went before.	He went before	
	sunrise.		l arrived.	
I	have seen him	I have not seen	I will do so since	
	since Easter.	him since.	you wish it.	

How are such words to be distinguished?

If the word in question governs a noun or pronoun, it is a Preposition. Bear in mind the fact that the preposition frequently comes after the relative pronoun which it governs: 'I gave the book that he asked for to the man whom I spoke to'; 'This is the place which you told me of.' And this relative pronoun is often dropped out altogether: the words that, whom, and which, would probably be omitted from these sentences in conversation. Nevertheless, for, to, and of are still prepositions, for they govern these pronouns understood.

But to distinguish Adverbs from Conjunctions is often a difficult matter, for many conjunctions have an adverbial force: for example, when and where are adverbs as much as they are conjunctions. Thus, in the sentence 'I saw him when he called,' when is a subordinating conjunction which introduces the adverbial clause when he called. This adverbial clause qualifies saw in the main clause 'I saw him,' but at the same time when does the work of an adverb in its own subordinate clause, qualifying called, just as the demonstrative adverb then qualifies it if we say 'He called then.'

Similarly in the sentence 'I saw him where he lay' (or 'as he lay'), where (or as) he lay is an adverbial clause qualifying saw in the main clause, but at the same time where (or as) does the work of an adverb in its own subordinate clause, qualifying lay, just as the demonstrative adverbs there and so qualify it if we say 'He lay there,' 'He lay so.'

In the sentences given above the clauses introduced by the subordinating conjunction are Adverbial. Sometimes when, where and a few similar words introduce clauses which are Adjectival. When, where and the like are then to be described as Relative Adverbs (§ 189). True, they link a subordinate to a main clause, but Relative Pronouns do the same and we class Relative Pronouns with pronouns, not with conjunctions, in spite of their connective force. In the sentence 'This is the factory where he works,' where is equivalent to at which, and where he works defines factory, just as at which he works, or which he works at, would define factory. Thus where he works is here an Adjective clause and where is a Relative Adverb.

On the other hand, in the sentence 'He gets good pay where he works,' the subordinate clause is Adverbial, qualifying the main verb gets. Observe that in such a sentence the Adverb clause can be put either before or after the main clause. We can say 'He gets good pay where

he works,' or 'Where he works he gets good pay.' But the Adjective clause cannot be put before its main clause. We cannot say 'Where he works this is the factory.'

From these uses of when, where and the like, we must further distinguish the use of such words when they introduce a Dependent Question and are Interrogative Adverbs. E.g. 'He asked me when and where I could meet him' means 'He asked me the question, When and where could I meet him?' The dependent question is a Noun clause.

It should be observed that some Adverbs qualify the entire sentence and not some particular word in it: they are Sentence-modifiers rather than Word-modifiers. Of this kind are therefore, nevertheless, likewise, also. Thus, in the sentence, 'He was dishonest: therefore I dismissed him,' therefore signifies 'for that reason.' If therefore were a conjunction it would invariably stand first word in the clause which it introduces. But as an adverb therefore may be placed after I or after him: thus, 'I therefore dismissed him,' or 'I dismissed him therefore.' Adverbs such as probably, certainly, frankly often qualify an entire sentence: e.g. 'Probably the statement is true,' 'Certainly he is right,' 'Frankly I am disgusted.'

268. The meaning affected by the position of the Adverb.

Though the grammatical structure of the sentence may be unimpaired by the shifting of the adverb from one place to another, the meaning will often be affected by the change of position. Consider the difference in the information conveyed when we say 'Only John passed in Latin,' 'John only passed in Latin,' and 'John passed only in Latin.' Errors in the position of only are of constant occurrence. At one of the large Loudon Clubs, members are informed

¹ Ashton's (Mason's) Senior English Grammar, p. 355, and Onions's Advanced English Syntax, p. 18.

that 'Smoking is only allowed in this room after 8 o'clock.' This notice, strictly interpreted, implies that the authorities go so far as to allow, but would by no means encourage, smoking after 8 o'clock.

269. Construction with 'Than.' In an earlier stage of the language than was an adverb, but it may now be treated as a conjunction simply. As a conjunction it should be followed by the same case as the case of the word denoting the thing with which the comparison is made. Thus, 'I like you better than he,' and 'I like you better than him' are both correct, but with different meanings. Supplying the ellipses, we get in the former sentence, 'I like you better than he likes you'; in the latter, 'I like you better than I like him.'

'Than whom.' When the relative pronoun who is used with than—a form of expression which occurs but seldom—who is invariably put in the accusative case. Thus Milton writes of Satan, 'than whom none higher sat.' Sometunes the accusative is the right case: e.g. 'than whom I like no one better,' i.e. 'I like no one better than him.' But sometimes the nominative would be the right case: e.g. 'than who none sat higher,' i.e. 'no one sat higher than he.' Some writers argue that than is a preposition, but if so we ought to say, 'no one sat higher than him.' It is whimsical to call than a conjunction before he and a preposition before whom in sentences otherwise identical. Perhaps the safest thing to say is that than whom is an idiom, and 'Idioms are rebels against Grammar, with which the powers of literature have made peace and agreed to waive their claim to conformity.' It's me is another idiom, sanctioned by usage, but grammatically indefensible and (unlike than whom) avoided in literary English.

270. Construction of 'As.' As is an adverbial conjunction: it not only joins clauses but qualifies a word in the clause which it introduces. Thus, 'He whistled as (i.e. while) he went for want of thought': 'They died as (i.e. in what manner) soldiers should.' As is used also as a demonstrative adverb antecedent to this conjunctive as: 'He is as good as (he is) clever.' Another antecedent to as is so: 'You are not

so silly as you seem.' So is only a demonstrative adverb, not a conjunctive adverb like as.

The nouns or pronouns connected by as must be in the same case. 'Is she as tall as me?' is therefore wrong: it should be 'Is she as tall as I (am tall)?' 'You could have done it as well as him' should be 'You could have done it as well as he (could have done it).'

271. 'As follows' or 'As follow'? Ought we to say 'The words are as follows' or 'The words are as follow'?

Some writers have advocated the plural follow on the supposition that as is here a relative pronoun and that there is an ellipsis of the antecedent the same, or such, the sentence in full being 'The words are the same (or such) as follow.' Yet we always say 'as follows' and the singular is historically right, for as is a conjunction and the construction is impersonal, 'The words are as it follows,' it being dropped. Similarly as regards is used in the singular whatever the number of the noun to which reference is made: 'Your intentions as regards me,' i.e. 'as it regards me.'

272. Construction of 'No.' No is both an adjective and an adverb. As an adjective it is the equivalent of none, as an adverb, of not. Now it is contrary to English idiom to qualify verbs with the adverb no. We say 'I will not go,' 'Do not say so,' not 'I will no go,' 'Do no say so.' Hence the expression 'whether or no' admits of defence only when there is an ellipsis of a noun: 'Whether he is a knave or no I cannot say' may be explained as an abridgment of 'Whether he is a knave or not' is an abridgment of 'Whether he is a knave or not' is an abridgment of 'Whether he is a knave or not' is the only admissible expression. That it is wrong to say 'Whether or no he did it,' we may see by

resolving the sentence into its component parts: 'Whether he did it, or he did it not.'

273. Ellipsis arising from the desire to be brief is a frequent cause of error. We say 'You are as good or better than he,' where as is required after good to make the sentence formally correct. So again in the sentence 'You work harder but not so successfully as he,' harder requires than. To supply these missing words and to say 'You are as good as or better than he,' 'You work harder than but not so successfully as he,' would be to employ modes of speech too elaborately precise for everyday purposes. We can steer clear of an error of syntax on the one hand and of pedantry on the other by saying 'You are as good as he, or better,' 'You work harder than he does, but not so successfully.'

Ellipsis is seen in the following sentence:—'He did it without intending to.'

Sentences of this type are usually condemned as ungrammatical, on the ground that the missing words, required after to for the completion of the sentence, are not did it but do it. It seems pedantic however to object to such a form of expression. If it is allowable to say 'He is taller than you,' where we supply 'are tall' to make the construction complete, it ought to be allowable to supply in thought 'do it' as suggested by 'did it.' Such expressions may be justified as constructions κατὰ σύνεσιν, that is, 'according to the understanding,' which supplies what is needed, by appropriately modifying what is already given.

The following example is too slip-shod to pass muster:—'Tense shows whether something is, has, or will happen.'

274. Redundant use of 'And.' And is often used pleonastically, that is to say, where it is superfluous, before who and which: 'He is a man of a thousand and in whom

I place entire confidence,' 'These are some of the errors in his books and which it would be tedious to enumerate.' The presence of the and seems to be due to a desire to avoid misunderstanding in the reference of the relative to its antecedent. If we said 'a thousand in whom,' whom might be taken as referring to 'thousand' instead of to 'man,' and if we said 'in his books which,' which might be taken as referring to 'books' instead of to 'errors.' The and however is clearly redundant, and ambiguity should be avoided by casting the sentence differently. When one relative clause has occurred already, a second relative clause is rightly introduced by and: 'This is the book which you lent me and which I have read with interest.' There is no reason in grammar or in logic why and which should not be used, even if no relative clause has occurred already, provided that the antecedent of which has already been limited by adjectival adjuncts: 'He has painted a picture striking, suggestive, refined, and which no other artist has equalled.' The clause introduced by and is equivalent to 'excellent.' We should say 'striking, suggestive, refined, and excellent,' so there is no reason why we should not say 'striking, suggestive, refined, and which no other artist has equalled.' At the same time one must admit that the construction has an unpleasant sound, though Thackeray, who is a master of style, often makes use of it.

Repetition or Omission of the Conjunction. The student should notice that, although we ordinarily insert and before only the last of several nouns or adjectives which occupy the same relation to the rest of the sentence, for rhetorical purposes the conjunction may be either repeated or dropped altogether. The departure from the normal usage arrests attention and heightens the effect. This may be observed in the following passages:

^{&#}x27;Love was not in their looks...but guilt and shame and perturbation and despair and anger and obstinacy and hate and guile.'

^{&#}x27;Fall, dark curtain, upon his pageant, his pride, his grief, his awful tragedy.'

18-2

The redundant use of conjunctions is called *Polysyndeton*, 'much-linked'; the omission of conjunctions is called *Asyndeton*, 'not linked.'

275. Omission of 'That.' The conjunction that is often omitted: 'He said (that) he was going,' 'I thought (that) I had done it.'

Notice the different parts of speech to which that belongs in the sentence 'I deny that that that man said is true.' The first that is a conjunction; the second, a demonstrative pronoun; the third, a relative pronoun, equivalent to which; the fourth, a demonstrative adjective.

276. Correlative Conjunctions. Conjunctions which occur in pairs with other conjunctions or adverbs are called Correlative. See § 210.

Similarly, the demonstrative adjectives such and same and the demonstrative adverb so have appropriate correlatives. In the sentences, 'This exercise has such mistakes as I never saw before,' 'This exercise is the same as you showed up yesterday,' as is a relative pronoun: in 'I am not so mean as to act thus,' as is an adverbial conjunction. Such and so are followed by the conjunction that when the result or purpose of an action is indicated: 'He made such mistakes that he failed to pass,' 'He took such pains that he might pass,' 'He worked so hard that he might pass.' In strictness so always requires a correlative to express the comparison which it implies; but in common speech so is used with the meaning of very, and the comparison is not expressed: 'She is so pretty, and he is so nice.'

The following sentence illustrates two common forms of error in connexion with the use of *neither*: 'You neither honour your father or your mother.'

Two points require correction here: (1) neither...nor are correlatives, not neither...nor: (2) neither and nor must be placed before the words denoting the things or acts which we wish to exclude. Hence we must say (a) 'You honour neither your father nor your mother,' or (b) 'You do not honour either your father or your mother.' Neither placed before honour suggests some other verb to which nor should apply: 'You

neither honour nor obey your father or your mother.' This misplacement of neither may often be found in the best writers, but this fact does not make it legitimate.

Idiomatic use of particular prepositions. Particular prepositions are appropriate after certain verbs, nouns, and adjectives: the use of a different preposition is a violation of idiom. Thus we say 'conform to,' but 'conformity with'; 'dependent on,' but 'independent of'; 'part from a person, 'part with a thing'; 'disappointed of something' which we cannot get, 'disappointed in something' when we have got it. 'Differ' and 'different' are often used with the wrong preposition. When we disagree with a person we differ from him. Persons frequently say 'I beg to differ with you,' when they mean 'to differ from you.' If A and B agree in differing from C, we may say that A differs with B, but in no other sense is the use of with correct. Again, it is a common mistake to say 'different to'; 'different from' is prescribed by our idiom. We can speak however of 'a difference with a person' and of 'a difference between two things.' The student can test his familiarity with English usage by combining with suitable prepositions the words given in Question 5 at the end of this chapter.

Errors both of pleonasm and of ellipsis occur in the use of prepositions, especially in connexion with relative pronouns. Pleonasm, or redundancy, is seen in these sentences:

In the following, there is omission:

'My duelling pistols in rosewood case (same which I shot Captain Marker), £20.'

^{&#}x27;It is to you to whom I am indebted for this favour.'

^{&#}x27;It is to this last new feature of the game laws to which we intend to confine our notice.'

^{&#}x27;Had I but served my God with half the zeal

[&]quot;I served my king, he would not in mine age

^{&#}x27;Have left me naked to mine enemies.'

'Participles express action with the time it happens.'

'And virgins smiled at what they blushed before.'

QUESTIONS.

1. Distinguish between an adverb and a conjunction. Parse the word as in both places in 'You are not as rich as he is.'

Classify adverbs according to their formation, giving examples.

Classify conjunctions. Write three short sentences in which the word but occurs as a conjunction, a preposition, and an adverb respectively.

- 2. What three parts of speech may that be? Construct three sentences to illustrate your answer.
- 3. What is a preposition? Distinguish between the uses of prepositions and conjunctions. Give two examples of phrase-adverbs and phrase-prepositions.

[Phrase-adverbs: 'of a truth,' 'nowadays,' 'by no means,' 'at times,' 'in front,' 'for ever and ever,' 'in a canter,' 'head over ears.'

Phrase-prepositions: 'by means of,' in accordance with,' in consequence of,' in reply to,' with a view to,' for the sake of.']

4. Correct:—'Should the frost continue as sharp as last week, which I do hope it may, the large pond will bear.'

[How can we compare a frost with a week i]

'They know that as well as me.'

- 'She had a very fair complexion, and which was quite different to her sister's.'
- 'Many an emigrant have regretted the domestic pleasures from which they have been deprived, and which were impossible to be carried to their new country.'
 - 'I hope to see you next week, and believe me, yours sincerely.'
- 5. What Prepositions are found in combination with the following words:—absolve, abhorrence, acquit, adapted, agreeable, averse, call, change, confer, confide, correspond, discourage, eager, exception, expert, glad, made, need, prejudice, provide, taste, thirst, worthy?

Correct:—'It bears some remote analogy with what I have described.'

'You are in no danger of him.'

6. Certain words are used in English sometimes as prepositions, sometimes as conjunctions. Give examples and write sentences in which such words occur, specifying the part of speech in each example.

Write four sentences containing the word after and make it (1) an adjective, (2) an adverb, (3) a preposition, (4) a conjunction.

Form sentences to show the different uses of the words for, since, but, mentioning in each case the part of speech which the word is.

- 7. The following sentences are faulty as regards the order of the words¹. The meaning is not free from ambiguity, and rearrangement is necessary.
 - 'I saw many dead soldiers riding across the battle-field.'
 - 'I never remember to have seen such a storm.'
 - 'His success is neither the result of system nor strategy.'
 - 'Lost near the market-place a large Spanish blue gentleman's cloak.'
- 'He seldom took up the Bible, which he frequently did, without shedding tears.'
- 'The beaux of that day used the abominable art of painting their faces as well as the women.'
- 'Erected to the memory of John Phillips accidentally shot as a mark of affection by his brother.'
 - 'Wanted a pianoforte for a gentleman with carved legs.'
 - 'Rats and gentlemen catched and waited on by Solomon Gundy.'
- 'We regret to say that a mad dog yesterday bit the editor of the Western News and several other dogs.'
 - 'Wanted a boy to open oysters with a reference.'
- 'The procession was very impressive and nearly a mile in length as was also the sermon of the minister.'
- 'A man was run over in Cheapside this morning by a cab while drunk.'
 - 'Raw cows' milk is better for children than boiled.'
 - 'A transitive verb is when its action passes to an object.'

[Change the position of the word 'transitive': a verb is a word, not a time.]

- 8. Many sentences are faulty owing to incoherence of thought, although they may contain no violation of grammatical rules. Point out any incoherence or confusion in the following sentences:
- 'The horse is a noble animal, but if you treat him unkindly he will not do so.'
- 'Prisoner at the bar, Providence has endowed you with great bodily strength, instead of which you go about the country stealing ducks.'
- 'Salt is what makes the potatoes taste nasty when you don't put any on.'
 - 'If I am not mistaken, I met you yesterday.'
 - 'Towards the close of his life he committed suicide.'
 - 'I shan't do more than I can help.'
 - 'The guilelessness of his own heart led him to expect none in others.
- 'This is the most wonderful preparation of modern times for the entire restoration of dimness or partial loss of sight.'
 - 'I shall have much pleasure in accepting your kind invitation.'
- ¹ A large collection of examples is given in Hodgson's Errors in the use of English: also in Salmon's School Composition.

9. Distinguish the different meanings obtained by changing the position of the word only in the following:—'John attempted only three problems.'

Is any alteration necessary in the sentence—'I called, only I could

not stop long'?

[Only is often used instead of but, as a conjunction to express opposition to what precedes: 'I called, but in one respect my call was limited, namely, that I could not stop long.']

Distinguish between—'Only he lost his child,' 'He only lost his child,' 'He lost only his child,' 'He lost his only child,' 'He lost his child only.'

- 10. What meaning do you attach to the following sentences?-
 - 'You punished me more severely than she.'

'You punished me more severely than her.'

Correct where necessary: -- Wilt boast boldlier than me?

[In Elizabethan literature examples often occur of the comparison of adverbs in -ly by adding -er and -est Tennyson and Carlyle have imitated the archaism with 'gentlier' and 'proudlier.' Thus boldlier is not ungrammatical, though more boldly would be in closer conformity with our usage. Me should be I, the same case as thou, which is for rhetorical purposes suppressed after wilt.]

'It is easier said than done.'

' He did not get so many marks as me.'

'John never wrote a better letter, nor as good, as James.'

'You will soon find such peace which it is not in the power of the world to give.'

'He neither knows French nor German.'

'Neither John or Thomas considered that morning or evening are the best time for study.'

'He was neither learned in the languages or philosophy.'

Construct sentences containing the following phrases, rightly used:—
'Better than he,' 'Better than him,' 'Than whom,' 'And which,' 'As good as I,' 'As good as me,' 'Would that.'

11. Give one example of (a) Relative use of 'but'; (b) Adverbial use of 'no'; (c) Antecedent implied in Possessive; (d) Infinitive Absolute; (e) Object placed before Verb.

[For (a) see p. 129. 'There is no one but thinks you mad,' i.e. who does not think you mad, (b) 'He is no better.'

(c) 'Poor is our sacrifice whose eyes
'Are lighted from above.'

"Our sacrifice whose" is for 'the sacrifice of us whose."

(d) 'To tell the truth I dislike him.' (e) See p. 239.]

- 12. How may conjunctions best be distinguished from adverbs? Sometimes conjunctions are used in pairs or are correlative: give examples of the use of although and not only with their correlatives.
 - 13. Comment on-'I will try and go.'

[This colloquial use of and instead of to is common with such verbs as try, come, go, but it cannot be justified logically in the case of the verb try. For though the expressions 'Come and see,' 'Go and ask' admit of defence on the ground that two distinct actions are commanded, to come and to see, to go and to ask,—only one action is commanded when we say 'Try and go': we mean 'Try in order that you may go.']

- 14. Show that in the following sentences there is Pleonasm, that is, redundancy or excess of expression.
 - 'Traveller, from whence comest thou?'
- 'Between you and me, I faucy there will be nobody else there but you and me.'
- 'The river of Kishon swept them away, that ancient river, the river Kishon.'
 - 'After the most straitest sect of our religion I lived a Pharisee.'
 - 'He behaved with great magnanimity of mind.'
 - 'He stooped down to pick up a stone.'
 - 'The transparency of his motive is clear to every one.'
 - 'It is not nor it cannot come to good.'
 - 'I do not like the house in which I live in.'
- 'Of the tree of the knewledge of good and evil thou shalt not eat of it.'
- 'And, perhaps, it may be worth revealing the fact that my distrust of our present social arrangements was deeply increased by a second visit to the United States.'
- 'I would be the veriest demagogue if I suggested that I had found a panacea for the immediate remedy of all those social evils.'

[What does panacea mean?]

- 'He has eaten no bread nor drunk no wine these two days.'
 [What is the construction of these two days? See § 243 (5), p. 238.]
- 'The king then entered on that career of misgovernment which, that he was able to pursue it, is a disgrace to our history.'
 - 'And that no woman has; nor never none Shall mistress be of it, save I alone.'
 - 'What shall we say, since silent now is he Who, when he spoke, all things would silent be.'
- 'I have now the perfect use of all my limbs, except my left arm, which I can hardly tell what is the matter with it.'
 - ' Money is the most universal incitement of human misery.'

- 15. Write sentences which exemplify the right use of the following combinations:—correspond with and to; confide in and to; agree to and with; differ with and from; difference between and with; provide with, for and against; regard for and to; wait on, at and for.
- 16. Append to the following words the appropriate prepositions:—independent, different, angry, composed, dissent, conversant, conformable, disapprove, full, replate.

How is the meaning of the verb fall affected when it is followed by the words in, off, out, to, under, upon, in combination with it?

- 17. Point out and explain any peculiarity in the following pair of sentences:--
 - (1) 'Excuse my answering your question.'
 - (2) 'Excuse my not answering your question.'

[In spite of the not, the two sentences have the same meaning. This is due to the fact that excuse in (1) signifies 'dispense with,' and in (2) 'pardon.']

- 18. Explain and illustrate by examples (a) absolute use of participle, (b) reflexive pronoun, (c) inflected subjunctive, (d) correlative conjunction.
- 19. Give examples of (a) compound gerunds, (b) words which are conjunctions and something besides, (c) verbs of incomplete predication, (d) the oldest inflexions still in use.
- 20. What do you understand by the following terms?—Aryan, runes, hybrid, prosody, solecism.
- 21. Correct the following sentences wherever the form of expression is ungrammatical or misleading:
 - 'It is better for you and I as it is.'
 - 'He having none but them, they having none but he.'
 - 'A thousand weary miles now stretch Between my love and I.'

'We might have placed Smith in the first class with no more impropriety than we have placed Jones in the second.'

[To avoid this slip-shod construction, recast the sentence after the word than.]

- 'Neither he nor she are at hand.'
- 'The porch was the same width with the temple.'
- 'If he permits this, we shall speedily become as poor as them.'
- 'I don't believe you have got a better bicycle or even as good as me.'
- 'He can do it easy enough, if he don't get nervous.'
 - 'And now I never dare to write
 As funny as I can.'

'From my shoulder to my fingers' ends are as if half dead.'

'A perfect judge will read each work of wit With the same spirit that its author writ.' 'Miss Smith will have much pleasure in accepting Mrs Brown's kind invitation.'

[Whatever pleasure Miss Smith finds in the acceptance of the invitation she has at the time when she writes to accept. The pleasure which she will have is the pleasure of going to the party.]

'Thersites' body is as good as Ajax', when neither are alive.'

'Luckily the monks had recently given away a couple of dogs, which were returned to them, or the breed would have been lost.'

'He was shot at by a secretary under notice to quit, with whom he was finding fault, very foctunately without effect.'

Old Friend to Artist: 'Look here, old man, I'll tell you what really brought me here to-day. The fact is, my wife wants her mother painted very badly,—and I naturally thought of you.'

'I saw a gentleman who had shot hundreds of buffaloes in London

a month ago.

- 'Gibbon was the eldest of five brothers who died in infancy, and of a sister who lived a little longer, and whom he knew well enough to regret her.'
 - 'Adversity both teaches men to think and to feel.'

'These kind of books neither interest or gratify you and I.'

- 'The army, whom its chief had abandoned, pursued their miserable march.'
 - 'Each of the horses reared and threw their riders.'
 - 'This was in reality the easiest matter of the two.'

'Whom do you think I am?'

'I am a man that have travelled far.'

'O Thou my voice inspire Who touched Isaiah's hallowed lips with fire.'

'Each of the girls went to their separate rooms to rest themselves.'

'He was angry at me quitting the house.'

'Art thou proud yet?'

'Ay, that I am not thee.'

'Whoever the king favours the cardinal will find employment for.'

'No one expressed their opinion so clearly as him.'

'Everybody has a right to look after their own interests.'

'He talks like Charles and not like you do.'

'His is a poem, one of the completest works that exists in any language.'

'Did he not confess his fault and begged to be forgiven?'

'The town mentioned is the warmest of the two.'

- 'If the king gave us leave, you or I may as lawfully preach as them that do.'
 - 'The largest circulation of any Liberal newspaper.'
 - 'The largest circulation of any other Liberal newspaper.'

'A larger circulation than any Liberal newspaper.'

22. Give a few simple rules for Punctuation.

[It is customary to use-

- (1) a Full-stop at the end of a sentence and after abbreviations: -e.g., viz., ult., i.e., M.P., B.A., K.G., Bart.
- (2) a Colon or a Semicolon between sentences grammatically independent, but closely connected in sense and not very long. These stops are not used extensively by most writers at the present day. Rapid readers like to have their sentences chopped up short, so that the meaning may be taken in at a glance.
 - (3) a Comma to separate-
 - (a) short co-ordinate sentences:
 - (b) subordinate from principal clauses:
 - (c) the noun in apposition:
 - (d) and the nominative of address:
 - (e) and quotations:
- (f) and a series of words having the same construction: e.g. 'Remote, unfriended, melancholy, slow.'
- (4) a Dash, to separate parentheses and introduce quotations. Some writers have a fondness for the dash and employ it in places where the comma or semicolon would do equally well. Sterne in the last century and Mr Besant in our own make free use of the dash.
 - (5) Inverted Commas, to introduce and to end a quotation.
 - (6) a Note of Interrogation after direct questions.
 - (7) a Note of Exclamation after interjections and exclamations.

These rules are 'few and simple.' The student must bear in mind that in using stops at all our sole object is to make our meaning clear; that the insertion of unnecessary stops is a hindrance rather than a help to the reader; that punctuation admits of very few hard and fast laws; that the usage of different writers varies; and that the author is frequently at the mercy of the printer in the matter of stops. Hence it seems a waste of time to burden the memory with elaborate principles of punctuation.]

23. Punctuate the following passage and insert capitals:

No one venerates the peerage more than I do but my lords I must say that the peerage solicited me not I the peerage nay more I can say and will say that as a peer of parliament as speaker of this right honourable house as keeper of the great seal as guardian of his majesty's conscience as lond high chancellor of england nay even in that character alone in which the noble duke would think it an affront to be considered as a man I am at this moment as respectable I beg leave to add I am at this moment as much respected as the proudest peer I now look down upon.

*Thurlow.

APPENDIX U.

PASSAGES FOR ANALYSIS.

- 1. Who is this?—Why are you so late?—Give me your hand.—To bliss domestic he his heart resigned.—There is said to have been a battle.—He will succeed or die. Twifight's soft dews steat o'er the village green.—Let me stay at home.—It is horse being killed, he was taken presoner—Your voiceless lips, O flowers, are living preachers.
- 3. Whatever the consequences may be, I shall go my way.—Uneasy lass the head that wears a crown.—No other allegorist has ever been able to make abstractions objects of terror, of pity, and of love.—None but the brave deserves the fair.—This is made of the same material as that.
- 8. Who will undertake it, if it be not also a service of honour?—Won is the glory, and the grief is past.—It is not true that he said that.—Plain living and high thinking are no more.—To the great virtues of that gentleman I shall always join with my country in paying a just tribute of applause.
 - 4. I am monarch of all I survey,
 My right there is none to dispute.
 - 5 Sweet was the sound, when oft, at evening's close, Up youder hill the village murmur rose.
 - 6. Hope for a season bade the world farewell,
 And Freedom shrieked as Kosciusko fell.
 - 7. The stag at eve had drunk his fill,
 Where danced the moon on Monan's rill,
 And deep his midnight lair had made
 In lone Glenartney's hazel shade.
 - He that has light within his own clear breast May sit i' the centre and enjoy bright day.
 - 9. To me the meanest flower that blows can give Thoughts that do often lie too deep for tears

- Stone walls do not a prison make,Nor iron bars a cage;A free and quiet mind can takeThese for a hermitage.
- 11. High on a throne of royal state Satan exalted sat, by merit raised To that bad eminence.
- 12. Last noon beheld them full of lusty light; Last eve, in beauty's circle proudly gay; The miduight brought the signal sound of strile, The morn, the marshalling of arms.
- The World is too much with us: late and soon, Getting and spending, we lay waste our powers: Little we see in Nature that is ours; We have given our hearts away, a sordid boon!
- 14. This vesper-service closed, without delay,
 From that exalted station to the plain
 Descending, we pursued our homeward course,
 In mute composure, o'er the shadowy lake,
 Under a fated sky.
- 15. Full many a gem of purest ray serene.

 The dark unfathom'd caves of ocean bear;
 Full many a flower is born to blush unseen,
 And waste its sweetness on the desert air.
- 16. The innocent are gay; the lark is gay,
 That dries his feathers, saturate with dew,
 Beneath the rosy cloud, while yet the beams
 Of dayspring overshoot his humble nest.
- 17. In this poor gown my dear lord found me first,
 And loved me serving in my father's hali:
 And this poor gown I will not cast aside
 Until himself arise a living man
 And bid me cast it.
- 18. The heights, by great men reached and kept,
 Were not attained by sudden flight;
 But they, while their companions slept,
 Were toiling upward in the night.
- 19. Then burst his mighty heart;
 And, in his mantle muffling up his tace,
 Even at the base of Pompey's statue,
 Which all the while ran blood, great Cæsar fell.

- 20. How happy is he born and taught,
 That serveth not another's will;
 Whose aumour is his honest thought,
 And simple truth his utmost skill!
- 21. And statesmen at her council met
 Who knew the seasons when to take
 Occasion by the hand, and make
 The bounds of freedom wider yet.
- 22. When the men who were exploring the pit ascertained that the water had reached a certain level, they knew that the imprisoned colliers could not be rescued without great difficulty.
 - 23. Soon as the evening shades prevail,

 The moon takes up the wondrous tale,
 And nightly to the listening earth
 Repeats the story of her birth:

 Whilst all the stars that round her burn,
 And all the planets in their turn
 Confirm the tidings as they roll,
 And spread the truth from pole to vole.
 - 24. He many an evening to his distant home. In solitude reforming saw the hills. Grow larger in the darkness; all alone. Beheld the stars come out above his head, And travelled through the wood with no one near.
 - 25. Intermit no watch
 Against a wakeful foe, while I, abroad,
 Through all the coasts of dark destruction seek
 Deliverance for us all.
 - 26. The lively Grecian, in a land of hills, Rivers and fertile plains, and sounding shores, Under a cope of sky more variable, Could find commodious place for every god, Promptly received, as prodigally brought, From the surrounding countries, at the choice Of all adventurers.
 - Seasons return: but not to me returns.
 Day, or the sweet approach of even or noon,
 Or sight of vernal bloom or summer's rose,
 Or flocks, or herds, or human face divine;
 But clouds instead and ever during dark
 Surrounds me, from the cheerful ways of men
 Cut off,

- 28. Breathes there the man with soul so dead, Who never to lumself hash said,

 This is my own, my native land!

 Whose heart hath ne'er within him burned,
 As home his footsteps he hath turned.

 From wandering on a reading strand?
- 29. Now is the water of our discontent Made glorious summer by this sun of York, And all the clouds that lour'd upon our house In the deep bosom of the ocean buried.
- 30. That time of year thou maysr in me behold When yellow leaves, or none, or few do hang Upon those boughs which shake agreed the cold Bare ruin'd choirs, where late the sweet birds sang.
- 31. And where two raging three meet together,
 They do consume the thing that feeds their fury:
 Though little fire grows great with little wind.
 Vet extreme gasts will blow out fire and all.
- 32. There at the foot of yonder nodding beech.

 That wreathes its old fantartic roots so high,
 His listless length at noon-tide would be stretch,
 And pore upon the brook that habbles by
- When eastward darkly going,
 To gaze upon that light they leave
 Still faint behind them glowing,
 So, when the close of pleasure's day
 To gloom hath near consigned us,
 We turn to catch one fading ray
 Of joy that's left behind us.
- 34. But whilst, unconscious of the silent change. Thus stol'n around him, o'er the dying bard. Hung Wolfram, on the breeze there came a sound. Of mourning moving down the narrow glen; And looking up, he suddenly was ware. Of four white maidens, moving in the van. Of four black monks who bore upon her tier. The flower-strewn corpse of young Elizabeth.
- 25. Once on a time, an emperor, a wise man,
 No matter where, in China or Japan,
 Decreed that whosoever should offend
 Against the well-known duties of a friend,
 Convicted once, should ever after wear
 But half a coat, and show his become bare.

- 86. The swallow stopt as he hunted the bee,

 The snake slipt under a spray,

 The wild hawk stood with the down on his beak,

 And stared, with his foot on the prey,

 And the nightingale thought, 'I have sung many songs,

 'But never a one so gay,

 'For he sings of what the world will be

 'When the years have died away.'
- Thou tamer of the human breast,
 Whose iron scourge and torturing hour
 The bad affright, afflict the best!
 Bound in thy adamantine chain
 The proud are taught to taste of pain,
 And purple tyrants vainly groan
 With pangs unfelt before, unpitied and alone.
- And the mountain tops that freeze
 Bow themselves, when he did sing;
 To his music plants and flowers
 Ever sprung, as sun and showers
 There had made a lasting spring.
- We leave the well-beloved place
 Where first we gazed upon the sky;
 The roofs, that heard our earliest cry,
 Will shelter one of stranger race.
 We go, but ere we go from home,
 As down the garden walks I move,
 Two spirits of a diverse love
 Contend for loving masterdom.
- 40. If this great world of joy and pain
 Revolve in one sure track;
 If freedom set will rise again,
 And virtue flown come back;
 Woe to the purblind crew who fill
 The heart with each day's care;
 Nor gain, from past or future, skill
 To bear and to forbear.
- 41. In such a place as this, at such an hour,
 If ancestry in aught can be believed,
 Descending spirits have conversed with man,
 And told the secrets of the world unknown.

- 42. Those who reason in this manner do not observe that they are setting up a general rule, of all the least to be endured; namely, that secreey, whenever secreey is practicable, will justify any action.
 - 43. To thine own self be true,
 And it must follow, as the night the day,
 Thou canst not then be false to any man.
- 44. Being angry with one who controverts an opinion which you value, is a necessary consequence of the uneasiness which you feel.
 - 45. This is the state of man; to-day he puts forth
 The tender leaves of hope; to-morrow blossoms,
 And bears his blushing honours thick upon him;
 The third day comes a frost, a killing frost,
 And when he thinks, good easy man, full surely
 His greatness is a-ripening, nips his root,
 And then he falls, as I do.
 - 46. Wide through the landscape of his dream
 The lordly Niger flowed;
 Beneath the palm-trees on the plain
 Once more a king he strode,
 And heard the tinkling caravans
 Descend the mountain road.
 - 47. What stronger breast-plate than a heart untpinted? Thrice is he armed, that hath his quarrel just; And he but naked, though locked up in steel, Whose conscience with injustice is corrupted.
 - 43. Deaf to King Robert's threats and cries and prayers,
 They thrust him from the hall and down the stairs;
 A group of tittering pages ran before,
 And, as they opened wide the folding-door,
 His heart failed, for he heard, with strange alarms,
 The boisterous laughter of the men-at-arms,
 And all the vaulted chamber roar and ring,
 With the mock plaudits of 'Long live the king.'
 - 49. But when the sun was sinking in the sea
 He seized his harp, which he at times could string
 And strike, albeit with untaught melody,
 When deem'd he no strange ear was listening:
 And now his fingers o'er it he did fling,
 And tuned his farewell in the dim twilight.
 - 50. They heard, and were abashed, and up they sprung, Upon the wing, as when men wont to watch On duty, sleeping found by whom they dread, Rouse and bestir themselves ere well awake.

- 51. So cheered he his fair spouse, and she was cheer'd;
 But silently a gentle tear let fall
 From either eye, and wip'd them with her hair;
 Two other precious drops that ready stood,
 Each in their crystal sluice, he ere they fell
 Kiss'd, as the gracious signs of sweet remoise
 And pious awe, that feared to have offended.
- 52. Hadst thou but shook thy head, or made a pause, When I spake darkly what I purposed; Or turn'd an eye of doubt upon my face, As bid me tell my tale in express words; Deep shame had struck me dumb, made me break off, And those thy fears might have wrought fears in me.
- The battle hung; till Satan, who that day
 Prodigious power had shown, and met in arms
 No equal, ranging through the dire attack
 Of fighting seraphim confused, at length
 Saw where the sword of Michael smole, and felled
 Squadrons at once.
- 54. Long time they thus together traveiled, Till, weary of their way, they came at last, Where grew two goodly trees, that faire did spred. Their armes abroad, with gray mosse overcast; And their greene leaves trembling with every blast, Made a calme shadow far in compasse round.
- Their murmuring labours ply
 Gainst graver hours, that bring constraint
 To sweeten liberty,
 Some bold adventurers disdain
 The limits of their little reign
 And unknown regions dare descry:
 Still as they run they look behind,
 They hear a voice in every wind
 And snatch a fearful joy.
- 56. Though a scholar must have faith in his master, yet a man well instructed must judge for himself; for learners owe to their masters only a temporary belief, and a suspension of their own judgment till they are fully instructed, and not an absolute resignation or perpetual captivity.

- 67. Fame is the spur that the clear spirit doth raise, That last infirmity of noble mind, To scorn delights and live laborious days, But the fair guerdon when we hope to find And think to burst out into sudden blaze Comes the blind Fury with th' abhorred shears And slits the thin-spun life.
- 58. Since words are only names for things, it would be more convenient for all men to carry about them such things as are necessary to express the particular business they are to discourse on.
 - Bless'd are those
 Whose blood and judgment are so well commingled,
 That they are not a pipe for fortune's finger
 To sound what stop she please. Give me that man
 That is not passion's slave, and I will wear him
 In my heart's core, ay, in my heart of heart,
 As I do thee.
- 60. Dangerous it were for the feeble brain of man to wade far into the doings of the Most High; whom although to know be life, and joy to make mention of His name, yet our soundest knowledge is to know that we know Him not as indeed He is, neither can know Him.

on ESSAY-WRITING.

CONTENTS.

		r	AGB
٤.	Elements of an Essay	•	303
3.	Vocabulary		304
3.	Choice of a Subject		305
4.	Advantages of an Outline	,	305
5.	Qualities of Style		306
6.	I. Grammatica: Correctness		306
7.	II. Cleamess		307
8.	Preciosity		308
9.	Parenthetic Style		309
10.	Allusiveness		310
11.	Simplicity		311
12.	III. Brevity		311
13.	Length of Sentences		312
14.	IV. Force		313
15.	Periodic Sentences		313
16.	Impressive Opening and Conclusion		315
17.	Adjectives in excess		316
ι8.	Bombast		316
19.	Italics		317
10.	V. Charm	•	317
21.	Arrogance		318
22.	Pomposity	•	319
23 .	Jokes		319
24.	Vulgarity: Slang		319
25.	Fine Writing		320
26.	Patchwork		321
27.	Quotations		322
28.	Pedantry		32 3
29.	Imitation of other Writers		32 3
	Subjects for Essays		326

ON ESSAY-WRITING.

1. Elements of an Essay. The following pages contain precepts for the guidance of pupils who are studying how to write well, not a scientific exposition of the principles on which good writing depends.

Composition is an Art. Every essay is a product of Art, and like other products of Art it possesses Matter and Form. Its matter consists of thoughts, expressed in words. In a good essay good matter is presented in a good form. The matter is undoubtedly of greater importance than the form, yet a learned essayist may remain unread, because he lacks the ability to display his valuable materials in an attractive dress, while an unlearned essayist may meet the public taste, because of the appetising way in which his worthless materials are served up. The matter for your essays will increase in quantity and improve in quality with each addition to your knowledge. As your knowledge extends, you will get more ideas and a larger vocabulary with which to express them.

Now to knowledge there are no short cuts. In order to 'get understanding' three things are necessary. You must (1) read widely, (2) observe closely, (3) think over what you read and observe; and the last is the hardest of the three. So long as your reading is confined to reports of cricket and football matches, or to twaddling stories in magazines, you will make but a poor hand at any essay which requires much intelligence in its writer. If for a change you read now and then an essay by Goldsmith, or Macaulay, or

Thackeray, or Emerson, or Lowell, or Matthew Arnold, three results may be looked for: (1) you will add to your stock of ideas: (2) you will enlarge your vocabulary: (3) you will get a better notion of excellence of style than books about style will ever impart.

2. Vocabulary. To express your thoughts you need words, and to use words correctly you must understand their meanings. The vocabulary which serves for conversation is quite insufficient for literary purposes. In one of Lord Beaconsfield's novels a foreigner remarks that English is an expressive language, but not difficult to master, as it consists of four words, - 'nice,' 'jolly,' 'charming,' and 'bore,' to which some grammarians add 'fond.' Good reading is therefore indispensable, if you are ever to get the vocabulary wanted for good writing. Making lists of synonyms is also a useful exercise. Take, for example, the word useful in the last sentence and put down all the adjectives of like meaning that occur to you, e.g. beneficial, serviceable, profitable, advantageous. Note also that the synonymous words cannot invariably be interchanged. Thus, we can say that the horse is a useful animal, but not that it is a beneficial or an advantageous animal.

Make sure that you understand the meaning of your words. Dogberry and Mrs Malaprop exemplify the dangers which attend the use of long words by the illiterate. The authoress who wrote of 'lapses from ebriety' doubtless thought that as *incbricty* means intoxication *ebriety* must mean the opposite.

A writer may easily avoid gross errors of this sort and yet use words in a sense not strictly their own. Thus aggravate is commonly used for 'exasperate,' though rightly it means 'intensify.' You aggravate an offence if you add insult to injury, but you cannot properly be said to aggravate the person offended. A man's calling or business in life is

his vocation: what takes him away from his business is his avocation. For boys and girls at school, study is the vocation, games are the avocation. Unfortunately the words are now commonly used as if synonymous. The literal sense of eliminate is 'to turn out of doors,' 'to expel.' Yet people talk of 'eliminating the truth' when they mean, not getting rid of the truth, but eliciting it or drawing it forth. The words decimate, individual, mutual, verbal, are used more often than not with like inaccuracy.

- 3. Choice of a subject. If you are allowed a choice between several subjects for an essay, choose the subject of which you know most and on which you are therefore likely to write best. A Descriptive essay on Dogs must be within the reach of everybody. A Narrative essay on Nelson is within the reach of those who know the principal facts of Nelson's life. A Reflective essay on the aphorism, 'Knowledge is Power,' or on the question, 'Can Persecution be defended?' is within the reach only of those who can think for themselves.
- 4. Advantages of an Outline. Having chosen your subject, spend a portion of the allotted time (say one-sixth) in making an outline of your essay, so as to secure (1) Orderly arrangement of the materials, and (2) Suitable proportion between the parts. The signs by which you mark, in this preliminary sketch, the divisions of the subject, such as I. 1. (a) etc., are for your own guidance and not for reproduction in the essay, to which they would give the appearance of a scientific treatise. The outline will also be (3) a Safeguard against Digression, for which in a short essay there is properly no room. Keep to the point. If your subject is Railways, a passing reference to

¹ See Hodgson's Errors in the Use of English, a storehouse of information about the uses and abuses of words.

Stage-coaches and Motor-cars, for the purpose of contrast, is appropriate, but if your pen runs away with you and three-fourths of your pages are filled with a picturesque description of travelling by stage-coach or by motor-car, your essay misses its mark.

- 5. Qualities of Style. Aim at expressing your thoughts in language which is
 - (1) Correct grammatically,
 - (2) Clear,
 - (3) Condensed,
 - (4) Forcible,
 - (5) Pleasing.

If your composition possesses the qualities of Correctness, Clearness, Brevity, Force, and Charm, you may not indeed be a master of style, but you will certainly be a good writer.

There is something to be said about each of these five requisites.

- 6. I. Grammatical Correctness. Illustrations of the principal errors of syntax are given in the paragraphs mentioned below. Refresh your memory from time to time by a reference to then.
- § 234. Erroneous application of the Participial adjunct. See other examples in § 246. This is one of the commonest pitfalls in Periodic sentences.
- § 250. Constructions in connexion with the Distributive Pronouns and nouns of similar import, they, their, &c., being used with reference to every, each, anyone, nobody, &c. Instances often occur in practice which are not so easily dealt with as are the examples of the text. Consider the following sentences:
- 'The duke and the duchess quarrelled, and each thought himself or herself (themselves) in the right.'
- 'Although the room was full of men and women, nobody troubled himself or herself (themselves) to utter a protest.'
- 'No man, woman, or child should be deprived of his, her, or its (their) rights.'

The italicised forms are intolerable: the alternatives in brackets are unfortunately not grammatical. If we had an Academy, these ungrammatical but convenient forms might receive the sanction of authority. In the absence of this authority the safest course is to avoid such constructions altogether. It is interesting to notice that the form of expression sometimes varies according to the sex of the writer. A man writes, 'Every one knows that his life is uncertain,' understanding that his includes her, as it might do in an Act of Parliament. A woman writes, 'Every one knows that their life is uncertain.'

- § 251. Erroneous uses of Comparative and Superlative.
- § 253. Ambiguity in the use of the Article.
- § 254. Misuse of like 25 a conjunction: a most offensive error, to which people in the Midlands especially are addicted.
- § 260. Problems connected with the Concord of the Verb and errors of Concord arising from Attraction.
 - § 273. Errors due to Ellipsis.
 - § 177. Violation of idiom in the use of Prepositions.
 - P. 266, Q. 19. The 'split' Infinitive.

Among the Questions which follow Chapters xxIII—xxvI, you will find upwards of 200 sentences for correction, illustrating errors into which even great writers have fallen. If you employ a form of expression which is condemned as ungrammatical at the present day, it is no valid defence to urge that Pope, or Swift, or Addison used the same. For, in the first place, usage (which really decides what is and what is not grammatical) may have changed during the last two centuries, and, in the second place, a great writer occasionally makes a slip. A mathematician may add up a column in his cash-book wrong, but his doing so does not invalidate the rules of arithmetic.

7. II. Clearness: accuracy of expression needed. It is better that you should give yourself a good deal of trouble to express your meaning clearly than that you should give the reader a good deal of trouble to discover what your meaning is.

Be careful in using pronouns that the reference is free from ambiguity. Obscurity often lurks in paragraphs where he, tt, they, who, which abound. You need not be afraid of repeating the noun. Johnson

always repeated the noun instead of writing the former and the latter. To what is said upon this point in §§ 257-9, a caution must be added respecting the ambiguous reference of who and which, 'the sin of whichcraft,' as it has been called. To avoid ambiguity it is sometimes wise to alter the construction of the sentence. Thus, in the following sentence, 'He might have increased his popularity by yielding to their request, which would have gained for him also the gratitude of the government,' the antecedent in the writer's mind was yielding, not request. The ambiguity is removed if for which we solutious 'Such a course,' or 'His so doing.' Again, in the sentence, 'This is one of the books which deserve a place in a library, to which recourse is often necessary,' does the second 'which' refer to 'books' or to 'hbrary'? If to 'books,' instead of to which write 'for to them.'

The misplacement of only should be guarded against: see § 268.

8. Preciosity. One may write with grammatical accuracy, use the pronouns without ambiguity, even put only in the right place, and yet produce obscurity owing to the adoption of a radically vicious style. From the desire for originality or effect, some writers take great pains to express their thoughts in a manner as far removed as possible from the manner of ordinary men. Hence they cultivate fastidiousness of expression and twist words from their usual signification with an elaborate ingenuity worthy of a better aim.

Preciosity is the name given to this unwholesome quality of style¹. Like measles it is a malady for the most part incidental to youth. In one of the *Bab Ballads* there is a couplet which pokes fun at Martin Tupper, a poet now forgotten:

"A fool is bent upon a twig, but wise men dread a bandit,"
Which I knew was very clever, but I didn't understand it."

On much of the writing infected with Preciosity a plain man will pass a similar judgment,—'It seemed extremely clever, but I didn't understand it,'—not without a suspicion

¹ Molière's Les Precieuses Ridicules (1659) was directed against the charmed circle which met at the Hôtel de Rambouillet.

sometimes that the style has been deliberately obscured in order to hide the poverty of the thoughts.

As an example of Preciosity take the following criticism on an eminent novelist of a past generation:

Hers was an apocalyptic gift of psychological fiction, free from the fetters of convention, deaf to the resonant rotundity of rule, ignoring the clamant importantly of whatever is banal, and depicting with curious felicity all that is bisarre and recherché in human personality.

Precious words indeed! Yet so far as they mean anything at all they mean merely this, that she possessed insight into character, handled her materials in a way of her own, and had a happy knack of describing odd people.

9. Parenthetic Style. Another vicious style is the Parenthetic. Some writers repeatedly interrupt the progress of their sentences by inserting parenthetes and parenthetic qualifying words, fancying that they are thereby giving to their writing the ease of conversation. The only kind of conversation which their sentences suggest is conversation with a confirmed stammerer. Simplifying an expression by removing the brackets is an operation that should be confined to algebra and not made necessary in literature.

Let us illustrate the peculiarity.

Johnson concludes his Life of Swift as follows:

'It was said, in a Preface to one of the Irish editions, that Swift had never been known to take a single thought from any writer, ancient or modern. This is not literally true; but perhaps no other writer can easily be found that has borrowed so little, or that in all his excellences and all his defects has so well maintained his claim to be considered as original.'

We will now rewrite the passage in the Parenthetical style:

'That Swift had never been known to take a single thought from any other writer, ancient or modern [the assertion is made (to the best of our recollection) in a Preface to one of the editions published (we believe) in Ireland], is not true (or at any rate not literally true), but perhaps another writer will not be found {or [if found at all (and we

will not assert that this is impossible)] will be found certainly not without considerable difficulty} who has berrowed so little, or who in all his excellences (which must be admitted to be great) and all his defects (probably not fewer and possibly even more numerous than his excellences) has on the whole so well (if we take all the circumstances into consideration) maintained his claim to be regarded in large measure as original.'

A single paragraph written in this hiccoughing style is fatiguing: a whole essay would be unreadable.

10. Allusiveness. Excessive Allusiveness is the bane of some writers.

Take the following statement:

'Macaulay and Carlyle formed different estimates of Boswell's character.'

Adopting the allusive style, we may transcribe the sentence thus:

'The author of the Lays of Ancient Rome and the Sage of Chelsea formed different estimates of the character of the man to whom we owe the best biography in the English language.'

Now a writer's object is to be read, and this object he is not likely to gain, if he irritates, annoys, or disgusts those who might possibly be his readers. On the sentence thus transcribed a plain man's criticism would probably be to this effect: 'It is no business of yours to require me to guess conundrums, or to answer questions in a General Knowledge Paper. If you mean Macaulay, Carlyle, and Boswell, why not say so?'

It is only allusiveness in excess however that is to be condemned. Occasional allusiveness, if appropriate, is a pleasant and useful feature of style. If, for example, you are maintaining that Macaulay is a true poet, and you say, 'The author of the Lays of Ancient Rome is a true poet,' your circumlocution suggests an argument. The reader understands you to imply, 'That Macaulay is a true poet is proved by his Lays of Ancient Rome.' Again, if you say,

'The man who wrote the best biography in the English language was no simpleton,' the reader understands you to mean, 'Boswell was no simpleton: had he been one he could never have written the best biography in the English language.' Once more, if you say, 'The Sage of Chelsea should have changed his quarters, since the noises of the neighbourhood disturbed his peace,' you remind the reader that Carlyle, as a philosopher, would need quiet for meditation, and that as a resident in London he would be surrounded by noises. Thus in each of these three sentences the use of the circumlocution is justified. With regard to the last, however, note that the phrase 'Sage of Chelsea' has passed into the vocabulary of third-rate journalists and should therefore be dropped.

11. Simplicity. Keep your compositions free from mannerisms and tricks of style. Be simple and natural, both in the choice of your words and in the construction of your sentences. Avoid whatever is far-fetched and suggestive of pedantry. Some people like to show off by writing banal, phenomenal, oblivious and eventuate. It is much better to write commonplace, extraordinary, forgetful and happen. Simplicity is a protection against Obscurity. If your language is simple, your meaning stands a good chance of being clear.

But simplicity of style can be carried so far that it degenerates into mere childishness. A child will tell you a story in this fashion:

'A fox saw some grapes in a vineyard one day. And they were very nice grapes. So he tried to reach them. But he couldn't, because he wasn't tall enough. So at last he gave up trying, and said, "Never mind, I daresay the grapes are sour."

Prattle of this kind is pleasing in the nursery, but unsuitable for an essay.

12. III. Brevity. When Brevity is recommended,

you are not to suppose that you are advised to make your essay short. The length of your essay should depend on the amount of matter which you have to put into it. In any case of course it is Quality and not Quantity that affects its excellence. But if the subject is a large one, the shortness of an essay may be due to the writer's ignorance, or to his want of reflexion. The brevity to be aimed at is brevity due to condensation. Avoid wordiness and longwindedness. Polonius asks Hamlet, 'What do you read, my lord?' 'Words, words, words,' says Hamlet. 'Words, words, words,' grumbles the reader, as he turns the pages of an author, fluent and diffuse.

Try to put your points tersely. Drive them home by the clearness and directness of your sentences. Any force which they might otherwise have will only be frittered away by verbosity and prolixity. In public speaking a certain amount of repetition is necessary, for if some of the audience miss a point, unless it is repeated they lose it altogether. But the reader who misses a point always has it in his power to look back a page or two and refresh his memory.

13. Length of Sentences. Is Brevity to be aimed at also in the construction of sentences? Are short sentences better than long sentences?

We reply that both are good and that, to prevent monotony of style, a good writer will use both. The style of an author who writes nothing but short sentences may be described, by an expressive colloquialism, as 'snippety. The style of an author who writes nothing but long sentences becomes ponderous and wearisome. A judicious writer will occasionally insert a short sentence between two long ones, or with a weighty long sentence conclude a series of short ones. But while your essay-writing is at an early stage, you will do well to aim at making your sentences short. Your short sentences will probably be grammatical: in your long

ones, the end of the sentence may sometimes forget the beginning and the result will be a muddle. Tradesmen's circulars and letters in provincial newspapers show, from the tangled construction of their contents, that half-educated people find it easier to write a long sentence ungrammatically than to write a short sentence in good English.

Break up your composition into paragraphs. Whether the paragraphs should be long or short must depend upon the amount of matter properly belonging to each. When you have dealt with one branch of the subject and pass to another, begin a new paragraph, so as to show the reader that the topic is about to be changed.

14. IV. Force. If your composition is correct, clear, and condensed, it possesses three excellent qualities, and nobody is entitled to say that you are a bad writer. Yet you may be dull and heavy, and if you are dull and heavy you may be quite sure that, in the present busy age, nobody will stop to read you. Try therefore to express your meaning, not only correctly, clearly and briefly, but also forcibly, or, as we sometimes say, with point. Are there any expedients, you may ask, for arresting the reader's attention?

The endeavours of a writer dull by nature to acquire vivacity of style by adopting various literary devices remind one of the German gentleman who was discovered jumping over the drawing-room chairs pour devenir vif. Sometimes, however, a composition is dull, not because it reflects the temperament of the writer, but because the writer was inexperienced in using just those literary devices to impart energy, strength and vivacity to his style.

15. Periodic Sentences. The occasional use of Periodic Sentences is one device for adding force to a composition. In a Periodic sentence the reader's attention is kept on the alert, because the sense is not completed

until the end of the sentence is reached (i.e. until we come to the 'Period,' or full-stop).

Suppose that a master says to a boy, 'If you bring me fifty lines before dinner, you may go to cricket this afternoon.' The boy, not knowing how the sentence is going to end, waits with interest for its close. Now suppose that the master says, 'You may go to cricket this afternoon, if you bring me fifty lines before dinner,' reversing the order of the clauses. The boy is relieved of anxiety when the sentence is only half finished: about a possible remainder he feels indifferent. The first form is Periodic: the second is not.

Take two other short examples:

'While the magistrates were deliberating about the punishment which they should inflict, the prisoner escaped.'

'Though they offered me five pounds, I would not tell them the

name of my informant.'

These are Periodic sentences and the reader's attention is kept on the stretch to the end. Make them non-periodic, by transposing the clauses, and the reader's attention becomes less keen, when he is half way through.

Here is a longer example:

'Roughly handled by the head master | who suspected him of dishonourable conduct | of which he had never been guilty, || frowned upon in the family circle | where his depressed manner was attributed to ill-temper, || treated with cold politeness by those who had once been his chums, || insulted by the baser crew among his schoolfellows, || and deliberately cut by the rest, || the boy led an unhappy life.'

This Periodic sentence keeps the reader in suspense to its close. Now place the words, 'The boy led an unhappy life,' at the beginning, thus converting the form of the sentence to non-periodic. Mark the result. Although the sentence goes dribbling on with a long series of participial adjuncts, it might very well come to an end at any of the places where we have inserted a bar.

One danger to which in a long Periodic sentence you

are exposed has already been mentioned. Absorbed in the accumulation of participial adjuncts, you may easily forget the noun to which they properly refer. Thus, in the last example, you might conclude with the words, 'the boy's life was an unhappy one.' But it was the boy, not the boy's life, that was roughly handled, frowned upon, treated with cold politeness, insulted and cut. This mistake could hardly occur, if the sentence began with the words for which, in the Periodic form, we have to wait till we reach the end.

Periodic sentences introduced in moderation give variety and add force to a composition. When many of them are crowded together, they suggest artificiality, or, what is worse, pomposity.

16. Impressive Opening and Conclusion. Try at any rate to begin and to finish your essay with an effective sentence. How impressively Bacon sometimes opens an essay, with a sentence which comes down like the blow of a hammer! Thus, writing on Truth, he starts with the words, 'What is Truth? said jesting Pilate'; on Death, 'Men fear death as children fear to go in the dark'; on Marriage, 'He that bath wife and children hath given hostages to fortune': on Gardens, 'God Almighty first planted a garden.'

Avoid the conventional opening, dear to the young essayist: 'Few subjects are more interesting and important than'...whatever the subject in hand may be,—perhaps Punctuality, or Crocodiles, or Lawn Tennis, or The British Museum. The first sentence of an essay is often the most difficult to write. You may sometimes make a good start with a quotation. Thus, if your subject is Procrastination, you may begin, '"Delays are dangerous," says the proverb,' or,

'There is a tide in the affairs of men, Which, taken at the flood, leads on to fortune.'

17. Adjectives in excess. For adding force to his sentences, a good writer employs many expedients. These are for the most part so subtle that they cannot be taught by simple rules. Your best way of acquiring them is to take note of an impressive sentence, when you meet with one, and to consider why it impresses you. A caution may be useful, however, against the employment of certain devices which are often unwisely employed to produce effect.

Many writers think that they add force to their sentences by increasing the number of their adjectives, until every noun marches with its adjective at its heels. So far from the force being increased by this expedient, the force is diminished. As a great critic remarked long ago, 'The adjective is the enemy of the noun.'

Let us illustrate the point.

Junius writes:

'They are still base enough to encourage the follies of your age, as they once did the vices of your youth.'

This is effective. But many a modern journalist, having read the sentence, would exclaim, 'What, no adjectives!' and would bring Junius up to present-day standards in this fashion:

'They are still base enough to encourage the consummate follies of your unhonoured age, as they once did the egregious vices of your callow youth.'

By these adjectival embellishments the force of the original passage has been entirely destroyed.

18. Bombast. Avoid Bombast. It is not always easy to say exactly where a writer takes the false step which leads from sublime rhetoric to ridiculous bombast. What is magnificent in a suitable context may become absurd in humbler surroundings. The elevated diction of poetry

seems grotesque when employed in the service of ordinary prose.

The following passage is open to hardly any objection on the score of the words. It contains but little Fine Writing, in the sense in which that term of reproach is commonly used. Yet we feel that it is inflated, pompous, what in the United States people call 'highfalutin.' Hence it is not likely to please readers of taste.

'The long-deferred message of hope brings gladness to the heart, just as the sun, shining forth, after a protracted season of unsettled weather, in all his gorgeous brilliance, dispels with golden rays the clouds and mists from the sky, causing the birds to break forth in song, the trees of the forest to rejoice, and the fields to clothe themselves in floral splendour.'

- 19. Italics. To underline those words which you consider important is a poor device. Try to construct your sentences so as to lay the stress where the stress is required. The use of italics is no compliment either to yourself or to your reader. It implies that you think one of two things: either that you are so devoid of literary skill that you cannot produce an impression on the reader without employing a mechanical device, or that the reader is so devoid of intelligence that, in order to produce an impression on his mind, a mechanical device is required.
- 20. V. Charm. We come now to the last of the five qualities by which a good style is characterised. You were told to aim at expressing your thoughts in language which shall be pleasing to the reader. If in this endeavour you attain a high degree of success, your composition will be said to possess Beauty, or Grace, or Charm.

Now it is evident that, if it was a difficult matter to give a pupil rules for investing his style with Force, still more difficult must it be to give him rules for investing his style with a quality so elusive and hard to analyse as Beauty or

Charm. To write so as to give pleasure to people of refined taste, the writer must himself be a person of refined taste, and refined taste requires a good many years for its development. You cannot be taught how to make your essays charming any more than you can be taught how to make them witty. But although it is impossible to lay down positive precepts for the attainment of this end, saying, 'Do this and you will make your style charming,' it is quite possible to lay down negative precepts, saying, 'Do not do this, for, if you do, you will offend against the canons of good taste and banish all charm, grace, beauty and capacity for giving pleasure from your style.' Let us consider some of the things which, in a composition, are offences against good taste.

21. Arrogance. Purge your character of Arrogance. When arrogance infests a man's nature it also infects his style. Theodore Hook went up one day to a consequential-looking gentleman and said, 'Pray, sir, are you anybody in particular?' There are a good many contemporary writers to whom one would like to put Hook's question.

Exceptional arrogance is displayed in the following remarks on Thackeray:

'That he possesses humour of a sort we should be the last to deny, but the vein is soon exhausted. Lack of imaginative range and prolixity of common-place reflexion are his essential characteristics. With regret we are obliged to refuse him a place among great writers, but truth is our paramount consideration, and such must be our verdict.'

On one occasion when Johnson's critical taste had been outraged, he relieved his feelings by ejaculating, 'Puppy!'

Think modestly and express yourself modestly, especially when you are writing about great men. Check any tendency to flippancy, pertness, or smartness of expression. Think reverently and express yourself reverently, when you are writing on subjects connected with morality or religion.

- 22. Pomposity. Avoid Pomposity. This sometimes arises, not from arrogance, but from shyness, or from awkwardness, or from the want of a sense of humour. Keep your essay free from such expressions as, 'I have yet to learn,' or 'All history teaches.' When he comes across language of this sort, the judicious reader smiles and thinks that you have probably a good many things yet to learn and that, whatever all history teaches, you have not yet been taught all history.
- 23. Jokes. Refrain from introducing jokes into your essay. Perhaps you think yourself rather a wag and ask why you should not make yourself amusing. But jokes so seldom are amusing, and nothing is drearier than a joke which fails to go off. If you indulge in a little mild irony, give the reader credit for intelligence enough to detect it without the assistance of the symbols (!) or (?) or italics. Thus, if in your facetious description of a thunderstorm you say, 'It is extremely pleasant (?) for the pedestrian to feel the rain coming through the garment which he bought last week as a waterproof (!) and to realise that, if the downpour goes on much longer, he will get home swimmingly,' remove the typographical aids to the discovery of your jests. The reader will be much better pleased if he finds out your fun for himself, and even if he misses it his loss will not be great.
- 24. Vulgarity: Slang. Avoid Vulgarity in all its forms. In an essay Slang is vulgar, though not necessarily vulgar in conversation. To say of a man that he is a prig, or a smug, or a bounder, or a bore, is to describe him in one word more effectively than could be done in a circumlocution requiring twenty, and no one but a precisian of painful propriety would object to the use of these terms in the freedom of everyday speech. But all persons of taste

will agree in banishing them from a serious composition. With even greater rigour must you exclude from an essay words of which the ordinary meaning has been perverted to a slang sense, such as auful, for 'very,' ripping, for 'agreeable,' row, for 'quarrel,' and phrases such as 'keep one's hair on,' 'get in a wax,' 'put on side,' etc.

There is, of course, much slang which is vulgar and silly, not only in an essay, but in all places and at all times.

25. Fine Writing. Fine Writing is a form of Vulgarity, though it probably arose from a mistaken notion on the part of half-educated people that vulgarity lay rather in using the common words of everyday life. Specimens of Fine Writing abound in country newspapers, among the items of local intelligence and the letters to the editor For convenience one may call this style Journalese, but the term does grave injustice to journalists as a class. On the staff of many of our leading papers there are journalists who deserve to take rank with the best writers of the day.

The Penny-a-liner will contribute to the provincial paper a column of paragraphs in this style:

'After assisting at the function in the Town Hall, the Duke partook of refreshments with the civic dignitaries in the Council Chamber.'

'The erection of Mr Smith's new emporium, in proximity to the

Parish Church, is rapidly approaching completion.'

'We regret to announce a disastrous fatality which transpired yesterday afternoon. The Mayor was proceeding to his residence on his bicycle, when he was precipitated from his machine and sustained a fractured leg.'

Translating these statements from Journalese we should write:

- 'The Duke was present at the meeting in the Town Hall and afterwards lunched with the Mayor and Corporation in the Council Chamber.'
 - 'Mr Smith's new shop near the Parish Church is almost finished.'
- 'We are sorry to say that the Mayor met with a bad accident yesterday afternoon. As he was riding home, he fell from his bicycle and broke his leg.'

26. Patchwork. Another irritating form of Vulgarity is the frequent use of hackneyed quotations and allusions, or of well-worn Latin and French phrases. Such expressions as the following are entitled to a century of repose: after that interval they may come out fresh:—'the cup that cheers,' 'last but not least,' 'few and far between,' 'the light fantastic toe,' 'born to blush unseen,' 'thereby hangs a tale,' 'coign of vantage.'

Allusions to Oliver Twist's request 'for more,' to Mr Micawber's expectation that something would 'turn up,' and to Mr Dick's difficulty in keeping King Charles's head out of the memorial, should be strictly prohibited. Perhaps Bruce's spider, Mrs Partington's mop, and Macaulay's New Zealander on London Bridge may as well go along with them.

Some writers are so fond of exhibiting their scraps of Latin and French that their composition becomes a piece of polyglot. The following importations from abroad should be avoided, some because they are hackneyed, others because they are unnecessary, convenient equivalents of English origin being available: tempora mutantur, mens sana in corpore sano, experientia docet, nil desperandum, otium cum dignitate, dolce far niente, nous avons changé tout cela, comme il faut, nous verrons, entre nous, bétise, canaille, de nouveau, etc.

If, however, the foreign phrase or word expresses succinctly what we should have to express in English by a long circumlocution, or might even be unable to express at all, its use is justified. Thus, to the following, objection would be pedantic: ad hominem, ad captandum, ex parte, lucus a non lucendo, sine die, ne plus ultra, sic vos non vobis, éclat, esprit, coup d'état, canard, bizarre, chic, arrière pensée, prestige, naiveté, etc.

If you introduce a word from another language, be careful to spell it correctly. Write sobriquet, à outrance,

322 ELEMENTS OF ENGLISH GRAMMAR.

coûte que coûte, not soubriquet, à l'outrance, coute qui coute. The plural of scandalum magnatum is not scandalum magnata, as some writers have supposed. If you wish to mention more than one animalculum, write animalcules in English, or animalcula in Latin, but not animalculæ, which is neither Latin nor English.

27. Quotations. It is only from stale Quotations that you are recommended to abstain. Quotations which are fairly fresh and apposite are always welcome. In reading a contemporary author, it is sometimes a pleasure if a quotation carries one's thoughts away to Pope, or Shakespeare, or Horace. It is an unusual pleasure moreover to find that a young writer knows any good literature from which to quote, and in the present utilitarian age it is a further pleasure to find that he can quote Latin. Quote therefore without fear, taking care only that your quotations are to the point and that they are correct. Write with Pope,

'A little learning is a dangerous thing,'

'A little knowledge is a dangerous thing,'

which indeed is not true and which Pope never wrote.

Note that Hamlet speaks of

'The undiscovered country from whose bourn No traveller returns.'

In popular misquotation this becomes 'the bourn from which no traveller returns.'

Prior's words are,

'Fine by degrees and beautifully less,'

not 'small by degrees,' as people commonly represent.
Write,

'Sunt bona, sunt quædam mediocria, sunt mala plura,' following Martial, not sunt plura mala, an arrangement

which is open to the twofold objection that the line fails to scan and that, if it scanned, *plura mala* would mean 'many apples,' a meaning which was not in the author's mind.

Quotations should bubble up spontaneously from a well-stored memory. If on the one hand they must not be too hackneyed, on the other hand they must not be too recondite. With Horace and Virgil we are supposed to have a nodding acquaintance, but if you quote Lucretius or Persius, we fancy that you have been digging up passages and storing them for the purpose of quotation, and we smell Pedantry.

Pedantry. A pedant is a man who lays great stress on the knowledge of small points and likes to parade his learning. By his pedantry an author sometimes robs his style of grace and charm. Pedantry drives men in one direction to choose big words of classical origin in place of simple words of native origin, and the result is Journalese. Pedantry drives men in the opposite direction to use a vocabulary exclusively English, and accordingly they revive words which have long been obsolete in ordinary speech. They begin their books with a Foreword, which other people call a Preface, and they sprinkle their pages with whilom, eftsoons, fordo, methinks, nathless, sheen, ween, yclept, and Pedantry drives men in yet another direction to the like. adopt Gallicisms, imposing on English words French idioms or French meanings. Thus they write, 'The window gives upon the street'; 'The Mayor assisted at the dinner'; 'This goes without saying'; 'His motives are very much in evidence': 'He exploited their patriotic feelings for his own advertisement.'

All these forms of pedantry are affectation. Avoid affectation.

29. Imitation of other writers. Some authorities on Composition tell you that you must on no account try

to imitate the style of other writers, for to do so would be insincere. you must 'be yourself.' The soundness of this advice seems open to question. The handwriting of nine people out of ten possesses more character than their style, but we do not therefore tell boys and girls to 'be themselves' and to scribble as they please. On the contrary, when they are at school we give them copy-books. In spite of their early instruction, when they are grown up, there is something distinctive about the handwriting of each. All may write good hands and yet all the hands are different.

Now you compose essays in the hope of improving your mode of expression, and if you can improve your mode of expression by copying the style of somebody else, the only point of importance is to make sure that you choose a good model. If you copy the mannerisms of a style which has marked mannerisms, you will make yourself ridiculous.

Fifty years ago there were literary giants in the land. Carlyle, Dickens, Macaulay, Thackeray, were prose writers of genius, but the mannerisms of Carlyle and Dickens made their style quite unfit for imitation. Yet literary pygmies, long since forgotten, tried hard to imitate these two giants, writing epileptic sentences which were conceived to be in the manner of Carlyle, and reproducing in an exaggerated form the faults of Dickens. Those who followed Macaulay as their model fared better. Much good writing at the present day is due to the influence of Macaulay. Yet in the hands of many of his imitators his style degenerated into what Matthew Arnold called 'middle-class Macaulayese,' the defects of which are painfully obvious.

Imitate therefore no writer whose style, though it may strike you as clever or brilliant, is eccentric or deficient in good taste. Carlyle, Dickens, American Humourists, their English disciples, authors of the Precious or of the Parenthetic school, Impressionists, noisy writers, writers of Bombast,—admire them, enjoy them, if you like, but never try to copy them. If on the other hand your fancy is taken by a writer whose style is clear and pleasant and free from tricks, you will do well if you not only admire and enjoy but also imitate. For you are more likely to acquire the art of writing clearly and pleasantly by copying from a good model than by continuing to 'be yourself.'

326 ELEMENTS OF ENGLISH GRAMMAR.

SUBJECTS FOR ESSAYS.

r.	Dogs.	36.	Camping out.
2.	English wild birds.	37.	Cycling.
3.	A rookery.	38.	The first day at school.
4.	Wasps.	39.	School friendships.
5.	Bees.	40.	School punishments.
6.	Butterflies.	41.	Holiday tasks.
7.	Wild flowers.	43.	The Bully.
8.	A garden.	43-	The choice of a profession.
9.	Ferns.	44.	Bores.
10.	Trees.	45.	Stupid people.
ı.	A country walk.	46.	Gipsies.
13.	Clouds.	47.	Money.
13.	Sunrise.	48.	'Time is money.' .
14.	Twilight.	49.	Responsibilities of wealth.
15.	A backward Spring.	50.	Luxuries.
16.	April.	51.	Fashion.
17.	May Day.	52.	The Post-office.
18.	A summer night.	53.	The morning newspaper.
19.	A shower in summer.	54-	A railway-bookstall.
20.	Harvest.	55-	Railways.
21.	Winter.	56.	Strikes.
22.	A frosty morning.	57.	The rivalry of nations.
23.	How to pass a wet day.	58.	European disarmament.
24.	Moorland scenery.	59-	Patriotism.
25.	A storm at sea.	60.	Conscription.
26.	A sailor's life.	61.	School cadet corps.
27.	A Bank Holiday.	62.	Chivalry.
28.	An alarm of fire.	63.	Slavery.
29.	Shops.	64.	Puritanism.
30.	Games.	65.	What is civilisation?
31.	A cricket match.	66.	John Bull.
32.	A regatta.	67.	The British Constitution.
33.	Lawn-tennis.	68.	Trial by jury.

34. Compulsory football.
35. A day's fishing.
70. Party government.

	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		
71.	A general election.	111.	Music.
72.	Old-age pensions.	112.	Gambling.
73.	The future of England.	113.	Vivisection.
74.	'Trade follows the flag.'	114.	Popular superstitions.
75.	Technical education.	115.	Ghosts.
76.	Free Libraries.	116.	Astrology.
77.	'Knowledge is power.'	117.	Fairy tales.
78.	International Exhibitions.	118.	l'arodies.
79.	Emigration.	119.	The influence of fiction.
8 0.	Intelligence of animals.	120.	Novels.
.18	Instinct and Reason.	121.	A favourite book.
82.	Memory.	122.	The pleasures of reading.
83.	Sleep.	123.	'The fairy tales of science.
84.	Solitude.	124.	Aerial navigation.
85.	Discontent.	125.	Telescopes.
86.	Cheerfulness.	126.	Photography.
87.	Sympathy.	127.	The invention of printing.
88.	Heroism.	128.	African explorers.
89.	Fortitude under reverses.	129.	Arctic exploration.
90.	Self-help.	130.	Ulysses.
91.	Observation.	131.	Alfred the Great.
92.	'Look before you leap.'	132.	Joan of Arc.
93.	'More haste less speed.'	133.	Sir Thomas More.
94	Punctuality.	134.	Nelson.
95.	Affectation.	135.	Sir John Franklin.
96.	Common sense.	136.	Tennyson.
97•	Competition.	137.	Ruskin.
98.	The force of example.	138.	The Siege of Troy.
99•	Home influences.	139.	The Spanish Armada.
100.	Good and bad habits.	I 40.	The Great Plague.
IOI.	Early rising.	141.	The French Revolution.
103.	Conversation.	142.	The English Lakes.
103.	Wit and humour.	143.	The Thames.
104.	Simple pleasures.	144.	Stonehenge.
105.	Hobbies.	145.	Westminster Abbey.
106.	Collecting postage-stamps,	146.	G, 1
107.	Gardening.	147.	The Nile.

148. The Suez Canal.

150. Johannesburg.

149. Vesuvius.

108. Castles in the air.

110. Private theatricals.

109. The Christmas pantomime.

328 ELEMENTS OF ENGLISH GRAMMAR.

- 151. Recent advances in the applications of electricity.
- 152. The coal supply of the world.
- 153. The qualities that make a great man.
- 154. Which do you consider the greatest of the Queens of England?
- 155. The inspiring influences of noble associations, corporate or local.
 - 156. The causes of England's preeminence as a colonising nation.
 - 157. Life in an English colony.
 - 158. Warfare in ancient and modern times.
 - 159. Is war an unmixed evil?
- 160. To be prepared for war is one of the most effectual means of preserving peace.
 - 161. Are people better off now than they were a century ago?
 - 162. Travelling-now and in the olden days.
- 163. The best way of spending a million pounds to benefit the poor of a large town.
 - 164. The value of a classical education.
 - 165. The gains and losses of spending holidays abroad.
 - 166. The disadvantages of mid-term holidays.
 - 167. The use and abuse of athletics.
 - 168. Your ideal of a happy life.
 - 169. Can persecution be defended?
 - 170. John Bunyan and his books.
 - 171. Sir Walter Scott as a novelist.
 - 172. A peem by Browning.
- 173. 'Some books are to be tasted, others to be swallowed, and some few to be chewed and digested.'
 - 174. 'Travel is a part of education.'
 - 175. 'Every man is the architect of his own fortune.'
 - 176. 'Necessity is the mother of invention.'
 - 177. 'Prevention is better than cure.'
 - 178. 'As the twig's bent the tree's inclined.'
 - 179. 'A little learning is a dangerous thing.'
 - 180. 'Sweet are the uses of adversity.'
 - 181. 'God made the country, and man made the town.'
 - 182. 'All that glisters is not gold.'
 - 183. 'A bird in the hand is worth two in the bush.'
 - 184. 'An ounce of sweete is worth a pound of sowre.'
 - 185. 'Conscience does make cowards of us all.'

- 186. 'The evil that men do lives after them.'
- 187. 'Teach thy tongue to say, I do not know.'
- 188. 'We live in deeds, not years.'
- 189. 'The old order changeth, yielding place to new.'
- 190. 'A lie which is half a truth is ever the blackest of lies.'
- 191. 'The world knows nothing of its greatest men.'
- 192. Duty, 'stern Daughter of the Voice of God.'
- 193. 'He prayeth well, who loveth well Both man and bird and beast.'
- 194. 'A man's reach should exceed his grasp,
 Or what's a heaven for?'
- 195. 'He is the irceman whom the Truth makes free,
 And all are slaves beside.'
- 196. 'Peace hath her victories
 Not less renowned than war.'
- 197. 'Full many a gem of purest ray serene The dark unfathom'd caves of ocean bear: Full many a flower is born to blush unseen, And waste its sweetness on the desert air.'
- 198. 'Evil is wrought by want of thought As well as want of heart.'
- 199. 'He that is truly wise and great Lives both too early and too late.'
- 'The heights, by great men reached and kept,
 Were not attained by sudden flight.'

INDEX.

A, impure, 47; feminine suffix, 82; and an, 107; form of on, 111; as prefix,	Antecedent to relative, 125; suppressed,
903	Any, 129
Abbess, 83	Apostrophe in possessive, 98
Absolute nominative, 231, erroneous use of participle in, 232, 240	Apposition, 233; possessive of nouns in, 98
Abstract nouns, 76	Archaic plural forms, 88
Accent, 51	Are, 172
Accidence, definition of, 66 Address, nominative of, 97, 231	Articles, 107; not a separate part of speech, 108; chief uses of, 109; syntax
Adjectives, definition of, 103; compared	of ₃ 244
with verbs, 104; classified, 104; used	Articulate sounds, 62
as nouns, 106; inflexion of, 112; not	Aryan race, original home of, 24: family
admitting comparison, 113; comparison	of languages, 24; its divisions, 26;
of, 114; suffixes forming, 202; followed	European languages outside, 27
by objective, 238, 245; used attribu-	As, relative pronoun, 129; adverb, syn-
tively and predicatively, 104, 241;	tax of, 272, 276
factitive use of, 241; agreement of,	'As follow' or 'As follows,' 273
241; order of, 246	Aspirates, 53
Adjective clauses, 194	Asyndeton, 276
Adjunct, attributive, 212: adverbial, 215	Attraction, 248, 251, 255
Adverbs, definition of, 179; classification	Attribute, 104; misuse of term, 251
of, 180; formation of, 181; used as	Attributive use of adjectives, 104, 241
adjectives, 183; as nouns, 190; show-	Aught, 129
ing case-endings, 182; simple and con-	Augmentatives, 202
junctive, 180; yes and no, 181; com-	Auxiliary verbs, 138, 171
parison of, 182, 280, from he, who, the,	Aye, sound of diphthong in, 46
182; compound, 182; modify certain	Docume -0
parts of speech, 179; syntax of, 269;	Basque, 28
distinguished from prepositions, 189;	Be, conjugation of, 146; test for subjunc-
distinguished from conjunctions, 270;	tive, 148; verb of incomplete predica-
meaning affected by position, 271 Adverbial adjuncts, 215; clauses, 195;	tion, 137; uses of, 172 Beef, 13, 88
object, 238	Better, best, 115
After, different parts of speech, 64	Breaths, 42
Agency, suffixes marking, 202	Brethren, 88
Alms, 89	Bridegroom, 84
Alphabet, the English, 55; deficient, re-	Britain, Roman conquest of, 2; English
dundant, inconsistent, 55; origin of,	conquest of, a
59; requirements of a perfect, 55;	Britons a Keltic race, 2; language of, 2;
phonetic, 56	what became of the, 4
Alternative conjunctions, syntax of, 254	But, meanings of, 64; as relative, rso;
An, a, origin of, roy; uses of, ro8; syn-	idiomatic uses of, 191
tax of, 244	• •
Anacoluthon, 266	C, redundant letter, 48
Analysis, directions for, 216	Cambria, 2
Analytic languages, 66	Can, 174
And, redundant use of, 274; colloquially	Capital letters, when used, 60
for to, 281	Case, definition of, 95; derivation of, 102;
Angles, original home of, 4	in English and Latin compared, 95; in
Anglo-Saxon, meaning of, 5	mouns and pronouns compared, 96;
Anomaly, in number of nouns, 89	how to determine, reo; possessive 97;

substitute for, 98; formation of, 98; Did, 160 syntax of, 234 Digraphs, 43 Causative suffixes, 203 Diminutives, 201, 207 Checks, 42 Direct narrative, 258 Cherubim, 89 Chicken, 50, 88 Children, 88 243 Cingalese, 27 Clause, definition of, xxx Cognate objective, 137 Cognates, 208 superlatives, 116 Collective nouns, 75; syntax of, 242, 253 Common, proper and singular nouns, 73; Dozen, 107 Drake, 14 gender, nouns of, 80 Dual number, 86 Comparatives not followed by than, 117 Duchess, 87 Comparison of adjectives, 118; modes of marking, 114; irregular, 115; errors of syntax in, 243; pleonasm in, 116, 244; of adverbs, 182 Complement of predicate, x38, sxx Eaves, 89 Complex sentence, 194 Compounds and derivatives, 199 Compound nouns, plurals of, 9x; posses-Elder and older, 115 sive of, c8; relation of parts in, 200; Eleven, 106 compound gerund forms, 154, 220 Con, 174 Concord, definition of, 230; of pronouns error, 274 Else, 129 and nouns, 246; of relative with antecedent, 247; of verbs and subjects, 253; rules observed in, 260 Concrete nouns, 76 Conjugation, definition of, x64; of be, 146; of simple tenses, 165; of verb with auxiliaries, 166 Conjunctions, definition of, 198; join sentences and words, 192; classification of, 193; co-ordinate, 196; sub-ordinate, 197; derived from other parts of speech, 197; correlative, 197; alternative, syntax of, 254 Conjunctive pronouns, 125; adverbs, 180 Erse, 2, 26 Conquest of Britain, Roman, a; English, -es, plural suffix, 86 2; Norman, 5 Consonants defined, 39; classified, 43 Co-ordinate conjunctions, 193, 254 Co-ordinating use of relative pronoun, vocabulary, 7, 20 199, 218 Copula, 177 Could, 174 Cumbria, Explosives, 42 Cunning, 174 Cymry, 2 Danish element in English, 18 Dare, 175 Dative in old English, oy; of infinitive, mitic, 28 Dativus Commodi, 238 : Ethicus, 238 Declension, definition of, 67 Farther, 116 Defective verbs, 171 Deficiency of alphabet, 56 Few' and 'a few,' 242 Fewer and less, 242 Demonstrative pronouns, 183 Dentals, 44

Derivation and composition, 199 Derivatives, primary and secondary, so6 Diphthongs, 45, consonantal, 43 Distributive pronouns, 130; syntax of, Do, formation of past tense, 160; uses of, 176; compounds formed with, 176 Double feminines, 82; comparatives, 116; Dutch words in English, 7, 10 E, addition of mute, 57 Buch, etymology, 130; syntax, 242 -ed, as adjectival suffix, 202 Either, etymology, 130; syntax, 242 Ellipsis, definition of, 216; a cause of em, old dative plural of he, 122 n. Emphasis, definition of, 51 -en, feminine suffix, 82; plural suffix, 88; adjective suffix, 202: verb suffix, 203; past participle suffix, 159 English conquest of Britain, 2; who they were, 3; whence they came, 4; lan-guage formerly pure, 27; now mixed, 27; its constituents, 9 Enlargement of subject, 213; of predier, suffix, plural, 88; comparative, 114; masculine, soe; verbel, sog -ess, feminine suffix, 82 Etymology, definition of, 34 Euphonic changes, 50 Events affecting the formation of English Excuse, two meanings of, a8s Expedients, orthographical, 57 Extension, meaning of, 212, 214 Every, etymology, 130; syntax, 242 F, plural of nouns ending in, 87 Factitive verbs, 231; object, 238; use of adjectives, 241
Family of languages, Aryan, 24; Se-Feminine, see Gender; double forms, 82

Finite and Infinite applied to forms of

the verb, 148

Finnish, e8

First, 116 Indo-European family of languages, 24; First two' and 'two first,' 244 languages outside, 27; table of, 29 Five, 106 -ine, feminine suffix, 82 Infinitive mood, 149; equivalent to a noun, 149; without 6, 149; simple and gerundial, 149; prolative, 212; split Fly, plural of, 88 -fold, 107 for- and fore-, prefixes, 203 Foreign plural forms, 89 infinitive, 266 Former, 116 Inflexions, definition of, 65 in English French influence on English language, 7 only few, 65; mostly of English origin, Frequentative suffix, 203 66; consequences of loss of, 67; mark-Friday, absence of possessive s, 101 ing gender, 82; number, 86; case, 96; Further, 116 comparison, 114; retained in pronouns, Future, how expressed in subjunctive, 131; in adverbs, 182 262; table of forms, 256; predictive Inflexional and non-inflexional languages, and promissive, 173 -ing, suffix, patronymic, 18; diminutive, 201 , verbal, 150; origin of, 264, errors Gaffer and gammer, 84 of syntax with, 264 Gander, 84 Intentional tenses, so-called, 156 ge- in yclept, 164 Gender, comparison of English and other Interjections, 198 Interrogative pronouns, 127 languages as regards, 80; distinguished from sex, 80; definition of, 8r; modes Intransitive verbs, 135; becoming tranof marking, 82; in prouous, 131; of sitive, 136 Iranian, 27 Irish, or Erse, 2, 26 nouns in apposition, 233 Genitive, see Possessive; meaning of word, 235: relations expressed by old, It, 122; anticipatory subject, 218 Italic stock, 26 ror; subjective and objective, 236 Its, 122 Gerund, definition of, x52; compound forms of, 154, 229 J, redundant letter, 48; distinguished Gerundial infinitive, 149 from i, 60 Go, 164; not an auxiliary, 156 Government, definition of, 230 Jutes, original home of, 4 Grammar, definition of, 36; divisions of, Keltic dialects in Britain, 2; race, Britons 36; an Art or a Science, 32 a, 2, words in English, 9 Greek words in English, 17 Gutturals, 44 Kitten, 50 Have, uses of, 172, with past participle, Labials, 44 Lady, 84 He, she, it, 122, 132 Lady-day, absence of possessive s, 101 Hebrew plurals in English, 89 Languages, table of Indo-European, 29; Hellenic stock, 26 spoken and written, 30 High German, meaning of name, 4 Langue d'oil and langue d'oc, 6 Hight, shows reduplication, 160 Lappish, 27 Him, 131 Last and latest, 115 'Last two and 'two last,' 244 How, 182 Hungarian language, 27 Latin element in English, 10; words of 1st period, 10; and period, 11; 3rd Hybrids, 203 Hyphen, where placed, 205 period, 11; 4th period, 13; proportion of, in vocabulary, 14; in use, 15; I, diphthongal, 46 suffixes, 201; prefixes, 203 Less, 115
Less and fewer, 242
Letters, Runic, 59; capital, 60; inserted I, personal pronoun, 122 If, assumption expressed by, son Imperative mood, 145 Imperfect, meaning of, as applied to or dropped, 50 Like, uses of, 246 tenses, 157; tenses, 155 Impersonal verbs, 139 Linguals, 44 Inceptive verbs, 156 Liquids, 43 Inconsistency of alphabet, 57 Lord, 84 Indefinite quantitative adjectives, 104; Low German, meaning of, 4 pronouns, 129; article, see Article; tenses, 155; present, uses of, 157

Indicative mood, 144

Indirect object, 97, 214; narrative, 258

-ly, 182; inflected for comparison, 280

Madam, 84

Manx, 2, 26

334 Many, syntax of, 242 Marchioness, 83 May, 174 Mediae, 53 Mesecms, 97, 139 Metathesis, 51 Methinks, 97, 139 Metre, 36 Might, 174 Million, 107 Mistress, 82 Moods, 144 More and most, 114, 116 -most in superlatives, 116 Much and very, their adverbial use distinguished, 185, 263 Multiplicatives, 107 Must, 174 Mute e final, 57 Mutes, 42, 53 Mutual, misuse of, 267 Natural or obscure vowel, 45 Naturalization, imperfect, 21, 67 Naught, 130 Near, 115 Need, 175 Neither, error lu use of, 276 Neuter gender, 80, verbs, 138 No and yes, 181; and not, syntax of, 273 Nominative, how to determine, 100; absolute, 231; of address, 97; in analysis, 218; uses of, 271 Norman Conquest, 5 Norman French words in English, 12: contained Keltic element but mainly Latin, 6; influence on the formation of compounds, 17; alphabet, 59; inflexious, 66, 112; formation of plurals, 101; comparison, 114 Notional verbs, 138 Nouns, definition of, 69; distinguished from pronouus, 70; from adjectives, 70; common, proper, and singular, 71; proper becoming common, 73, common becoming singular, 74; collective, 75; syntax of, 242, 253; abstract and concrete, 76; names of materials, 74; formation of abstract, 77; gender of, 80; number of, 86; table of plurals of, 86; without change of form in plural, 89; apparently plural really singular, 80; really plural used as singular, 80; syntax of, 253; changing meaning in plural, 90; having two forms of plural with different meanings, 90; with no plural, 90; with no singular, 91; com-pound, 200; plural of, 91; case of, see Case; verbal, 151; used as adverbs, 182; suffixes of, 201; syntax of, 231 Noun clauses, 195; how to deal with in analysis, 218 Number, definition of, 86; in neuns, 86; in verbs, 158

Numerals, cardinal, 105; ordinal, 106, multiplicative, 107; mostly of English origin, 107 O, impure, 47; plural of nouns ending in, 88 Object, definition of, 136; direct and indirect, 97, 214; retained, 144, 238; of verb an adverbial adjunct, 214; enlargement of, 214 Objective case, how to determine, 100; syntax of, 237; form of in nouns and prenouns, 96, cognate, 137; adverbial relation of noun in, 214 Oblique narrative, 258; cases, 102 Older and elder, 115 -om in seldom, 182 on, oon, one, augmentative suffix, 202 One, numeral adjective, 106; indefinite pronoun, 120 Only, place of, 271; for but, 280 Order, a part of syntax, 130; of noun in nominative, 234, in possessive, 237; in objective, 239; of adjective, 246; of personal pronouns, 252; examples of faults of, 279 Orthoepy, definition of, 33 Orthography, definition of, 33 Orthographical expedients, 57 Ought, 175 Oxen, 88 Owe and own, 175 Palatals, 44 Parsing, directions for, 224 Participles, definition of, 152; present 152; distinguished from other verbal forms in -ing, 152; used as prepositions, 183; used absolutely, 188; common error of syntax in use of, 232, 240; past, 153; with kave, 153; adopted with intransitives, 153 Parts of speech, definition of, 63; reduced to four groups, 64; the same word oc-curring under different, 64; inflected or not inflected, 65; the articles not separate, 108 Passive voice, 142 Patronymics, 18 Paulo-post future tenses, so-called, 156 Peas, 90 Pence and pennies, 90 Perfect and Imperfect as applied to tenses explained, 157
Perfect formed by reduplication, 159 Person in verbs, 158; origin of inflexions marking, 158 Personal pronouns, 121 Personification affecting gender, 81 Phenomenal, misuse of, 267 Phonetic spelling, 36

Phonology, 33 Phrase, defined, 213; absolute, 218; pre-

positional, 227, 278

Please, construction of, mo

s plural suffix, 87 Pleonasm, 236, 244, 281 Plurals of nouns, see Nouns s possessive suffix, 98; origin of, 100, Polysyndeton, 276 101; disguised in adverbs, 182 Possessive, forms of, 97; of nouns in ap-Sanskrit, 27 Saxons, original home of, 4 position, 98; of compound nouns, 98; s not corruption of his, 100; expressed Scandinavian group of languages, 26; words in English, 18 by ef, 99; functions of, 97; syntax of, 234; pronouns, 131 Schleswig-Holstein the home of Jutes, Predicate, definition of, 210; complement Saxons, and Angles, 4 Score, 107 Scotch, Highland, a Keltic dialect, 2; of, 138, 211; enlargement of, 215; logical and grammatical, 177 Lowland, an English dialect, 2 # Predicative use of adjectives, 104, 241 Prefixes, definition of, 200; list of, En-Se, seo, thæt, 122 n Second, 107 glish, 203; Latin, 203; Greek, 204 Self, 124 Semi-vowels, 42 Prepositions, defined, 186; compared with case endings, 95; need not precede nouns, 187; classified, 188; dis-Semitic Languages, 28 tinguished from adverbs, 189; relations Sentences, defined, 193, 210; simple, compound, and complex, 194; what is expressed by, 187; idiomatic use of, expressed by, 210; how to correct Present Indefinite, uses of, 157 faulty, 239; elliptical, 215; errors in, Pronouns, defined, 118; distinguished from nouns, 70; characteristic of, 119; Sequence of tenses, 257 different uses of, 119; classification of, Sex distinguished from gender, 80 120; personal, 121; demonstrative, 123; Shall and will, 173; syntax of, 256 Sharps and flats, 41 reflexive, 123; relative, 125; how iden-She, 122 tified, 126; compound, 128; co-ordinating and restrictive uses of, 128; inter-Should and would, 173; syntax of, 257 Sibilants, 44 rogative, 127; Indefinite, 129; distributive, 130; reciprocal, 130; possessive, Singular nouns distinguished from com-131; retain inflexions, 131; used as mon and proper, 72; number, see adverbs, 183; concord of, 246; syntax Number of relative, 247 Sir, 84 Prosody, definition of, 35; not a part of Slavonic languages, 27 So, adverb, syntax of, 273 Solecism, definition of, 246 Sounds, elementary, in English, 48; grammar, 36 Punctuation, rules for, 284 consonants, 43; vowels, 45; mutes, 42; Q, redundant letter, 48 Qualitative adjectives, 104 sonants and surds, 41; not sounded Quantitative adjectives, 104 together, 49; spirants, 42; sibilants, 44, trills, 44; thin, middle, and aspirate, 53; Quasi-passive verbs, 144 classified according to vocal organs, Quoth, 177 44; dropped or added to save trouble, 50; transposition of, 51; significant, or words, represented in writing, 54 Rather, 116 Redundancy of alphabet, 48, 55 Spanish influence on English language, 6 Reduplication in the perfect, 159 Spelling in English, difficulties accounted Reflexive pronouns, 123 Relative pronouns, characteristic of, 125; for, 58; phonetic, 56 Spinster, 82 that, who, which distinguished, 128, -ster, 82 251; and antecedent, 125; omission of, Stocks, meaning of, 25 126; syntax of, 247 Reliable, 267 Subject of sentence, definition of, 210; different kinds of, 212; enlargement ot, 213; ellipsis of, 69, 211, 227 Renaissance, 6, 13 Reported speech, 258 Restrictive use of relative pronoun, 128, Subjunctive mood, forms of, 146; uses of, 147, 261 Retained object, 144, 238 Subordinate clauses, 194 Revival of Learning, 6, 13 Such, 127 Suffixes, defined, 200; list of, 201 Rhythm, 36 Superlatives, double, 116; not suggesting Riches, 80 Riding, 51, 107 Roman Conquest of Britain, a; missioncomparison, 117 Swine, 88 Syllables, division of words into, 204 aries to England, 5 Syntax, definition of, 35 Romanic stock, 26 Runic characters in Alphabet, 59

Synthetic languages, 66

Table of Indo-European languages, 29; feminine suffixes, 82; plural forms, 86; adjectives, 104; pronouns, 120; infinitive forms, 154; tenses, 155: conjugation, 165, 166; adverbial forms, 182; shall and will, 256; should and would, 257 Ten, 106 Tense, future in subjunctive, 262 Tenves, 53 Teutonic tribes, Jutes, Saxons, and Angles were, 3; meaning of name, 3; stock, English belongs to, 26 Than, syntax of, 272, with relative, 272 That, the t in, 122; how to determine its part of speech, 126; its restrictive use as relative, 128, 25x; conjunction, 127; omission of, 276 The, 107; uses of, 109; adverbial use of, 182; syntax of, 244 -ther, comparative suffix, 116, 130 There, with verb preceding subject, 218 Third, 107 Thorn, the letter, 59 Thou, old uses of, 123 To, before infinitive, 149; omitted from some verbs, 149; should not be separated from the verb by an adverb, 266

U, modes of writing diphthongal, 46; distinguished from letter v, 60 Umlant, 50 Uncouth, 174 Utter, comparative adjective, 116

Transpire, misuse of, 267

-trie, feminine suffix, 82 Furkish language, 27

Trills, 41

Twelve, xor

Verbs, definition of, 134; transitive and intransitive, 135; intransitive used as transitive, 136; converted to transitive by preposition, 136; causative, 137; followed by cognate objective, 137; transitive used as intransitive, 137; of incomplete predication, 137; neuter, 138; auxiliary, 138, 171; notional, 138; impersonal, 139; inflexions of, 141; voice, 142; active and passive, 142; alternative passive constructions, 143; retained object with passives, 144; quasi-passive, 144; mood, 144; indicative, 145; imperative, 145; subjunctive, decay of, 146; uses of, 147, 26x; tests for, 148; infinitive, 149; resembles noun, 149; simple and gerundial, 149; forms in -ing, 150; gerund, 151, participles, 152; table of forms, 154; tenses, 155; mark time and completeness, 155; simple and compound, 145; table of,

155; perfect continuous, 156; so called, 156, mode of formation of, 156; perfect and imperfect, 157; advantages of our mode of marking, 157; uses of present indefinite, 157, weak and strong, 159; used as adverbs, 183, number, 158; person, 158; conjugation of, 165; defective, 171; suffixes of, 203; syntax of, 253; concord of, 253, with collective nouns, 253, with plurals used as singulars, 253, implying futurity, syntax of, 258

Verbal, missise of, 267 Verbal noun, the infinitive a, 149; forms in 108, 150

Verse, definition of, 36
Very and mach, their adverbial use distinguished, 185, 263
Vizen, 32

Vocative, 97, 231; in analysis, 218 Voice, 112

W, semi-vowel, 52

Vowels, definition of, 39; enumeration of, 45; obscure or natural vowel, 45

Weak verbs, 159 Wednesday, the er in, 98, 101 Wesh a Kelve dialect, 2, 26 Win, the letter, 59 What, 127; the f in, 123 Which, 127 Who and which as co-ordinating relatives, 129; 10ho and what as indefinite pronouns, 250 Why, 182

Willower, 84
Will, 173. syntax of, 256
Wit, defective verb, 177
Witenagemot shows old genitive plural form, 101
Wisard, 84

Wonda, 84
Words, definition of, 62; number in dictionary, 15; in use, 15; Keltic, 9;
Latin, 10; Danish, 18; from various sources, 18; how added, 20; imperfectly naturalized, 21, 87; ways of classifying, 63; disguised in form, 200,

wrongly used, 267
Worse, 115
Worth, defective verb, 177

X, a redundant letter, 48

Y, semi-vowel, 43; plural of nouns ending in, 88 Yelept, 164 Ye and you, 123 Ye for the, 59 Yes, 181, 227